



LegalMetric District Judge Report

Sample District

Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases

January, 1991 to June, 2007

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, LLC. Use of this information by anyone other than the purchaser, or disclosure of this information, without the consent of LegalMetric, LLC is prohibited.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, LLC is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, LLC and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

Table of Contents

Overview	3
Breakdown by Judge and Division.....	4
Divisional Comparisons.....	5
What are the Odds—Terminations on the Merits	7
Plaintiff Win Rate by Judge.....	9
Breakout of Plaintiff & Defendant Win Rate Statistics	11
All Parties—All Terminations on the Merits	12
Decisions on Involuntary Motions to Dismiss—By Judge	13
Dispositions by Summary Judgment.....	13
Case Outcomes	14
Bench Trials—Number By Judge	16
Jury Trials—Number By Judge	16
Terminations by Transfer—Number By Judge	16
Trials—District-Wide By Prevailing Party and Trial Type	17
How Long?—Time to Termination.....	18
Average Pendency for All Terminations on the Merits—By Active Judge.....	22
Average Pendency for Bench Trials—By Active Judge	23
Average Pendency for Jury Trials—By Active Judge.....	23
Average Pendency for Cases Terminated by Summary Judgment—By Active Judge.....	24
Average Pendency for Cases Terminated by Transfer—By Active Judge.....	24
Appeals.....	25
Jury Demands.....	27
Experience	28
Total Number of Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases—By Judge	28
Cases with Summary Judgment Activity—By Active Judge	29
Cases with Transfer Activity—By Active Judge.....	33
Cases with Preliminary Injunction Activity—By Active Judge	37


Overview

This report covers the trademark (Lanham Act) cases of the active judges in the Sample District from January, 1991 to June, 2007. Cases of inactive judges are not included. For purposes of this report, senior judges who have not been assigned a trademark (Lanham Act) case for the past two years are considered inactive.


<p>Total Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases: 894 Total/856 Closed Number of Cases, each Judge: 0 to 134 (Average 81 per Judge)</p>	<p>Total Judgments on the Merits: 176 Each Judge: 0 to 31</p>
<p>Average Plaintiff Win Rate: 82%</p> <p>Contested Win Rate: 28.4% (12.5 of 44) (does not include consent and default judgments)</p> <p>Number of Trials: 9 Number, each Judge: 0 to 4 Plaintiff Win Rate at Trial: 67%</p>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Plaintiff Win Rate by Year</p> <p>(Larger Version in Body of Report)</p> </div>
<p>Average Time to Termination—All Cases: 8.9 months Average by Judge from 3.2 to 10.4 months</p> <p>Average Time to Termination—On the Merits: 10.0 months Average by Judge from 6.4 to 11.9 months</p> <p>Summary Judgment Activity: 125 summary judgment motions Activity by Judge: 0 Motions to 26 Motions</p>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Average Time to Termination on the Merits by Judge</p> <p>(Larger Version in Body of Report)</p> </div>
	<p>Total Appeals: 43</p> <p>Complete Affirmance Rate: 84.0% (21 of 25)</p>

Breakdown by Judge and Division

The chart below illustrates the total number of trademark (Lanham Act) cases by active judges in the District.




The breakdown of trademark (Lanham Act) cases by division is illustrated in the following chart:



Divisional Comparisons

<div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">Overall Win Rate</div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Win Rate (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>District</td> <td>82</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division I</td> <td>82.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division II</td> <td>81.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division III</td> <td>78.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division IV</td> <td>83.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Win Rate (%)	District	82	Division I	82.8	Division II	81.5	Division III	78.6	Division IV	83.3	<p>Overall Win Rates: The win rate for the District was 82%. The variation by division is shown in the chart to the left. Overall win rate includes consent and default judgments.</p>
Category	Win Rate (%)												
District	82												
Division I	82.8												
Division II	81.5												
Division III	78.6												
Division IV	83.3												
<div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">Contested Win Rate</div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Win Rate (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>District</td> <td>28.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division I</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division II</td> <td>28.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division III</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division IV</td> <td>66.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Win Rate (%)	District	28.4	Division I	25	Division II	28.6	Division III	25	Division IV	66.7	<p>Contested Win Rates: The contested win rate (excludes consent and default judgments) for the District was 28.4%. The variation by division can be seen in the chart to the left.</p>
Category	Win Rate (%)												
District	28.4												
Division I	25												
Division II	28.6												
Division III	25												
Division IV	66.7												
<div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">Trial Win Rate</div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Win Rate (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>District</td> <td>67</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division I</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division II</td> <td>66.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division III</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division IV</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Win Rate (%)	District	67	Division I	50	Division II	66.7	Division III	100	Division IV	100	<p>Win Rate at Trial: The win rate at trial for the District was 67%. The variation by division can be seen in the chart to the left.</p>
Category	Win Rate (%)												
District	67												
Division I	50												
Division II	66.7												
Division III	100												
Division IV	100												
<div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">Complete Affirmance Rate</div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Win Rate (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>District</td> <td>84</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division I</td> <td>78.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division II</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division III</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Division IV</td> <td>66.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Win Rate (%)	District	84	Division I	78.6	Division II	100	Division III	100	Division IV	66.7	<p>Complete Affirmance Rate: The Complete Affirmance Rate (appeals affirmed with no other action, divided by the total number of appeals except for dismissed and pending appeals) for the district was 84.0%. The variation by division can be seen in the chart to the left.</p>
Category	Win Rate (%)												
District	84												
Division I	78.6												
Division II	100												
Division III	100												
Division IV	66.7												




Time to Termination on the Merits: The average time from case filing to termination on the merits (includes consent and default judgments) was 10.0 months. The variation by division can be seen in the chart to the left.

What are the Odds¹—Terminations on the Merits

Of the 856 closed trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District in the period covered by this report, 20.6% were terminated on the merits, i.e., by trial, pre-trial motion, default or consent judgment. Plaintiffs won 82% of those cases decided on the merits.

The following chart shows the plaintiff win rate for the active judges in the Sample District by year. The plaintiff win rate for the active judges should be compared with the historical nationwide win rate of 86%.




¹ If there were any cases in which both parties prevailed (for example, the plaintiff prevailed on its complaint and the defendant prevailed on an unrelated counterclaim), such a case is counted as one-half of a “win” for plaintiff and one-half of a “win” for defendant. Fractional numbers of “wins”, therefore, can occur.

The actual numbers of plaintiff “wins” versus total terminations on the merits from 1991 through 2007 by the currently active judges are as follows:


Year	Plaintiff Wins	Total Decisions	Plaintiff Win Rate
1991	2	2	100
1992	2	5	40
1993	10	13	77
1994	6	7	86
1995	8	11	73
1996	6	6	100
1997	11	11	100
1998	9	12	75
1999	8	10	80
2000	12	15	80
2001	10	14	71
2002	22	24	92
2003	9	11	82
2004	8	10	80
2005	12	15	80
2006	6	7	86
2007	3	3	100

The total number of terminations on the merits per year by the currently active judges during the same period in the Sample District is shown in the following chart:



Plaintiff Win Rate by Judge

For the district as a whole the plaintiff win rate for these active judges over this period was 82%. The plaintiff win rate varies significantly from judge to judge over the period covered by this report—from 75% to 100%. For judges with at least ten terminations on the merits, the range is from 75% to 95%. The chart below illustrates these win rates for those judges with at least 1 termination on the merits.



The following table provides the plaintiff win rate information for each active judge with at least 1 termination on the merits, along with the number of terminations on the merits by that judge in trademark (Lanham Act) cases during this period.

Judge	Number of Terminations on Merits	Plaintiff Win Rate
Average for the Court	16	82
A	31	81
B	27	80
C	2	100
D	14	79
E	18	83
F	24	75
G	19	95
H	25	80
K	16	88

Breakout of Plaintiff & Defendant Win Rate Statistics

At Trial

Of the 856 closed trademark (Lanham Act) cases of the active judges in the Sample District during the period covered by this report, 9 were decided at trial. The plaintiff prevailed in 6 cases and the defendant prevailed in 3. The plaintiff won in 1 bench trial and 5 jury trials. The defendant won in 1 bench trial and 2 jury trials.

The 5 plaintiff jury verdicts were before Judges A (1), B (2), F (1), and G (1).

The 2 defendant jury verdicts were before Judge F.

The single bench trial termination for the plaintiff was before Judge K.

The single bench trial termination for the defendant was before Judge F.

Plaintiff—Other Than Trial

There were 138.5 judgments on the merits in favor of the plaintiff other than by trial. Plaintiff prevailed in 106 cases by consent judgment, 26 cases by default judgment, 1 case by other termination and 5.5 cases by summary judgment. (A ½ win reflects a case where a plaintiff prevailed on a claim, and a defendant also prevailed on a claim.)

Defendant—Other Than Trial

There were 28.5 judgments on the merits in favor of the defendant other than by trial. The defendant prevailed in 9 cases by involuntary dismissal and 19.5 cases by summary judgment.

All Parties—All Terminations on the Merits

All the terminations on the merits by active judges for the Sample District during this period are shown in the following table:

District Terminations	Plaintiff		Defendant	
At Trial	67%	(6 / 9)	33%	(3 / 9)
Bench Trial	50%	(1 / 2)	50%	(1 / 2)
Jury Verdict	71%	(5 / 7)	29%	(2 / 7)
Consent Judgment ²	100%	(106 / 106)	0%	(0 / 106)
Default Judgment	100%	(26 / 26)	0%	(0 / 26)
Involuntary Dismissal	0%	(0 / 9)	100%	(9 / 9)
Other Termination	100%	(1 / 1)	0%	(0 / 1)
Summary Judgment	22%	(5.5 / 25)	78%	(19.5 / 25)
Overall (on the Merits)	82%	(144.5 / 176)	18%	(31.5 / 176)

Plaintiffs prevailed above the overall average (82%) in cases terminated by consent judgment (100%), default judgment (100%), and other termination (100%). Defendants prevailed above the overall average (18%) in cases terminated by bench trial (50%), jury verdict (29%), involuntary dismissal (100%), and summary judgment (78%).

Nationwide, plaintiffs prevail in 86% of these cases overall, and in 64% of these cases at trial. (When trial was to a jury, plaintiff prevailed in 66% of these cases nationwide.) Conversely, defendants nationwide prevail in 14% of these cases overall, and in 36% of these cases at trial. (When trial was to a jury, defendant prevailed in 34% of these cases nationwide.)

² Consent judgments are presumed to be in favor of the plaintiff unless the docket indicates otherwise.

Decisions on Involuntary Motions to Dismiss—By Judge

The 9 involuntary dismissals for the defendants were decided by the active judges as shown in the following table. Judges omitted from this table had no decisions granting motions to dismiss during the period of time covered by this report.

Judge	Number of Terminations on Merits	Involuntary Dismissals
B	27	2
D	14	1
E	18	1
F	24	1
H	25	3
K	16	1

Terminations by summary judgment are not included in these figures. See Dispositions by Summary Judgment section for those results.

Dispositions by Summary Judgment

The 25 summary judgment dispositions were decided as indicated in the table below.


Judge	Summary Judgment for Plaintiff	Summary Judgment for Defendant
A	0	6
B	0.5	3.5
D	0	2
E	0	2
F	0	2
G	2	1
H	2	2
K	1	1

Case Outcomes

Of the 856 terminated cases,

- 2 (0.2%) were terminated by bankruptcy stay, with an average pendency of 16.2 months
- 106 (12.4%) were terminated by consent judgment, with an average pendency of 7.1 months
- 3 (0.4%) were terminated by consolidation, with an average pendency of 7.3 months
- 26 (3.0%) were terminated by default judgment, with an average pendency of 7.1 months
- 9 (1.1%) were terminated by involuntary dismissal, with an average pendency of 6.2 months
- 5 (0.6%) were terminated for lack of jurisdiction, with an average pendency of 8.2 months
- 31 (3.6%) were terminated by miscellaneous settlement, with an average pendency of 13.6 months
- 19 (2.2%) were terminated by other miscellaneous termination, with an average pendency of 7.3 months
- 3 (0.4%) were terminated by remand to state court, with an average pendency of 3.0 months
- 3 (0.4%) were terminated by stay, with an average pendency of 8.4 months
- 25 (2.9%) were terminated by summary judgment, with an average pendency of 17.2 months
- 11 (1.3%) were terminated by transfer, with an average pendency of 5.4 months
- 2 (0.2%) were terminated by bench trial, with an average pendency of 48.3 months
- 7 (0.8%) were terminated by jury trial, with an average pendency of 34.4 months
- 584 (68.2%) were terminated by voluntary dismissal, with an average pendency of 8.5 months
- 20 (2.3%) were terminated for want of prosecution, with an average pendency of 6.8 months

The chart below illustrates the case outcomes for the Sample District in trademark (Lanham Act) cases: The 584 voluntary dismissals are not included in the chart.



Bench Trials—Number By Judge

For the active judges in the Sample District as a whole during the period covered by this report, there were 2 bench trials in trademark (Lanham Act) cases. The following table shows which judges had bench trials in these cases and how many bench trials each had.

Judge	Bench Trials for Plaintiff	Bench Trials for Defendant
F	0	1
K	1	0

Jury Trials—Number By Judge

There were 7 jury trials in these cases. The active judges who presided over jury trials in trademark (Lanham Act) cases and the number of jury trials are as follows:

Judge	Jury Trials for Plaintiff	Jury Trials for Defendant
A	1	0
B	2	0
F	1	2
G	1	0

Terminations by Transfer—Number By Judge

There were 11 cases terminated by transfer in trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District during the period covered by this report. The table below shows the number of terminations by transfer for each active judge that transferred at least 1 case.

Judge	Cases Transferred
B	5
D	1
E	2
F	1
G	1
H	1

Note that this table does not include MDL Transfers.

Trials—District-Wide By Prevailing Party and Trial Type

Of the 176 cases with an identifiable winner, 9 went to trial. The plaintiff prevailed in 6 of these cases and the defendant prevailed in the other 3 cases.

The cases where the plaintiffs prevailed at trial were:

Case Number	Judge	Case Name	Resolution
0:00cv00000	K	A v. B	Bench Trial
0:00cv00000	A	A v. B	Jury Verdict
0:00cv00000	B	A v. B	Jury Verdict
0:00cv00000	B	A v. B	Jury Verdict
0:00cv00000	F	A v. B	Jury Verdict
0:00cv00000	G	A v. B	Jury Verdict

The cases where the defendants prevailed at trial were:


Case Number	Judge	Case Name	Resolution
0:00cv00000	F	A v. B	Bench Trial
0:00cv00000	F	A v. B	Jury Verdict
0:00cv00000	F	A v. B	Jury Verdict

How Long?—Time to Termination

Time to Termination³ for Closed Cases:

Average:	8.9 months
One-Third ⁴ :	4.2 months
One-Half ⁵ :	5.9 months
Two-Thirds ⁶ :	9.4 months
All ⁷ :	75.5 months
Average (on the merits):	10.0 months
Jury Demand by Plaintiff Only-Average ⁸ :	8.4 months
Jury Demand by Defendant Only-Average ⁹ :	14.9 months
Jury Demand by Both Parties-Average ¹⁰ :	16.2 months
No Jury Demand-Average ¹¹ :	8.5 months

Some of these figures are illustrated in the chart below.



³ Termination statistics in this report are measured from the filing of papers in federal court to the terminating event, usually voluntary dismissal or entry of judgment. This may vary from the date the file was closed due to post-trial and ministerial activity.

⁴ The time it took for one-third of the total cases of this type to terminate, measured from the filing date in federal court.

⁵ The time it took for one-half of the total cases of this type to terminate, measured from the filing date in federal court.

⁶ The time it took for two-thirds of the total cases of this type to terminate, measured from the filing date in federal court.

⁷ The time it took until all the closed cases of this type were terminated.

⁸ The time it took, on average, for cases of this type to terminate where only the plaintiff demanded a jury.

⁹ The time it took, on average, for cases of this type to terminate where only the defendant demanded a jury.


¹⁰ The time it took, on average, for cases of this type to terminate where both parties demanded a jury.

¹¹ The time it took, on average, for cases of this type to terminate where neither party demanded a jury.

That is, one-third of the trademark (Lanham Act) cases were terminated within 4.2 months of commencement of the case; one-half were terminated within 5.9 months of the commencement; two-thirds were terminated within 9.4 months of commencement; and all were terminated within 75.5 months of commencement. The average of all terminations was 8.9 months. The average time for a termination on the merits in these cases was 10.0 months.


a. Terminations by Month

An overview of when terminations typically occur is found in the following chart, which shows the number of trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District that were terminated per month, for the first two years after filing:




b. Terminations by Outcome

Pendency usually varies by type of termination. For example, transfers typically occur much earlier in litigation than summary judgments. The average case pendency for each type of outcome for trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District is shown below.




c. Terminations as a Function of Jury Demands

Many times pendency can be a function of which party or parties demand a jury. For example, in trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District where only the plaintiff demands a jury, termination occurs on average in 8.4 months. Where only the defendant demands a jury, termination occurs on average in 14.9 months. Where both parties demand a jury, termination occurs on average in 16.2 months. And where neither party demands a jury, termination occurs on average in 8.5 months.



Average Pendency for All Terminations on the Merits—By Active Judge

The average time from filing to termination on the merits in these cases was 10.0 months. There is considerable variation of average pendency by judge, ranging from 6.4 months for Judge H to 11.9 months for Judge G. The chart below shows the variation for average time to termination on the merits by judge.



Average Pendency for Bench Trials—By Active Judge

The average time from filing to termination by bench trial was 48.3 months. For those judges who had at least one trademark (Lanham Act) case with a bench trial during this period, the average time from filing until termination by bench trial varied from 21.1 months for Judge F to 75.5 months for Judge K.

Judge	Number of Bench Trials	Average Time from Filing to Termination by Bench Trial (Months)
Average for the Court	0.2	48.3
F	1	21.1
K	1	75.5

Average Pendency for Jury Trials—By Active Judge

The average time from filing to termination of trademark (Lanham Act) cases by jury verdict in the Sample District was 34.4 months. By judge, average time to termination for jury verdicts ranged from 23.0 months for Judge F to 55.7 months for Judge A. The chart below illustrates the variation among judges.

Judge	Number of Jury Trials	Average Time from Filing to Termination by Jury Trial (Months)
Average for the Court	0.6	34.4
A	1	55.7
B	2	36.6
F	3	23.0
G	1	43.3

Average Pendency for Cases Terminated by Summary Judgment—By Active Judge

The average time from filing to termination by summary judgment in trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District was 17.2 months. By judge, average time to termination for summary judgments ranged from 10.2 months for Judge H to 24.2 months for Judge E. The table below illustrates the variation among judges.

Judge	Number of Terminations by Summary Judgment	Average Time from Filing to Termination by Summary Judgment (Months)
Average for the Court	2.3	17.2
A	6	20.8
B	4	15.4
D	2	15.3
E	2	24.2
F	2	15.6
G	3	22.9
H	4	10.2
K	2	12.0


Average Pendency for Cases Terminated by Transfer—By Active Judge

The average time from filing to termination by transfer in trademark (Lanham Act) cases in the Sample District was 5.4 months. By judge, average time to termination for transfers ranged from 3.1 months for Judge G to 10.9 months for Judge H. The table below illustrates the variation among judges.

Judge	Cases Transferred	Average Time from Filing to Transfer (Months)
Average for the Court	1.0	5.4
B	5	5.9
D	1	4.9
E	2	3.4
F	1	4.5
G	1	3.1
H	1	10.9

Appeals

Of the 856 closed trademark (Lanham Act) cases of the active judges in the Sample District, 43 were appealed. There were rulings on 25 of these appeals. The Complete Affirmance Rate¹² for these appeals was 84.0% (21 of 25). The percentage affirmed at least in part was 88.0% (22 of 25). The percentage reversed at least in part was 4.0% (1 of 25). The percentage remanded at least in part was 12.0% (3 of 25). The percentage vacated at least in part was 4.0% (1 of 25).




¹² All percentages in this paragraph do not include dismissed or pending appeals. The Complete Affirmance Rate is the number of appeals which were affirmed with no other ruling, divided by the total number of appeals expressed as a percentage.

Of the 43 total appeals, 21 were affirmed; 1 was affirmed in part and remanded; 16 were dismissed; 2 are pending; 1 was reversed in part and remanded; 1 was unknown; and 1 was vacated in part and remanded. The results of those appeals are shown in the following table:

Case Name	Case Number	Judge	Result of Appeal
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	E	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	H	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	G	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	K	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	E	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	G	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	K	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	K	Affirmed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Affirmed in part and Remanded
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	C	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	G	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	D	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	H	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	F	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Dismissed
A v. B	0:00cv00000	G	Pending
A v. B	0:00cv00000	K	Pending
A v. B	0:00cv00000	K	Reversed in part and Remanded
A v. B	0:00cv00000	B	Unknown
A v. B	0:00cv00000	A	Vacated in part and Remanded

Jury Demands

Of the 894 trademark (Lanham Act) cases (both open and closed) of the active judges in the Sample District, at least one of the parties demanded a jury in 23.4% of the cases (209 of 894 cases). Both sides demanded a jury in 2.5% of the cases (22 of 894). The plaintiff, but not the defendant, demanded a jury in 17.2% of the cases (154 of 894). The defendant, but not the plaintiff, demanded a jury in 3.7% of the cases (33 of 894). Neither party demanded a jury in 76.6% of the cases (685 of 894). The chart below illustrates the jury demands in the Sample District for trademark (Lanham Act) cases over this period.



Experience

The active judges in the Sample District vary considerably in their exposure to trademark (Lanham Act) cases in general and to significant motions in those cases.


Total Number of Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases—By Judge

There were 81 total trademark (Lanham Act) cases for each active judge (on average). But the actual number of trademark (Lanham Act) cases per judge varies significantly. The table below shows the total number of trademark (Lanham Act) cases and total number of closed trademark (Lanham Act) cases for each of these judges.

Judge	Number of Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases	Number of Closed Trademark (Lanham Act) Cases
Average for the Court	81	78
A	115	110
B	134	132
C	42	37
D	74	70
E	113	112
F	106	106
G	88	82
H	123	118
I	5	2
K	94	87


Cases with Summary Judgment Activity—By Active Judge

There were 125 summary judgment motions filed in these cases. Of the 125 summary judgment motions, 35 were denied, 1 was moot, 1 was dismissed, 24 were granted, 35 were granted in part, denied in part,¹³ 28 were not decided, and 1 was withdrawn. The summary judgment figures are illustrated in the chart below.




¹³ A ruling granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as two rulings—one granting a motion and one denying a motion.


The number of summary judgment motions, by judge, is illustrated below:



The contested win rates (excluding consent rulings) for these summary judgment motions are illustrated in the following chart:




The average time from motion filing to decision for these summary judgment motions is illustrated below:




Cases with Transfer Activity—By Active Judge

There were 26 motions to transfer filed in these cases. Of the 26 motions to transfer, 5 were denied, 2 were moot, 6 were granted (1 uncontested), 2 were granted in part, denied in part,¹⁴ 9 were not decided, 1 is open, and 1 was withdrawn. The transfer motion figures are illustrated in the chart below.




¹⁴ A ruling granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as two rulings—one granting a motion and one denying a motion.


The number of motions for transfer, by judge, is illustrated below:



The contested win rates (excluding consent rulings) for these motions for transfer are illustrated in the following chart:




The average time from motion filing to decision for these motions to transfer is illustrated below:




Cases with Preliminary Injunction Activity—By Active Judge

There were 129 preliminary injunction motions and 71 temporary restraining order motions filed in these cases. Of the 129 preliminary injunction motions, 33 were denied, 3 were moot, 34 were granted (3 uncontested), 4 were granted in part, denied in part,¹⁵ and 55 were not decided. The preliminary injunction figures are illustrated in the chart below.




¹⁵ A ruling granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as two rulings—one granting a motion and one denying a motion.


Of the 71 motions for temporary restraining orders, 13 were denied, 2 were dismissed, 21 were granted, 5 were granted in part, denied in part, 26 were not decided, 1 is open, and 3 were withdrawn. The temporary restraining order outcomes are illustrated below:



The number of preliminary injunction motions, by judge, is illustrated below:



The contested win rates (excluding consent rulings) for these preliminary injunction motions are illustrated in the following chart:



The average time from motion filing to decision for these preliminary injunction motions is illustrated below:

