



**LegalMetric Custom Comparison
Selected Districts
Patent Cases**

January 2000-October 2025

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc. Use of this information by anyone other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, or disclosure of this information to persons other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, without the consent of LegalMetric, Inc. is prohibited.


The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

Overview

Dockets for patent cases filed from January 2000 to October 2025 in the districts identified below were examined to determine various win rates, times to decision, and experience levels for active judges. The districts and their contested patentee win rates are shown in the chart below. Contested win rates include all judgments in favor of the patent owner, except for consent judgments and default judgments. Outcomes in which no enforceable judgment in favor of a party is entered, such as voluntary dismissals and dismissals for lack of jurisdiction, are not included in contested win rates.

Contested Patentee Win Rates



		Contested Win Rate	No. of Contested Judgments
California Eastern	Total	10.5	19
	Barnes		0
	Bastian		0
	Boone		0
	Burrell	0.0	1
	Calabretta		0
	Claire		0
	Drozd	0.0	2
	England	33.3	3
	Ishii	0.0	2
	Mendez	0.0	1
	Moulds		0

		Contested Win Rate	No. of Contested Judgments
California Eastern	Mueller	0.0	1
	Newman		0
	Nunley	33.3	3
	O`Neill		0
	Riordan		0
	Sherriff	0.0	2
	Shubb	0.0	4
	Thurston		0
Connecticut	Total	28.6	21
	Arterton	40.0	5
	Bolden	50.0	2
	Bryant	50.0	2
	Chatigny	0.0	1
	Covello	66.7	3
	Eginton		0
	Garfinkel		0
	Haight		0
	Hall	0.0	3
	Merriam		0
	Meyer		0
	Nagala		0
	Shea		0
	Squatrito		0
	Thompson	0.0	1
	Underhill	0.0	4
Williams		0	
Florida Northern	Total	0.0	12
	Collier		0
	Hinkle	0.0	3
	Mickle		0
	Rodgers	0.0	1
	Smoak	0.0	1
	Stafford		0
	Vinson	0.0	1


		Contested Win Rate	No. of Contested Judgments
Florida Northern	Walker	0.0	6
	Wetherell		0
	Winsor		0
Missouri Eastern	Total	37.8	37
	Autrey	100.0	1
	Baker		0
	Bodenhause		0
	Clark		0
	Cohen		0
	Collins	0.0	3
	Fleissig	33.3	3
	Hamilton	0.0	2
	Jackson	14.3	7
	Limbaugh	0.0	2
	Mensah		0
	Noce		0
	Perry	40.0	5
	Pitlyk		0
	Ross	100.0	3
	Schelp	0.0	1
	Shaw	50.0	2
	Sippel	33.3	3
	Webber	80.0	5
Welby		0	
White		0	
South Carolina	Total	19.2	26
	Anderson		0
	Austin		0
	Cain	0.0	1
	Childs		0
	Coggins		0
	Currie	0.0	8
	Dawson		0
	Duffy		0

		Contested Win Rate	No. of Contested Judgments
South Carolina	Floyd	100.0	1
	Gergel	0.0	1
	Harwell	50.0	2
	Hendricks		0
	Herlong	0.0	3
	Lewis		0
	Lydon		0
	McDonald	66.7	3
	Norton	0.0	2
	Schroeder	0.0	2
	Seymour	50.0	2
Wooten	0.0	1	

These districts are compared in various ways in the sections which follow.

Comparison of Number of Patent Cases Filed

The number of patent cases filed in each district are shown below, broken out by year from 2000 on.



		Total
California Eastern	Total	226
	2000	6
	2001	3
	2002	8
	2003	6
	2004	6
	2005	10
	2006	5
	2007	1
	2008	5
	2009	12
	2010	10
	2011	15
2012	13	

		Total
California Eastern	2013	18
	2014	16
	2015	3
	2016	6
	2017	12
	2018	8
	2019	15
	2020	10
	2021	7
	2022	10
	2023	10
	2024	4
	2025	7
Connecticut	Total	466
	2000	21
	2001	29
	2002	25
	2003	26
	2004	14
	2005	19
	2006	29
	2007	19
	2008	21
	2009	16
	2010	26
	2011	20
	2012	19
	2013	35
	2014	27
	2015	29
	2016	29
	2017	16
2018	11	
2019	11	


		Total
Connecticut	2020	6
	2021	5
	2022	5
	2023	6
	2024	2
Florida Northern	Total	106
	2000	4
	2001	4
	2002	5
	2003	1
	2004	4
	2005	2
	2006	3
	2007	2
	2008	1
	2009	2
	2010	5
	2011	10
	2012	13
	2013	5
	2014	5
	2015	3
	2016	10
	2017	3
	2018	2
	2019	4
	2020	4
	2021	4
	2022	4
	2023	2
2024	2	
2025	2	
Missouri Eastern	Total	454
	2000	23

		Total
Missouri Eastern	2001	21
	2002	24
	2003	33
	2004	30
	2005	17
	2006	31
	2007	27
	2008	29
	2009	30
	2010	20
	2011	19
	2012	25
	2013	20
	2014	8
	2015	13
	2016	13
	2017	9
	2018	9
	2019	8
	2020	7
	2021	10
	2022	9
	2023	11
	2024	3
	2025	5
South Carolina	Total	244
	2000	7
	2001	6
	2002	23
	2003	17
	2004	16
	2005	17
	2006	11
	2007	12

		Total
South Carolina	2008	9
	2009	6
	2010	12
	2011	11
	2012	13
	2013	10
	2014	8
	2015	4
	2016	6
	2017	8
	2018	3
	2019	8
	2020	4
	2021	7
	2022	4
	2023	7
2024	7	
2025	8	

Comparison of Overall Case Win Rates

The overall patentee win rate in patent cases for these courts varied as shown below. (The overall win rate includes all cases in which a judgment is entered in favor of a party, including consent and default judgments.)



		Overall Win Rate	No. of Judgments
California Eastern	Total	48.5	33
	Barnes		0
	Bastian		0
	Boone		0
	Burrell	50.0	2
	Calabretta		0
	Claire		0
	Drozd	0.0	2
	England	50.0	4
	Ishii	50.0	4
	Mendez	50.0	2
	Moulds		0
	Mueller	0.0	1
	Newman		0
	Nunley	66.7	6
	O`Neill		0
Riordan		0	
Sherriff	0.0	2	


		Overall Win Rate	No. of Judgments
California Eastern	Shubb	55.6	9
	Thurston	100.0	1
Connecticut	Total	67.0	50
	Arterton	70.0	10
	Bolden	50.0	2
	Bryant	50.0	2
	Chatigny	83.3	6
	Covello	83.3	6
	Eginton		0
	Garfinkel	0.0	1
	Haight	87.5	4
	Hall	57.1	7
	Merriam		0
	Meyer	100.0	1
	Nagala		0
	Shea		0
	Squatrito	100.0	1
	Thompson	75.0	4
	Underhill	33.3	6
	Williams		0
Florida Northern	Total	36.8	19
	Collier	100.0	1
	Hinkle	50.0	6
	Mickle		0
	Rodgers	0.0	1
	Smoak	50.0	2
	Stafford		0
	Vinson	0.0	1
	Walker	14.3	7
	Wetherell	100.0	1
	Winsor		0
Missouri Eastern	Total	77.8	108
	Autrey	100.0	7
	Baker		0

		Overall Win Rate	No. of Judgments
Missouri Eastern	Bodenhausen		0
	Clark		0
	Cohen		0
	Collins	0.0	3
	Fleissig	81.8	11
	Hamilton	66.7	6
	Jackson	57.1	14
	Limbaugh	50.0	4
	Mensah		0
	Noce	83.3	6
	Perry	84.2	19
	Pitlyk	100.0	1
	Ross	100.0	7
	Schelp	0.0	1
	Shaw	87.5	8
	Sippel	75.0	8
	Webber	92.3	13
	Welby		0
	White		0
	South Carolina	Total	52.1
Anderson			0
Austin		50.0	2
Cain		50.0	2
Childs			0
Coggins		100.0	1
Currie		33.3	12
Dawson			0
Duffy		0.0	1
Floyd		100.0	1
Gergel		66.7	3
Harwell		66.7	3
Hendricks			0
Herlong		40.0	5
Lewis		100.0	2

		Overall Win Rate	No. of Judgments
South Carolina	Lydon	100.0	1
	McDonald	66.7	3
	Norton	0.0	2
	Schroeder	0.0	2
	Seymour	50.0	2
	Wooten	83.3	6


Comparison of Trial Win Rates

The average patentee win rate at trial (both bench and jury) for these courts varied as shown below.



Comparison of Bench and Jury Trial Win Rates


The average patentee win rate by bench trial and by jury trial for these courts is shown below.



	Bench Win Rate	No. of Bench Trials	Jury Win Rate	No. of Jury Verdicts
California Eastern	100.0	1	33.3	3
Connecticut	100.0	3	100.0	2
Florida Northern		0	0.0	1
Missouri Eastern		0	70.8	12
South Carolina	25.0	4	33.3	6


Affirmance Rates

The average affirmance rate and average complete affirmance rate in patent cases for these districts are shown below. The affirmance rate is calculated from the number of appeals decisions affirming the lower court, at least in part, divided by the total number of appellate decisions. Dismissed appeals are not included in the computation. The complete affirmance rate only uses decisions which completely affirmed the lower court, with no other outcome, such as affirmed in part, remanded in part.



Time to Termination: All Cases

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases in these districts is shown below. All terminated cases (including voluntary dismissals, settlements, etc. are included).



The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, by outcome and number of outcomes for each type of outcome are shown below.

		Total	
Total		13.5	1,463
Bankruptcy Stay	Total	6.1	3
	California Eastern	3.1	1
	Missouri Eastern	0.3	1
	South Carolina	14.9	1
Bench Trial	Total	54.2	8
	California Eastern	111.8	1
	Connecticut	56.8	3
	South Carolina	37.8	4
Consent Judgment	Total	15.4	124
	California Eastern	22.3	11
	Connecticut	22.3	26
	Florida Northern	12.3	4

		Total	
Consent Judgment	Missouri Eastern	12.8	63
	South Carolina	11.6	20
Consolidated	Total	5.0	30
	Connecticut	3.9	6
	Florida Northern	0.5	7
	Missouri Eastern	7.3	17
Default Judgment	Total	17.2	19
	California Eastern	8.5	3
	Connecticut	13.0	3
	Florida Northern	26.9	3
	Missouri Eastern	16.3	8
	South Carolina	25.2	2
Frivolous	Total	4.1	7
	Missouri Eastern	2.5	3
	South Carolina	5.4	4
Improper Venue	Total	4.5	4
	Florida Northern	4.5	1
	Missouri Eastern	4.0	2
	South Carolina	5.3	1
Intra-District Transfer	Total	0.0	1
	South Carolina	0.0	1
Involuntary Dismissal	Total	12.7	32
	California Eastern	12.3	6
	Connecticut	17.8	5
	Florida Northern	12.2	7
	Missouri Eastern	10.5	9
	South Carolina	12.6	5
Judgment as a Matter of Law	Total	39.1	1

		Total	
Judgment as a	Connecticut	39.1	1
Jury Verdict	Total	30.8	24
	California Eastern	35.1	3
	Connecticut	44.4	2
	Florida Northern	30.0	1
	Missouri Eastern	33.9	12
	South Carolina	18.0	6
Lack of Jurisdiction	Total	10.2	17
	California Eastern	6.9	2
	Connecticut	14.1	5
	Missouri Eastern	6.5	6
	South Carolina	12.5	4
MDL Transfer	Total	7.0	24
	California Eastern	2.8	3
	Connecticut	9.8	12
	Florida Northern	6.4	1
	Missouri Eastern	4.8	7
	South Carolina	2.6	1
Other Settlement	Total	19.7	104
	California Eastern	28.2	11
	Connecticut	22.4	38
	Florida Northern	11.8	6
	Missouri Eastern	20.3	8
	South Carolina	16.0	41
Other Termination	Total	7.3	35
	California Eastern	3.8	13
	Connecticut	6.1	3
	Florida Northern	4.2	2
	Missouri Eastern	32.9	3
	South Carolina	5.9	14


		Total	
Referral to Arbitration	Total	15.1	2
	Missouri Eastern	15.1	2
Remand to State Court	Total	4.4	7
	California Eastern	2.7	2
	Connecticut	8.7	2
	Florida Northern	1.8	1
	Missouri Eastern	2.9	2
Stay	Total	11.8	1
	Missouri Eastern	11.8	1
Summary Judgment	Total	34.9	49
	California Eastern	38.3	9
	Connecticut	42.7	10
	Florida Northern	17.6	3
	Missouri Eastern	34.1	16
	South Carolina	30.7	11
Transfer	Total	7.6	67
	California Eastern	4.5	8
	Connecticut	8.3	25
	Florida Northern	4.0	7
	Missouri Eastern	9.1	15
	South Carolina	8.3	12
Voluntary Dismissal	Total	11.9	890
	California Eastern	15.0	139
	Connecticut	11.5	312
	Florida Northern	11.2	61
	Missouri Eastern	11.7	271
	South Carolina	10.0	107
Want of Prosecution	Total	12.6	14

		Total	
Want of Prosecution	California Eastern	18.9	1
	Connecticut	15.4	8
	Florida Northern	10.3	1
	Missouri Eastern	5.8	4

Time to Termination: All Cases with Judgments


The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by judgment in these districts is shown below. This includes cases terminated by consent and default judgments.

Average Time to Termination: Cases with Judgments




Time to Termination: All Cases with Contested Judgments

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by contested judgment in these districts is shown below. This does NOT include cases terminated by consent and default judgments.




Time to Termination: Trials

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by trials in these districts is shown below. This includes both bench and jury trials.




Time to Termination: Bench Trials

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by bench trials in these districts is shown below.




Time to Termination: Jury Trials

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by jury trials in these districts is shown below.




Time to Termination: Summary Judgment

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by summary judgment in these districts is shown below. Note that the time to decision on summary judgment motions is a different metric and is considered below in the motions section of the report.




Time to Termination: Transfer

The average time from case filing to case termination, in months, for all patent cases terminated by transfer in these districts is shown below. Note that the time to decision on transfer motions is a different metric and is considered below in the motions section of the report. The average time to termination by transfer include terminations by MDL transfer.



Percentage of Cases Terminated by Judgment and by Contested Judgment


The percentage of patent cases terminated by judgments in favor of a party (includes consent and default judgments) and by contested judgments in these districts varied as shown below. These percentages are the fraction of all closed cases.




	All Judgments	Contested
Total	17.6	7.9
California Eastern	15.5	8.9
Connecticut	10.8	4.6
Florida Northern	18.1	11.4
Missouri Eastern	24.1	8.2
South Carolina	20.5	11.1

Terminations by Consent Judgment

The percentage of patent cases terminated by consent judgment in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by consent judgment.




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by consent judgment is shown below, by district.



The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by consent judgment is illustrated below.


Distribution of Consent Judgment Terminations, by Month of Litigation



Terminations by Involuntary Dismissal


The percentage of patent cases terminated by involuntary dismissal in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by involuntary dismissal, and does not include terminations for lack of jurisdiction.

Percentage Terminations by Involuntary Dismissal




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by involuntary dismissal is shown below, by district.

Average Time to Disposition by Involuntary Dismissal




The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by involuntary dismissal is illustrated below.

Distribution of Involuntary Dismissal Terminations, by Month of Litigation




Terminations by Summary Judgment

The percentage of patent cases terminated by summary judgment in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by summary judgment, and does not include non-dispositive summary judgment motions. For the latter, see the summary judgment motion section below.




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by summary judgment is shown below, by district.



The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by summary judgment is illustrated below.


Distribution of Summary Judgment Terminations by Month of Litigation



Terminations by Transfer


The percentage of patent cases terminated by transfer in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by transfer, and does not include non-dispositive transfer motions. For the latter, see the transfer motion section below. This section includes terminations by MDL transfer.

Percentage of Cases Terminated by Transfer




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by transfer is shown below, by district.

Average Time to Disposition by Transfer




The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by transfer is illustrated below.

Distribution of Transfer Dispositions by Month of Litigation




Terminations by Bench Trial

The percentage of patent cases terminated by bench trial in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by bench trial. Terminations by jury trial are the topic of the following section.




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by bench trial is shown below, by district.




The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by bench trial is illustrated below. Note the scale on this chart: the distribution is measured in 3-month intervals.

Distribution of Bench Trial Terminations, by Quarter of Litigation




Terminations by Jury Trial

The percentage of patent cases terminated by jury trial in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by jury trial. For bench trials, see the preceding section.




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by jury trial is shown below, by district.



Terminations by Voluntary Dismissals and Other Settlements


The percentage of patent cases terminated by voluntary dismissals and other settlements in these districts varied as shown below. This percentage is the fraction of all closed cases terminated by voluntary dismissals and other settlements. For consent judgments, see above.

Percentage of Cases Terminated by Voluntary Dismissal or Other Settlement




The average time (in months) from case filing to termination of these cases by voluntary dismissal or other settlement is shown below, by district.

Average Time to Disposition by Voluntary Dismissal or Other Settlement




The distribution of terminations, by month of litigation, for cases terminated by voluntary dismissal or other settlement is illustrated below.




Comparison of Average Number of Patent Cases Per Judge

The variation in the average number of patent cases per judge in these districts is shown below. Note that these figures include cases assigned to magistrate judges in those districts where that occurs.




Summary Judgment Motions (Dispositive and Non-Dispositive)

The contested win rate on summary judgment motions in these districts is shown below. In computing win rates, a decision granting a motion in part and denying the motion in part is treated as 1/2 a "win".



The average time from motion filing to decision on summary judgment motions in these districts is shown below.




The number of contested decisions on summary judgment motions in these districts is shown below.


	Total
California Eastern	41
Connecticut	148
Florida Northern	33
Missouri Eastern	123
South Carolina	55

Preliminary Injunction Motions

The contested win rate on preliminary injunction motions in these districts is shown below. In computing win rates, a decision granting a motion in part and denying the motion in part is treated as 1/2 a "win".



The average time from motion filing to decision on preliminary injunction motions in these districts is shown below.




The number of contested decisions on preliminary injunction motions in these districts is shown below.

	Total
California Eastern	9
Connecticut	11
Florida Northern	3
Missouri Eastern	9
South Carolina	7

Transfer Motions


The contested win rate on transfer motions in these districts is shown below. In computing win rates, a decision granting a motion in part and denying the motion in part is treated as 1/2 a "win". For cases

Transfer Motion Contested Win Rates



The average time from motion filing to decision on contested transfer motions in these districts is shown below.

Average Time to Decision on Transfer Motions




The number of contested decisions on transfer motions in these districts is shown below.

	Total
California Eastern	6
Connecticut	44
Florida Northern	11
Missouri Eastern	58
South Carolina	17

Claim Construction/Markman Rulings

The average time from case filing to claim construction/Markman ruling for these districts is shown below. These figures do not include claim construction rulings made in connection with summary judgment




The number of claim construction decisions in these districts is shown below.

	Total
California Eastern	13
Connecticut	20
Florida Northern	3
Missouri Eastern	25
South Carolina	20


Divisional Breakdown: Overall Win Rates

The overall win rates in these cases, by division, if any, are shown below for these districts. These overall win rates include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Contested Win Rates

The contested win rates in these cases are shown below, by division, if any. These contested win rates do NOT include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Trial Win Rate

The win rates in these cases which were terminated by trials are shown below, by division, if any. These trial win rates include both bench and jury trials.



Divisional Breakdown: Complete Affirmance Rate

The complete affirmance rates in these cases are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts. Complete affirmance rates count only those cases in which the court of appeals affirmed with no other action (e.g., affirmed in part, vacated in part decisions are excluded from this computation).




Divisional Breakdown: Cases Filed

The numbers of cases filed are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts, broken out by year.


Cases Filed Each Year, by Division

For California Eastern District Court




Divisional Breakdown: Overall Win Rates

The overall win rates in these cases, by division, if any, are shown below for these districts. These overall win rates include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Contested Win Rates

The contested win rates in these cases are shown below, by division, if any. These contested win rates do NOT include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Trial Win Rate

The win rates in these cases which were terminated by trials are shown below, by division, if any. These trial win rates include both bench and jury trials.



Divisional Breakdown: Complete Affirmance Rate

The complete affirmance rates in these cases are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts. Complete affirmance rates count only those cases in which the court of appeals affirmed with no other action (e.g., affirmed in part, vacated in part decisions are excluded from this computation).




Divisional Breakdown: Cases Filed

The numbers of cases filed are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts, broken out by year.


Cases Filed Each Year, by Division

For Connecticut District Court




Divisional Breakdown: Overall Win Rates

The overall win rates in these cases, by division, if any, are shown below for these districts. These overall win rates include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Contested Win Rates

The contested win rates in these cases are shown below, by division, if any. These contested win rates do NOT include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Trial Win Rate

The win rates in these cases which were terminated by trials are shown below, by division, if any. These trial win rates include both bench and jury trials.



Divisional Breakdown: Complete Affirmance Rate

The complete affirmance rates in these cases are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts. Complete affirmance rates count only those cases in which the court of appeals affirmed with no other action (e.g., affirmed in part, vacated in part decisions are excluded from this computation).




Divisional Breakdown: Cases Filed

The numbers of cases filed are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts, broken out by year.


Cases Filed Each Year, by Division

For Florida Northern District Court




Divisional Breakdown: Overall Win Rates

The overall win rates in these cases, by division, if any, are shown below for these districts. These overall win rates include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Contested Win Rates

The contested win rates in these cases are shown below, by division, if any. These contested win rates do NOT include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Trial Win Rate

The win rates in these cases which were terminated by trials are shown below, by division, if any. These trial win rates include both bench and jury trials.



Divisional Breakdown: Complete Affirmance Rate

The complete affirmance rates in these cases are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts. Complete affirmance rates count only those cases in which the court of appeals affirmed with no other action (e.g., affirmed in part, vacated in part decisions are excluded from this computation).




Divisional Breakdown: Cases Filed

The numbers of cases filed are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts, broken out by year.


Cases Filed Each Year, by Division

For Missouri Eastern District Court




Divisional Breakdown: Overall Win Rates

The overall win rates in these cases, by division, if any, are shown below for these districts. These overall win rates include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Contested Win Rates

The contested win rates in these cases are shown below, by division, if any. These contested win rates do NOT include consent and default judgments.




Divisional Breakdown: Trial Win Rate

The win rates in these cases which were terminated by trials are shown below, by division, if any. These trial win rates include both bench and jury trials.



Divisional Breakdown: Complete Affirmance Rate

The complete affirmance rates in these cases are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts. Complete affirmance rates count only those cases in which the court of appeals affirmed with no other action (e.g., affirmed in part, vacated in part decisions are excluded from this computation).



Divisional Breakdown: Cases Filed

The numbers of cases filed are shown below for the divisions, if any, of these districts, broken out by year.

Cases Filed Each Year, by Division

For South Carolina District Court

