



LegalMetric Individual Judge Report
Judge Leonard P. Stark
Patent Cases
August 2010 to April 2022

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc. Use of this information by anyone other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, or disclosure of this information to persons other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, without the consent of LegalMetric, Inc. is prohibited.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

Overview:

Total Cases and Judgments


Judge Stark was appointed to the bench on August 10, 2010. This judge has been assigned 2439 patent cases. Of these, 2315 cases have been terminated. There have been judgments in favor of a party (includes consent and default judgments) in 261 case(s). The patent owner prevailed in 51.3% of these cases (while the accused infringer prevailed in 48.7% of these cases). (Note: In those instances where the judge previously served as a magistrate, earlier rulings issued while the judge was a magistrate are included in this report.)

	Nation	Stark		Total
Overall Win Rate	54.5	51.3	Overall Win Rate	51.3
Contested Win Rate	20.8	37.5	Contested Win Rate	37.5
Trial Win Rate	62.1	62.5	Trial Win Rate	62.5
Pendency (All Cases)	12.2	14.6	Pendency (All Cases)	14.6
Judgment Pendency	21.0	29.7	Judgment Pendency	29.7
Contested Pendency	26.8	36.7	Contested Pendency	36.7
Trial Pendency	36.9	42.6	Trial Pendency	42.6


Color Scheme: Red in the table above indicates a win rate more than 10% more favorable to the ACCUSED INFRINGER than the national average, or a pendency time at least 6 months SLOWER than the national average. Yellow indicates a win rate from 0% to 10% more favorable to the ACCUSED INFRINGER than the national average, or a pendency time from 0 to 6 months SLOWER than the national average. Bright (lime) green indicates a win rate from 0% to 10% more favorable to the PATENTEE than the national average, or a pendency time from 0 to 6 months FASTER than the national average. And dark green indicates a win rate more than 10% more favorable to the PATENTEE than the national average, or a pendency time over 6 months FASTER than the national average.

The number of patent cases assigned to Judge Stark by year is shown in the chart to the right. Note that this includes cases filed in previous years and reassigned to this judge, where applicable.

Number of Cases Filed, by Year




Number of Cases Decided, by Year



The number of patent cases decided by Judge Stark by year is shown in the chart to the left. This can include cases decided earlier which are subsequently assigned to this judge for post-decision rulings.

One- and Five-Year Percentage of Cases for This Judge




Fraction of Cases Assigned to This Judge, By Court: The fraction of cases assigned to this judge by court (and division if applicable) is shown in the chart to the left and in the table below. The figures are further broken out by percentage of cases filed in the previous five years and by percentage of cases filed in the previous year.

	Total	
	Percentage of Cases Filed in Last Five Years	Percentage of Cases Filed in Last Year
Total	21.5	12.9
Wilmington	21.5	12.9

Patent Case Outcomes for Judge Stark: The chart below shows the breakdown, by case outcome, for closed cases of this judge.

Case Outcomes for Judge




	Total
Total	2315
Bankruptcy Stay	3
Bench Trial	27
Consent Judgment	112
Consolidated	87
Default Judgment	5
Frivolous	1
Improper Venue	1
Involuntary Dismissal	29
Judgment as a Matter of L	1
Jury Verdict	21
Lack of Jurisdiction	15
MDL Transfer	17
Other Settlement	15
Other Termination	32
Remand to State Court	1
Summary Judgment	42
Transfer	38
Voluntary Dismissal	1867
Want of Prosecution	1

Overview:


Contested Judgments

There have been 144 contested judgments in these cases (does not include consent and default judgments). The patent owner prevailed in 37.5% of these cases, while the accused infringer prevailed in 62.5% of these cases. These figures are compared to the corresponding nationwide numbers below. In addition, the patentee contested win rate for the judge by year is shown in the second chart below.

Contested Win Rates, Judge v. Nation




Contested Win Rate by Year



Overview:


Trials

There have been 48 terminations by trial in these cases (includes both bench and jury trials). The patent owner prevailed in 62.5% of these case, while the accused infringer prevailed in 37.5% of these cases. Trials on which judgment has not been entered are not included in these figures. These figures are compared to the corresponding nationwide numbers below.



Patentee Win Rates: By Nominating President: The number of patent cases in this district assigned to active judges and the win rates for those cases are shown below, broken out by the nominating president.


Number of Cases - by Nominating President



Number of Cases: The number of cases assigned to active judges in this district is shown in the chart to the left, broken out by nominating president. This includes all cases of the type covered by this report.


Patentee Win Rates: The win rates for these cases, broken out by nominating president, are shown in the chart to the right. This includes overall win rates (includes consent and default judgments), contested win rates (does NOT include consent and default judgments), and trial win rates (cases decided by bench trial or jury verdict).

Win Rates by Nominating President




	Total					
	Overall Win Rate	# of Judgments	Contested Win Rate	# of Contested Judgments	Trial Win Rate	# of Trial Judgements
Barack Obama	43.0	470	33.7	306	68.2	110
Donald J. Trump	34.4	64	18.8	48	63.6	11
George Bush	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	0
George W. Bush	48.0	75	39.1	64	69.4	36
William J. Clinton	35.0	20	23.5	17	57.1	7

Ineligible Subject Matter (Alice) Motion Win Rates



Alice Win Rates: Decisions on contested motions relating to patent eligibility (35 USC 101) decided by Judge Stark since June, 2014 were examined to determine the percentage of such decisions finding patent ineligibility. There were 84 such decisions found. The combined win rate for these motions was 45.8%. The win rates on these motions by type of motion are shown in the chart to the left and in the table below. Other charts show the number of decisions and the average and median time to decision. The average time from motion filing to decision is also shown in tables below.


Number of Ineligible Subject Matter (Alice) Motion Decisions



	Total			
	Alice Win Rate	Number of Decisions	Average Time to Decision (Months)	Median Time to Decision (Months)
Total	45.8	84	8.3	7.1
Dismissal Motion	48.4	62	8.5	7.2
Judgment on the Pleadings	31.3	16	8.5	7.6
Summary Judgment	58.3	6	5.9	6.2

Average and Median Time to Decision


in Months from Motion Filing



Overview:

Markman Rulings


There were 201 Markman/claim construction rulings in these cases, not counting rulings made in connection with summary judgment motions. The average time from case filing to Markman rulings was 26.4 months. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Markman/Claim Construction section below.



Overview:

Summary Judgment

There were 293 summary judgment rulings on contested motions in these cases. The win rate on these contested motions was 38.4%. In this report, a decision granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as 1/2 a decision for the movant. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Summary Judgment Motion section below.




Overview:

Stay Pending Reexamination and Stay Pending Inter Partes Review

The win rate on motions to stay pending reexamination and on motions to stay pending Inter Partes Review for this judge are shown in the chart and table below. The table also includes the number of such motion decisions. In this report, a decision granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as 1/2 a decision for the movant. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Stay Motion section below.

Contested Stay Pending Reexam Win Rates, by Year




Total				
	Stay Pending Reexam Win Rate	# of Stay Pending Reexam Decisions	Stay Pending IPR Win Rate	# of Stay Pending IPR Decisions
Total	36.4	22	40.0	25
	40.0	10		0
2012	33.3	6		0
2013	100.0	1	50.0	4
2014	0.0	2	0.0	3
2015	0.0	1	25.0	4
2016	0.0	1	100.0	1
2017		0	0.0	2
2018		0	40.0	5
2019		0	100.0	2
2021	100.0	1	50.0	4

Overview:

Time to Disposition-All Cases

The average time from case filing to case disposition by Judge Stark is 14.6 months. The distribution of case terminations by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.

Distribution of All Closed Cases




Overview:

Time to Disposition-Cases with Judgments

The average time from case filing to case disposition by judgment in favor of a party (includes consent and default judgments) in these cases is 29.7 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.

Distribution of Cases Closed by Judgment




Overview:

Time to Disposition-Contested Judgments

The average time from case filing to case disposition by contested judgment (does NOT include consent and default judgments) in these cases is 36.7 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.

Distribution of Cases Closed by Contested Judgment




Overview:

Time to Disposition-Trials

The average time from case filing to case disposition by trial (includes bench and jury trials) in these cases is 42.6 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.


Distribution of Cases Closed by Trial



Case Outcomes with Judgments:

The win rates for patent owners and accused infringers by various outcomes are shown below. Details for each outcome are set forth in the following sections of the report.


	Patentee Win Rate	Accused Infringer
Total	51.3	48.7
Bench Trial	63.0	37.0
Consent Judgment	67.0	33.0
Consolidated	100.0	0.0
Default Judgment	100.0	0.0
Involuntary Dismissal	0.0	100.0
Judgment as a Matter of Law	0.0	100.0
Jury Verdict	61.9	38.1
Other Termination	75.0	25.0
Summary Judgment	9.5	90.5



Bench Trial

There was/were 27 case(s) terminated by Bench Trial. The patentee win rate was 63.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 37.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 34.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:09cv00184	Bench Trial	Patentee	38.7
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:09cv00483	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	36.5
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:09cv00651	Bench Trial	Patentee	50.3
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:09cv00703	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	33.1
Galderma Laboratories LP et al v. Tolmar Inc.	1:10cv00045	Bench Trial	Patentee	32.1
Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al	1:10cv00892	Bench Trial	Patentee	19.7
Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:10cv01112	Bench Trial	Patentee	19.0
Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:11cv00477	Bench Trial	Patentee	12.9
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Actavis South Atlantic LLC et al	1:11cv00704	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	33.1
Cadence Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al. v. Exela Pharma Sciences LLC et al.	1:11cv00733	Bench Trial	Patentee	28.3

Bench Trial

There was/were 27 case(s) terminated by Bench Trial. The patentee win rate was 63.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 37.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 34.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Bayer Pharma AG et al v. Watson Laboratories Inc.	1:12cv01726	Bench Trial	Patentee	48.3
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:14cv00121	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	29.8
Johns Hopkins University v. 454 Life Sciences Corporation	1:13cv01853	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	39.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc. et al	1:13cv01206	Bench Trial	Patentee	37.8
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:14cv00686	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	25.9
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd. et al.	1:14cv00882	Bench Trial	Patentee	33.6
UCB Inc. et al v. Watson Laboratories Inc. (NV) et al	1:14cv01083	Bench Trial	Patentee	39.8
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL Inc.	1:15cv00164	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	33.1
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Alvogen Pine Brook LLC	1:15cv00193	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	32.8
Galderma Laboratories L.P. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:16cv00207	Bench Trial	Patentee	29.1
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. CIPLA Limited et al	1:16cv00988	Bench Trial	Patentee	42.7

Bench Trial

There was/were 27 case(s) terminated by Bench Trial. The patentee win rate was 63.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 37.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 34.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Galderma Laboratories, L.P. et al v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:16cv01003	Bench Trial	Patentee	35.4
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.	1:17cv00374	Bench Trial	Patentee	40.3
Belcher Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Hospira, Inc.	1:17cv00775	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	33.5
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Lupin Limited, et al	1:18cv00088	Bench Trial	Patentee	45.5
A. O. Smith Corporation v. Bradford White Corporation	1:18cv00412	Bench Trial	Patentee	40.3
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:18cv00699	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	28.2

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
St. Clair Property v. Canon Inc., et al	1:03cv00241	Consent Judgment	Patentee	98.1
In re: Rembrandt Technologies LP Patent Litigation	1:07md01848	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	50.6
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Fujifilm Holdings Corporation et al	1:08cv00373	Consent Judgment	Patentee	34.4
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Mylan Inc. et al	1:09cv00033	Consent Judgment	Patentee	18.2
Eleven Engineering, Inc et al v. Microsoft Corporation	1:09cv00903	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	79.5
Enova Technology Corporation v. Initio Corporation et al	1:10cv00004	Consent Judgment	Patentee	37.9
Alza Corporation et al v. Kremers Urban LLC et al	1:10cv00023	Consent Judgment	Patentee	20.2
Cloud Farm Associates LP v. Volkswagen Group of America Inc. et al	1:10cv00502	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	66.0
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP et al v. Glenmark Generics Inc. USA	1:10cv00543	Consent Judgment	Patentee	21.0
AstraZeneca UK Limited et al v. Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:10cv00915	Consent Judgment	Patentee	29.0
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:10cv01050	Consent Judgment	Patentee	9.4

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Systemation Inc. v. Hart & Cooley Inc.	1:11cv00142	Consent Judgment	Patentee	6.1
Cadence Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Wockhardt Limited et al	1:14cv00094	Consent Judgment	Patentee	2.3
Systemation Inc. v. Production Products Inc.	1:11cv00487	Consent Judgment	Patentee	0.9
UCB Inc. et al v. Mallinckrodt Inc.	1:12cv00463	Consent Judgment	Patentee	18.4
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. et al	1:12cv00707	Consent Judgment	Patentee	6.8
AKZO Nobel Coatings, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Company	1:12cv01264	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	27.9
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd.	1:12cv01663	Consent Judgment	Patentee	11.3
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Sidmak Laboratories (India) Pvt. Ltd.	1:12cv01664	Consent Judgment	Patentee	7.2
ZOLL Medical Corporation v. Respiroics Inc.	1:12cv01778	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	41.3
SecureBuy LLC v. CardinalCommerce Corporation	1:13cv01792	Consent Judgment	Patentee	7.5
Sarif Biomedical LLC v. Brainlab Inc. et al	1:13cv00846	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	28.7
Research Frontiers Incorporated v. E Ink Corporation et al	1:13cv01231	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	42.7 19

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al. v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Ltd., et al.	1:13cv01279	Consent Judgment	Patentee	26.9
M/A-COM Technology Solutions Holdings Inc. v. Laird Technologies Inc.	1:14cv00181	Consent Judgment	Patentee	14.3
Pragmatum Mobile LLC v. Lenovo Holding Company Inc. et al.	1:14cv00440	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	18.8
Sirona Dental Systems GmbH et al v. OnDemand3D Technology Inc.	1:14cv00539	Consent Judgment	Patentee	12.8
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:14cv00909	Consent Judgment	Patentee	17.2
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd.	1:14cv00917	Consent Judgment	Patentee	24.8
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc.	1:14cv00932	Consent Judgment	Patentee	25.1
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:14cv00955	Consent Judgment	Patentee	32.4
Cadence Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Agila Specialties Inc. et al	1:14cv01499	Consent Judgment	Patentee	24.8
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al v. Roxane Laboratories Inc.	1:14cv01511	Consent Judgment	Patentee	9.9
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Par Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:15cv00116	Consent Judgment	Patentee	17.7
Wi-Lan Inc. v. Sharp Electronics Corporation	1:15cv00379	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	52.0

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:15cv00391	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.5
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:15cv00669	Consent Judgment	Patentee	44.5
Wi-Lan Inc. v. Vizio, Inc.	1:15cv00788	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	48.1
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. v. Par Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:15cv00824	Consent Judgment	Patentee	4.2
Shire Development LLC et al v. Apotex, Inc. et al	1:15cv01045	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.9
Bright House Networks, LLC v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16cv00277	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	18.5
Novartis AG et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:16cv00289	Consent Judgment	Patentee	36.3
Alvogen Pine Brook LLC v. Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:16cv00395	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.4
Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC et al v. Sagent Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	1:17cv00011	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.1
UCB, Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:16cv00451	Consent Judgment	Patentee	28.0
UCB, Inc. et al v. Hetero USA Inc. et al	1:16cv00452	Consent Judgment	Patentee	27.1
Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V. v. Xellia Pharmaceuticals ApS	1:16cv00554	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.8

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies LLC v. Time Warner Cable LLC, et al	1:16cv00692	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v Charter Communications Inc	1:16cv00694	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Aruba Networks, Inc. et al	1:16cv00696	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.	1:16cv00697	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	1:16cv00698	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.	1:16cv00699	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.8
Shire Development LLC et al v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd.	1:16cv00747	Consent Judgment	Patentee	6.9
In re: Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16md02722	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.9
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Hologic Inc. et al.	1:16cv00894	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	25.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:16cv00903	Consent Judgment	Patentee	23.7

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. MSN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:16cv00999	Consent Judgment	Patentee	30.4
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Sagent Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	1:16cv01000	Consent Judgment	Patentee	30.4
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:16cv01001	Consent Judgment	Patentee	30.6
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. et al	1:16cv01011	Consent Judgment	Patentee	30.4
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC et al	1:16cv01012	Consent Judgment	Patentee	30.2
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. InnoPharma Inc.	1:16cv01036	Consent Judgment	Patentee	29.9
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:16cv01039	Consent Judgment	Patentee	29.9
Mallinckrodt IP v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:16cv01115	Consent Judgment	Patentee	1.3
Novartis AG et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:17cv00048	Consent Judgment	Patentee	27.6
Mobile Communications Technologies, LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:17cv00463	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	6.4
Baxalta Incorporated et al v. Genentech, Inc. et al	1:17cv00509	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	21.0
Bayer AG et al v. Mayne Pharma LLC	1:17cv01037	Consent Judgment	Patentee	4.8
				23

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Horatio Washington Depot Technologies LLC v. Tolmar, Inc. et al	1:17cv01086	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	22.0
Insys Therapeutics, Inc. et al v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:17cv01419	Consent Judgment	Patentee	9.1
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.	1:17cv01699	Consent Judgment	Patentee	17.5
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Dr. Reddys Laboratories, Inc et al.	1:17cv01811	Consent Judgment	Patentee	16.9
CyDex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al	1:17cv01832	Consent Judgment	Patentee	22.5
Mallinckrodt IP Unlimited Company et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.	1:17cv01877	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.0
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:18cv00132	Consent Judgment	Patentee	15.4
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:18cv00262	Consent Judgment	Patentee	14.9
Collegium Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:18cv00300	Consent Judgment	Patentee	31.5
INFINITY COMPUTER PRODUCTS, INC. v. OKI DATAAMERICAS, INC.	1:18cv00463	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	19.1

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. et al v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC et al	1:18cv00548	Consent Judgment	Patentee	0.6
Eisai Co., Ltd. et al v. Bionpharma Inc.	1:18cv00618	Consent Judgment	Patentee	6.0
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	1:18cv01039	Consent Judgment	Patentee	8.2
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Dell, Inc., et al.,	1:18cv01127	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	36.5
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company	1:18cv01128	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	36.5
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Hitachi Ltd. et al	1:18cv01129	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	36.5
Valeant Pharmaceuticals International et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. et al	1:18cv01288	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	14.2
Collegium Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:18cv01900	Consent Judgment	Patentee	22.2
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.	1:19cv00292	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.	1:19cv00295	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:19cv00071	Consent Judgment	Patentee	4.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Blackboard, Inc.	1:19cv00291	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation	1:19cv00293	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Kaltura, Inc.	1:19cv00294	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation	1:19cv00296	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Ooyala, Inc.	1:19cv00297	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.1
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Snap Inc.	1:19cv00534	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	27.9
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Trapelo Corp.	1:19cv00535	Consent Judgment	Accused Infringer	27.9
Mallinckrodt Hospital Products IP Limited et al v. Altan Pharma Ltd.	1:19cv00552	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.3
Collegium Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:19cv00876	Consent Judgment	Patentee	17.0
CyDex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Alembic Global Holding SA et al	1:19cv00956	Consent Judgment	Patentee	24.6
Eisai Co., Ltd. et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:19cv01336	Consent Judgment	Patentee	7.8
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	1:19cv01118	Consent Judgment	Patentee	16.4
Eisai Co., Ltd. et al v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:19cv01213	Consent Judgment	Patentee	5.7

Consent Judgment

There was/were 112 case(s) terminated by Consent Judgment. The patentee win rate was 67.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 33.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consent Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Eisai Co., Ltd. et al v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Limited et al	1:19cv01214	Consent Judgment	Patentee	2.0
CyDex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Lupin Limited et al	1:19cv02043	Consent Judgment	Patentee	18.1
Mallinckrodt Hospital Products IP Limited et al v. Baxter Healthcare Corporation	1:20cv00434	Consent Judgment	Patentee	1.1
Eisai Co., Ltd. et al v. MSN Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:20cv00791	Consent Judgment	Patentee	4.2
Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc.	1:20cv01287	Consent Judgment	Patentee	16.3
Canon Inc. v. Do It Wiser LLC	1:21cv00347	Consent Judgment	Patentee	1.8
Duchesnay Inc. et al v. Hetero Labs Limited et al	1:21cv00538	Consent Judgment	Patentee	7.1
Bausch & Lomb Incorporated et al v. New Era Real Estate Solutions LLC	1:21cv00731	Consent Judgment	Patentee	4.7
Duchesnay Inc. et al v. Hetero Labs Limited et al	1:21cv01130	Consent Judgment	Patentee	3.5

Consolidated

There was/were 8 case(s) terminated by Consolidated. The patentee win rate was 100.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 0.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 7.3. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Consolidated




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
UCB Inc. et al v. Alembic Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	1:13cv01207	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:13cv01208	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., et al.	1:13cv01210	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc. et al	1:13cv01211	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:13cv01214	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v Sun Pharma Global FZE et al	1:13cv01218	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:13cv01220	Consolidated	Patentee	7.1
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al v. Hetero Labs Ltd., et al.	1:13cv01280	Consolidated	Patentee	8.5

Default Judgment

There was/were 5 case(s) terminated by Default Judgment. The patentee win rate was 100.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 0.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 23.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Tristrata Technology Inc. v. Louise Bianco Skin Care Inc. et al	1:06cv00644	Default Judgment	Patentee	57.0
febit holding GmbH v. Codon Devices Inc.	1:07cv00385	Default Judgment	Patentee	40.9
DN Lookup Technologies LLC v. Paxfire Inc.	1:12cv00296	Default Judgment	Patentee	5.0
Revolar Technology Inc. v. GuardLlama, Inc.	1:20cv00713	Default Judgment	Patentee	5.0
Bausch & Lomb Incorporated et al v. EyeScience Labs LLC	1:21cv00730	Default Judgment	Patentee	9.4

Involuntary Dismissal

There was/were 29 case(s) terminated by Involuntary Dismissal. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 18.1. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Involuntary Dismissal



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Cumberland Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. InnoPharma Inc.	1:12cv00618	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	17.5
Cumberland Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Sagent Agila LLC et al	1:12cv00825	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	16.2
911 Notify LLC v. Carshield Services Inc.	1:14cv00081	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	6.2
Nova Chemicals Corporation (Canada) et al v. Dow Chemical Company	1:13cv01601	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	10.2
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01633	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	48.1
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:13cv01635	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	48.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01636	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	48.1
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01637	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	48.1
TriPlay Inc. et al v. WhatsApp Inc.	1:13cv01703	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	57.8
Data Engine Technologies LLC v. Google LLC	1:14cv01115	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	28.8
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Gemalto M2M et al	1:17cv00086	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	14.2
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. TCL Corporation, et al	1:17cv00091	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	14.2
				30

Involuntary Dismissal

There was/were 29 case(s) terminated by Involuntary Dismissal. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 18.1. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Involuntary Dismissal



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Telit Wireless Solutions, Inc.	1:17cv00092	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	14.1
Amgen Inc. et al v. Coherus Biosciences Inc.	1:17cv00546	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	11.3
DiStefano Patent Trust III, LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:17cv01798	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	10.1
MOAEC Technologies, LLC v. Deezer S.A. et al	1:18cv00375	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	11.5
MOAEC Technologies, LLC v. SoundCloud et al	1:18cv00376	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	11.5
MOAEC Technologies, LLC v. Spotify USA, Inc.	1:18cv00377	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	11.5
Location Based Services, LLC v. Mapillary Inc.	1:18cv01425	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	5.0
Biogen International GmbH v. Banner Life Sciences LLC	1:18cv02054	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	12.8
Pebble Tide LLC v. Arlo Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv00769	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	9.2
Pebble Tide LLC v. Petcube, Inc.	1:19cv01397	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	6.2
Pebble Tide LLC v. Uniden America Corporation	1:19cv01177	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	7.3
Blix Inc. v. Apple Inc.	1:19cv01869	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	21.2
Pivital IP LLC v. ActiveCampaign, LLC	1:19cv02176	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	10.7
Mentone Solutions LLC v. Elo Touch Solutions, Inc.	1:20cv00281	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	7.6
Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.	1:20cv00518	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	5.9

Involuntary Dismissal

There was/were 29 case(s) terminated by Involuntary Dismissal. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 18.1. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Involuntary Dismissal




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al	1:20cv01483	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	5.5
FiscalNote, Inc. v. Quorum Analytics Inc.	1:20cv01736	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	6.3

Judgment as a Matter of Law

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Judgment as a Matter of Law. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.4. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Sciences, Inc.	1:14cv00846	Judgment as a Matter of Law	Accused Infringer	44.4

Jury Verdict

There was/were 21 case(s) terminated by Jury Verdict. The patentee win rate was 61.9% and the accused infringer win rate was 38.1%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 53.6. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Jury Verdict



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Power Integrations v. Fairchild Semicond., et al	1:04cv01371	Jury Verdict	Patentee	75.5
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals v. Dey Pharma et al	1:06cv00113	Jury Verdict	Patentee	71.7
Laboratory Skin Care Inc. et al v. Limited Brands Inc. et al	1:06cv00601	Jury Verdict	Both	63.2
ICU Medical Inc. v. RyMed Technologies Inc.	1:07cv00468	Jury Verdict	Both	74.2
Power Integrations Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor International Inc. et al	1:08cv00309	Jury Verdict	Patentee	79.7
Leader Technologies Inc. v. Facebook Inc.	1:08cv00862	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	28.2
Masimo Corporation v. Philips Electronics North America Corporation et al	1:09cv00080	Jury Verdict	Patentee	68.4
B. Braun Melsungen AG et al v. Terumo Medical Corporation et al	1:09cv00347	Jury Verdict	Patentee	23.7
Personalized User Model LLP v. Google Inc.	1:09cv00525	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	57.0
Tarkus Imaging Inc. v. Adobe Systems Inc. et al	1:10cv00063	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	29.7
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corporation et al.	1:10cv01067	Jury Verdict	Patentee	63.5
DePuy Synthes Products Inc. v. Globus Medical Inc.	1:11cv00652	Jury Verdict	Patentee	23.1
Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation et al v. Power Integrations Inc.	1:12cv00540	Jury Verdict	Patentee	87.2

Jury Verdict

There was/were 21 case(s) terminated by Jury Verdict. The patentee win rate was 61.9% and the accused infringer win rate was 38.1%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 53.6. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Jury Verdict



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Greatbatch Ltd. v. AVX Corporation et al	1:13cv00723	Jury Verdict	Patentee	75.4
Wasica Finance GmbH et al v. Schrader International Inc.	1:13cv01353	Jury Verdict	Patentee	83.4
Integra LifeSciences Corp. et al v. HyperBranch Medical Technology, Inc	1:15cv00819	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	33.1
Siemens Mobility, Inc. v. Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation et al	1:16cv00284	Jury Verdict	Patentee	39.8
Roche Diagnostics Corporation v. Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC	1:17cv00189	Jury Verdict	Patentee	46.0
Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. v. Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv00275	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	41.0
Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. v. Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv01353	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	34.6
bioMerieux, S.A. et al v. Hologic Inc., et al	1:18cv00021	Jury Verdict	Accused Infringer	26.2

Other Termination

There was/were 16 case(s) terminated by Other Termination. The patentee win rate was 75.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 25.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 47.3. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Other Termination



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Gammino v. American Telephone and Telegraph Company et al	1:12cv00666	Other Termination	Accused Infringer	39.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Office Depot Inc.	1:13cv00239	Other Termination	Patentee	54.3
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. J.C. Penney Company Inc.	1:13cv00287	Other Termination	Patentee	54.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. QVC Inc.	1:13cv00288	Other Termination	Patentee	54.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Sears Holdings Corporation	1:13cv00289	Other Termination	Patentee	54.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Limited Brands Inc.	1:13cv00326	Other Termination	Patentee	53.9
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Gap Inc.	1:13cv00330	Other Termination	Patentee	53.9
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Williams-Sonoma Inc.	1:13cv00331	Other Termination	Patentee	53.9
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Costco Wholesale Corporation	1:13cv00404	Other Termination	Patentee	53.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Nordstrom.com LLC, et al.	1:13cv00408	Other Termination	Patentee	53.5
UCB Inc. et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:13cv01209	Other Termination	Patentee	37.8

Other Termination

There was/were 16 case(s) terminated by Other Termination. The patentee win rate was 75.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 25.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 47.3. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Other Termination



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
UCB Inc. et al v. Watson Laboratories Inc. - Florida et al	1:13cv01219	Other Termination	Patentee	37.8
UCB Inc. et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:14cv00834	Other Termination	Patentee	26.2
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:14cv01231	Other Termination	Accused Infringer	43.4
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:14cv01232	Other Termination	Accused Infringer	43.4
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:14cv01233	Other Termination	Accused Infringer	43.4

Summary Judgment

There was/were 42 case(s) terminated by Summary Judgment. The patentee win rate was 9.5% and the accused infringer win rate was 90.5%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Summary Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
St. Clair Property v. Samsung Electronics, et al	1:04cv01436	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	88.4
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:06cv00404	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	68.9
Magnetar Technologies Corp, et al v. Six Flags Theme Park Inc. et al	1:07cv00127	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	88.9
Flash Seats LLC v. Paciolan Inc.	1:07cv00575	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	49.4
American Patent Development Corporation LLC v. Movielink LLC	1:07cv00605	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	34.5
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Research In Motion LTD. et al	1:08cv00371	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	45.1
Dey Pharma LP et al v. Sunovion Pharmaceuticals	1:08cv00372	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	32.7
Warner Chilcott Company et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:08cv00627	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	66.1
Procter & Gamble Company et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:09cv00143	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	60.9
Ateliers De La Haute-Garonne v. Broetje Automation, USA Inc., et al	1:09cv00598	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	26.0
BAE Systems Information and Electronic Systems Integration Inc. v. Aeroflex Incorporated et al	1:09cv00769	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	33.3

Summary Judgment

There was/were 42 case(s) terminated by Summary Judgment. The patentee win rate was 9.5% and the accused infringer win rate was 90.5%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Summary Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Cooper Notification Inc. v. Twitter Inc. et al	1:09cv00865	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	32.1
Vehicle IP LLC v. Cellco Partnership et al	1:09cv01007	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	39.6
Walker Digital LLC v. Google Inc.	1:11cv00318	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	60.1
buySAFE Inc. v. Google Inc.	1:11cv01282	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	19.2
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Gen-Probe Incorporated	1:12cv00104	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	65.6
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Roche Molecular Systems Inc. et al	1:12cv00106	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	66.1
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories et al	1:12cv00274	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	65.9
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Becton Dickson and Company et al	1:12cv00275	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	64.8
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Hologic Inc.	1:12cv00276	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	64.4
Relume Corporation Trust et al v. GE Lighting Solutions LLC	1:12cv00871	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	17.6
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Trend Micro Incorporated et al	1:12cv01581	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	30.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Ubisoft Entertainment SA	1:13cv00335	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	79.9
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.	1:13cv00440	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	47.0 39

Summary Judgment

There was/were 42 case(s) terminated by Summary Judgment. The patentee win rate was 9.5% and the accused infringer win rate was 90.5%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Summary Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Expedia Inc.	1:13cv01538	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	35.4
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Priceline.com Inc. et al.	1:13cv01541	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	35.4
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Travelocity.com LP	1:13cv01544	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	35.4
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:13cv01634	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	48.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al.	1:13cv01671	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	46.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01672	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	46.1
Kaavo Inc. v. Amazon.com Inc. et al	1:14cv00353	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	51.5
Quest Licensing Corporation v. Bloomberg LP et al	1:14cv00561	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	32.7
Trusted Knight Corporation v. International Business Machines Corporation et al	1:14cv01063	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	16.2
Reckitt Benckiser LLC v. Aurobindo Pharma Limited et al	1:14cv01203	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	29.8
Novartis AG et al v. Actavis Elizabeth LLC et al	1:14cv01487	Summary Judgment	Patentee	29.8
International Business Machines Corporation v. Priceline Group Inc. et al	1:15cv00137	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	35.9
Novartis AG et al v. Ezra Ventures LLC	1:15cv00150	Summary Judgment	Patentee	27.9 40

Summary Judgment

There was/were 42 case(s) terminated by Summary Judgment. The patentee win rate was 9.5% and the accused infringer win rate was 90.5%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".

Distribution of Outcomes by Month

For Summary Judgment



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Novartis AG et al v. HEC Pharm Co. Ltd. et al	1:15cv00151	Summary Judgment	Patentee	27.9
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:15cv00800	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	24.8
Novartis AG et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:15cv00975	Summary Judgment	Patentee	19.4
Plastic Omnium Advanced Innovation and Research v. Donghee America Inc. et al	1:16cv00187	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	26.6
Baker v. Alpha Consolidated Holdings et al.	1:18cv00976	Summary Judgment	Accused Infringer	38.8

Case Outcomes with No Judgments in Favor of a Party:

The cases terminated without a judgment in favor of a party are identified below, including the distribution of those outcomes by month of litigation.

Bankruptcy Stay

There was/were 3 case(s) terminated by Bankruptcy Stay. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 21.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
TV Guide Online Inc. et al v. Tribune Media Services Inc.	1:05cv00725	Bankruptcy Stay	38.1
Jaybeam Wireless SAS et al v. Powerwave Technologies Inc.	1:11cv01097	Bankruptcy Stay	14.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Coldwater Creek Inc.	1:13cv00514	Bankruptcy Stay	12.4

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Optrex America Inc. v. Honeywell Intl Inc., et al	1:04cv01536	Consolidation	4.9
United Access Technologies, LLC v. Verizon Internet Services Inc. et al	1:05cv00866	Consolidation	13.3
Honeywell International Inc. et al v. Citizen Watch Co Ltd et al	1:05cv00874	Consolidation	3.0
Sepracor Inc. v. Dey LP et al	1:06cv00604	Consolidation	2.3
AU Optronics Corporation v. LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd. et al	1:07cv00357	Consolidation	1.4
Warner Chilcott Company et al v. Sun Pharma Global FZE	1:09cv00061	Consolidation	21.2
Wyeth v. Intervet Inc. et al	1:09cv00780	Consolidation	0.7
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:10cv00103	Consolidation	12.6
Sandoz Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc. et al	1:10cv00104	Consolidation	12.6
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:10cv00285	Consolidation	3.6
Wyeth LLC v. Intervet Inc. et al	1:10cv00435	Consolidation	1.4
Galderma Laboratories LP et al v. Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC	1:10cv00887	Consolidation	3.3
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Sun Pharma Global FZE	1:10cv01085	Consolidation	3.4
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:10cv01111	Consolidation	3.2
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:10cv01135	Consolidation	2.2

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:11cv00081	Consolidation	1.9
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:11cv00236	Consolidation	0.1
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Microsoft Corporation	1:11cv00260	Consolidation	1.4
Grupo Petromex S.A. DE C.V. et al v. AlphaPet Inc.	1:11cv00702	Consolidation	4.6
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical Inc. et al	1:11cv00705	Consolidation	1.5
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:11cv00757	Consolidation	0.9
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Wockhardt Ltd. et al	1:11cv00758	Consolidation	0.9
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Alltel Corporation	1:11cv01033	Consolidation	19.8
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:12cv00258	Consolidation	4.3
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Actavis South Atlantic LLC et al	1:12cv01122	Consolidation	1.1
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Par Pharmaceutical Inc. et al	1:12cv01123	Consolidation	1.1
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:12cv01124	Consolidation	1.1
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Wockhardt Ltd. et al	1:12cv01125	Consolidation	1.1
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:12cv01298	Consolidation	0.3

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name **Case Number** **Outcome** **Pendency**

Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Apotex, Inc. et al	1:16cv01222	Consolidation	11.9
Genetic Technologies Limited v. Natera Inc.	1:12cv01737	Consolidation	11.9
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories et al	1:13cv00225	Consolidation	2.3
UCB Inc. et al v. Glenmark Generics Inc. USA et al	1:13cv01212	Consolidation	7.1
UCB Inc. et al v. Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:13cv01215	Consolidation	0.2
UCB Inc. et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:13cv01216	Consolidation	7.1
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al. v. Lupin Ltd., et al.	1:13cv01281	Consolidation	8.5
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:13cv01282	Consolidation	8.5
Eisai Co. Ltd. et al. v. Roxane Laboratories Inc.	1:13cv01284	Consolidation	8.5
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Roxane Laboratories Inc.	1:14cv00922	Consolidation	9.3
Acorda Therapeutics Inc., et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:14cv00935	Consolidation	9.2
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:14cv00941	Consolidation	9.2
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:17cv00334	Consolidation	8.5
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Unichem Laboratories, Ltd.	1:17cv00382	Consolidation	7.3
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Sigmapharm Laboratories, LLC	1:17cv00408	Consolidation	7.2

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless	1:17cv00657	Consolidation	0.2
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. InnoPharma Inc.	1:17cv01155	Consolidation	0.9
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:17cv01202	Consolidation	0.7
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. MSN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:17cv01833	Consolidation	6.7
--	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Alkem Laboratories Limited	1:18cv00089	Consolidation	5.8
---	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:18cv00090	Consolidation	5.8
--	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Macleods Pharmaceuticals Limited et al	1:18cv00091	Consolidation	5.8
---	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Unichem Laboratories, Limited	1:18cv00092	Consolidation	5.8
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Adverio Pharma GmbH et al v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited et al	1:18cv00111	Consolidation	5.3
---	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Alembic Pharmaceuticals Limited, et al	1:18cv00113	Consolidation	5.6
---	-------------	---------------	-----


H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited et al	1:18cv00114	Consolidation	5.6
---	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Cipla Limited et al	1:18cv00147	Consolidation	5.4
--	-------------	---------------	-----

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Princeton Pharmaceutical Inc. et al	1:18cv00148	Consolidation	5.4
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Limited et al	1:18cv00149	Consolidation	5.4
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:18cv00150	Consolidation	5.4
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Hetero USA Inc. et al	1:18cv00176	Consolidation	5.3
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Sandoz Inc. et al	1:18cv00177	Consolidation	5.3
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. CIPLA Limited et al	1:18cv00598	Consolidation	2.8
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Sigmapharm Laboratories, LLC	1:18cv00671	Consolidation	2.2
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Limited et al	1:18cv00672	Consolidation	2.2
3Shape A/S v. Align Technology, Inc.	1:18cv00697	Consolidation	15.4
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Cipla Limited et al	1:18cv00753	Consolidation	1.7
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:18cv00777	Consolidation	1.6
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited et al	1:18cv00853	Consolidation	1.1
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Apicore US LLC	1:18cv01034	Consolidation	5.0
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation v. Apotex Inc., et al.	1:18cv01038	Consolidation	3.4
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., et al.	1:18cv01040	Consolidation	3.4

Consolidation

There was/were 79 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 5.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Super Micro Computer, Inc.	1:18cv01307	Consolidation	13.0
---	-------------	---------------	------

Red Hat, Inc. v. Sequoia Technology, LLC	1:18cv02027	Consolidation	9.2
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. Unichem Laboratories, Ltd.	1:19cv00055	Consolidation	0.4
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Biogen International GmbH v. Hetero USA Inc. et al	1:19cv00211	Consolidation	1.0
--	-------------	---------------	-----

Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc.	1:19cv01987	Consolidation	12.2
---	-------------	---------------	------


Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:19cv02006	Consolidation	12.0
--	-------------	---------------	------

Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Optimus Pharma Pvt. Ltd.	1:19cv02008	Consolidation	12.0
---	-------------	---------------	------

Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Optimus Pharma Pvt. Ltd.	1:20cv01332	Consolidation	8.6
---	-------------	---------------	-----

Frivolous

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Frivolous. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 10.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Garcia v. Remington Epilator	1:18cv01816	Frivolous	10.2

Improper Venue

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Improper Venue. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 19.4. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:17cv00379	Improper Venue	19.4

Lack of Jurisdiction

There was/were 15 case(s) terminated by Lack of Jurisdiction. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 14.1. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Brinkmeier v. Graco Children's Products Inc.	1:09cv00262	Lack of Jurisdiction	37.7
Enhanced Security Research LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc. et al	1:09cv00390	Lack of Jurisdiction	12.9
Enhanced Security Research LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	1:09cv00871	Lack of Jurisdiction	8.0
Clouding IP LLC v. Google Inc.	1:12cv00639	Lack of Jurisdiction	26.2
Clouding IP LLC v. CA Technologies Inc.	1:13cv01338	Lack of Jurisdiction	12.1
Clouding IP LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:13cv01341	Lack of Jurisdiction	12.1
Clouding IP LLC v. AT&T Inc.	1:13cv01342	Lack of Jurisdiction	12.1
Clouding IP LLC v. Citrix Systems Inc.	1:13cv01453	Lack of Jurisdiction	11.3
Clouding IP LLC v. Dropbox Inc.	1:13cv01454	Lack of Jurisdiction	11.3
Clouding IP LLC v. EMC Corporation et al.	1:13cv01455	Lack of Jurisdiction	11.3
Clouding IP LLC v. SAP AG, et al.	1:13cv01456	Lack of Jurisdiction	11.3
Clouding IP LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:13cv01458	Lack of Jurisdiction	11.3
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc.	1:13cv01684	Lack of Jurisdiction	6.2
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Pharmasset LLC	1:15cv00416	Lack of Jurisdiction	17.9
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. v. Plano Encryption Technologies, LLC et al	1:15cv00777	Lack of Jurisdiction	9.7

MDL Transfer

There was/were 17 case(s) terminated by MDL Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 3.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Lupin Atlantis Holdings SA v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:11cv00234	MDL Transfer	2.7
CISCO Systems Inc. et al v. Innovatio IP Ventures LLC	1:11cv00425	MDL Transfer	7.7
SonicWALL Inc. v. Innovatio IP Ventures LLC	1:11cv00920	MDL Transfer	3.6
PJC Logistics LLC v. BMW of North America LLC	1:11cv01051	MDL Transfer	3.0
PJC Logistics LLC v. ATX Group Inc.	1:11cv01048	MDL Transfer	3.0
PJC Logistics LLC v General Motors LLC et al.	1:11cv01053	MDL Transfer	3.0
PJC Logistics LLC v Mercedes-Benz USA LLC	1:11cv01054	MDL Transfer	2.3
PJC Logistics LLC v Rolls-Royce Motor Cars NA LLC	1:11cv01055	MDL Transfer	3.0
Netgear Inc. v. Innovatio IP Ventures LLC	1:11cv01139	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Doostang Inc.	1:12cv00750	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Symbios Solutions Ltd.	1:12cv00751	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Up and Doing Ltd.	1:12cv00752	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. WeeWorld Inc.	1:12cv00753	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Where Are You Now Ltd.	1:12cv00754	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Xing AG	1:12cv00755	MDL Transfer	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Humana Inc.	1:13cv00089	MDL Transfer	3.2

MDL Transfer

There was/were 17 case(s) terminated by MDL Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 3.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Pandora Media Inc.	1:13cv00090	MDL Transfer	3.2

Other Settlement

There was/were 15 case(s) terminated by Other Settlement. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 14.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name **Case Number** **Outcome** **Pendency**

Microsoft Corporation v. St Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc.	1:10cv00282	Other Settlement	44.1
911 Notify LLC v. Lowe's Home Centers LLC	1:14cv00084	Other Settlement	13.4
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:12cv00467	Other Settlement	8.5
Versata Software Inc. et al v. Cloud9 Analytics Inc.	1:12cv00925	Other Settlement	32.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Flixster Inc.	1:12cv00936	Other Settlement	13.1
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. NEC Casio Mobile Communications Ltd.	1:13cv00040	Other Settlement	4.1
Relume Corporation Trust et al v. Swarco America Inc. et al	1:13cv00479	Other Settlement	4.0
One-Blue LLC et al v. Imation Corporation	1:13cv00917	Other Settlement	29.7
Clouding IP LLC v. Siemens Enterprise Communications GmbH & Co KG et al.	1:13cv01457	Other Settlement	6.4
Optical Devices LLC v Lenovo Group Ltd et al.	1:13cv01526	Other Settlement	54.6
Pragmatum Mobile LLC v. Nokia Corporation et al	1:14cv00449	Other Settlement	3.4
Coding Technologies, LLC v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP	1:17cv01451	Other Settlement	2.1
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Chevron Corporation	1:17cv01454	Other Settlement	2.1
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Cypress Semiconductor Corporation	1:17cv01456	Other Settlement	2.1

Other Settlement

There was/were 15 case(s) terminated by Other Settlement. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 14.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Coding Technologies, LLC v. ITT Manufacturing Enterprises, LLC	1:17cv01459	Other Settlement	2.1

Other Termination

There was/were 16 case(s) terminated by Other Termination. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name **Case Number** **Outcome** **Pendency**

Honeywell Intl Inc., et al v. Apple Computer Inc., et al	1:04cv01338	Other Termination	89.8
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Siemens AG et al	1:06cv00403	Other Termination	57.2
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Apple Inc. et al	1:09cv00704	Other Termination	69.3
SoftView LLC v. Apple Inc. et al	1:10cv00389	Other Termination	65.6
CIMA Labs Inc. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:10cv00625	Other Termination	67.5
SoftView LLC V. HTC Corp. et al	1:12cv00984	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. et al	1:12cv00985	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:12cv00986	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al	1:12cv00987	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Dell Inc. et al	1:12cv00988	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Kyocera Corp. et al	1:12cv00989	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC et al	1:12cv00990	Other Termination	39.1
SoftView LLC v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. et al	1:12cv00991	Other Termination	39.1
Advanced eScreens LLC v. Barnes & Noble Inc.	1:13cv01383	Other Termination	22.2
Advanced eScreens LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc. et al	1:13cv01384	Other Termination	22.2

Other Termination

There was/were 16 case(s) terminated by Other Termination. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 44.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
In re: Keysight Technologies, Inc. et al	1:18mc00135	Other Termination	0.5

Remand to State Court

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Remand to State Court. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 9.6. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
DRIT LP v. Glaxo Group Limited et al	1:21cv00844	Remand to State Court	9.6

Transfer

There was/were 38 case(s) terminated by Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 11.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

AngioDynamics Inc. v. Vascular Solutions Inc.	1:09cv00554	Transfer	12.6
---	-------------	----------	------

Gian Biologics LLC v. Biomet, Inc.	1:10cv00865	Transfer	9.7
------------------------------------	-------------	----------	-----

Human Genome Sciences Inc. v. Genentech Inc. et al	1:11cv00082	Transfer	5.7
--	-------------	----------	-----

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP v. Palmetto Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:11cv00294	Transfer	37.3
--	-------------	----------	------

Human Genome Sciences Inc. v. Genentech Inc. et al	1:11cv00328	Transfer	3.2
--	-------------	----------	-----

Optimum Power Solutions LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:11cv00853	Transfer	8.1
--	-------------	----------	-----

Optimum Power Solutions LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America	1:11cv00854	Transfer	8.1
---	-------------	----------	-----

Optimum Power Solutions LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc.	1:11cv00855	Transfer	8.1
--	-------------	----------	-----

Optimum Power Solutions LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:11cv00856	Transfer	8.1
--	-------------	----------	-----

Blackbird Tech LLC v. Feit Electrical Company, Inc.	1:16cv01159	Transfer	9.5
---	-------------	----------	-----

Hydropac/Lab Products Inc. v. Animal Care Systems Inc.	1:12cv01503	Transfer	2.9
--	-------------	----------	-----


McRo Inc. v. Activision Blizzard Inc.	1:12cv01508	Transfer	13.3
---------------------------------------	-------------	----------	------

McRo Inc. v. Infinity Ward Inc.	1:12cv01511	Transfer	13.3
---------------------------------	-------------	----------	------

McRo Inc. v. LucasArts Entertainment Company LLC	1:12cv01512	Transfer	13.3
--	-------------	----------	------

Transfer

There was/were 38 case(s) terminated by Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 11.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

McRo Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC et al	1:12cv01514	Transfer	13.3
McRo Inc. v. Sucker Punch Productions LLC	1:12cv01515	Transfer	13.3
McRo Inc. v. Warner Bros. Interactive Entertainment Inc.	1:12cv01518	Transfer	13.3
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. HTC Corp., et al.	1:12cv01600	Transfer	72.0
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Alticor Global Holdings Inc. et al	1:13cv00512	Transfer	11.9
McRo Inc. v. Codemasters Inc. et al	1:13cv01756	Transfer	2.4
McRo Inc. v. Codemasters USA Group, Inc. et al	1:13cv01017	Transfer	6.8
Nalco Company v. AP Tech Group Inc.	1:13cv01063	Transfer	13.8
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Trend Micro Incorporated, et al.	1:14cv00435	Transfer	9.3
Next Generation Systems Inc. v. Brocade Communications Systems Inc.	1:14cv00566	Transfer	2.8
Good Technology Corporation et al v. MobileIron Inc	1:14cv01308	Transfer	5.4
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Xilinx Inc.	1:14cv01376	Transfer	9.8
ABT Holding Company et al v. Garnet Biotherapeutics Inc.	1:14cv01512	Transfer	16.2

Transfer

There was/were 38 case(s) terminated by Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 11.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Altera Corporation	1:15cv00162	Transfer	6.4
Intervet Inc. et al v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company	1:15cv00607	Transfer	9.8
Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Cook Group Incorporated et al	1:15cv00980	Transfer	23.0
Contour IP Holding, LLC v. GoPro, Inc.	1:15cv01108	Transfer	20.0
University of Western Australia et al v. Academisch Ziekenhuis Leiden et al	1:16cv00109	Transfer	2.1
Fisher-Price, Inc. et al v. Dynacraft BSC, Inc.	1:17cv00051	Transfer	5.3
UCB, Inc. et al v. Mylan Technologies, Inc. et al	1:17cv00322	Transfer	29.1
Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Hulu, LLC	1:19cv01158	Transfer	3.8
Carl Zeiss X-Ray Microscopy, Inc. v. Sigray, Inc.	1:20cv01102	Transfer	5.2
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. BLU Products, Inc.	1:21cv00042	Transfer	0.9
People.ai, Inc. v. Clari Inc.	1:21cv00375	Transfer	4.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Habasit Belting, LLC. v. Rexnord Industries, et al	1:03cv00185	Voluntary Dismissal	171.0
Ansell Healthcare Products LLC. v. Tillotson Corporation	1:06cv00527	Voluntary Dismissal	31.9
LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd. v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation et al	1:06cv00726	Voluntary Dismissal	65.2
CIF Licensing LLC v. Agere Systems LLC	1:07cv00170	Voluntary Dismissal	73.3
BigBand Networks Inc. v. Imagine Communications Inc.	1:07cv00351	Voluntary Dismissal	53.8
Muller Martini Corp. et al v. Goss International Americas Inc. et al	1:07cv00667	Voluntary Dismissal	35.7
Glaxo Group Ltd. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:07cv00713	Voluntary Dismissal	30.7
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Lupin Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:08cv00021	Voluntary Dismissal	32.6
Symbol Technologies Inc. v. Janam Technologies LLC	1:08cv00340	Voluntary Dismissal	30.4
Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation v. LG Display Co. Ltd. et al	1:08cv00355	Voluntary Dismissal	46.9
CIMA Labs Inc. et al v. Barr Laboratories Inc. et al	1:08cv00531	Voluntary Dismissal	34.9
Aerocrine AB et al v. Apieron Inc.	1:08cv00787	Voluntary Dismissal	42.8
CIMA Labs Inc. et al v. Novel Laboratories Inc.	1:08cv00886	Voluntary Dismissal	73.1
Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Macleods Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. et al	1:17cv00673	Voluntary Dismissal	9.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Microsoft Corporation et al	1:09cv00119	Voluntary Dismissal	33.1
Abbott Laboratories et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:09cv00152	Voluntary Dismissal	27.2
Wyeth v. Intervet Inc. et al	1:09cv00161	Voluntary Dismissal	40.0
Exelis Inc. v. Cellco Partnership et al	1:09cv00190	Voluntary Dismissal	45.7
Allergan Sales LLC et al v. Macleods Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. et al	1:17cv00672	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1
CIMA Labs Inc. et al v. Barr Laboratories Inc. et al	1:09cv00349	Voluntary Dismissal	26.1
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Mylan Inc. et al	1:09cv00441	Voluntary Dismissal	24.5
Enhanced Security Research LLC et al v. Cisco Systems Inc. et al	1:09cv00571	Voluntary Dismissal	56.9
SeaChange International Inc. v. Arris Group Inc.	1:09cv00573	Voluntary Dismissal	66.4
Nuance Communications Inc. v. Vlingo Corp	1:09cv00585	Voluntary Dismissal	34.4
Biovail Laboratories International SRL v. IntelGenx Corp.	1:09cv00605	Voluntary Dismissal	17.7
Network Gateway Solutions LLC v. Adtran Inc et al	1:09cv00667	Voluntary Dismissal	18.8
Fair Isaac Corporation v. Actimize Inc.	1:09cv00688	Voluntary Dismissal	33.5
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:09cv00742	Voluntary Dismissal	26.4
TriStrata Technology Inc. v. Vivant Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:09cv00765	Voluntary Dismissal	23.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
St Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Apple Inc.	1:09cv00804	Voluntary Dismissal	48.2
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Mylan Inc. et al	1:09cv00889	Voluntary Dismissal	19.2
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Kremers Urban LLC et al	1:09cv00924	Voluntary Dismissal	23.5
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:09cv00943	Voluntary Dismissal	20.7
Eastman Chemical Company v. AlphaPet Inc. et al	1:09cv00971	Voluntary Dismissal	29.5
Southco Inc. v. Penn Engineering & Manufacturing Corp.	1:10cv00003	Voluntary Dismissal	17.8
PCT International Inc. v. John Mezzalingua Associates Inc.	1:10cv00059	Voluntary Dismissal	20.7
St Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Google Inc.	1:10cv00077	Voluntary Dismissal	29.4
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:10cv00085	Voluntary Dismissal	11.9
Xerox Corporation v. Google Inc. et al	1:10cv00136	Voluntary Dismissal	21.8
Eastman Chemical Company v. DAK Americas LLC	1:10cv00163	Voluntary Dismissal	11.3
Smarter Agent LLC v. Boopsie Inc. et al	1:10cv00245	Voluntary Dismissal	68.7
Asia Optical Company Inc. v. Laser Technology Company Inc.	1:10cv00251	Voluntary Dismissal	21.0
Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc. et al v. Xoft Inc.	1:10cv00308	Voluntary Dismissal	20.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Thermal Corp. et al v. Momentive Performance Materials Inc.	1:10cv00380	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:10cv00425	Voluntary Dismissal	43.6
Cornell University et al v. Illumina Inc.	1:10cv00433	Voluntary Dismissal	83.0
Sun Products Corporation v. US Nonwovens Corporation et al	1:10cv00438	Voluntary Dismissal	16.0
UO! IP of Delaware LLC v. ABBYY USA Software House Inc. et al	1:10cv00445	Voluntary Dismissal	12.2
McGrath v. Datex-Ohmeda Inc. et al	1:10cv00478	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
Enhanced Security Research LLC et al v. Juniper Networks Inc.	1:10cv00605	Voluntary Dismissal	22.9
Vlingo Corp v. Nuance Communications Inc.	1:10cv00621	Voluntary Dismissal	23.0
Jetboil Inc. v. Fenix Outdoor AB et al	1:10cv00652	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Actavis Group hf et al	1:10cv00675	Voluntary Dismissal	14.0
Mobileaccess Networks Inc. et al v. CommScope Inc. et al	1:10cv00804	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
NuVasive Inc. v. Globus Medical Inc.	1:10cv00849	Voluntary Dismissal	52.7
St Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. High Tech Computer Corp. et al	1:10cv00982	Voluntary Dismissal	58.4
Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Ethypharm SA et al	1:10cv01064	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. Altera Corporation et al	1:10cv01065	Voluntary Dismissal	40.8
Virgin Atlantic Airways Limited v. Delta Air Lines Inc.	1:11cv00061	Voluntary Dismissal	46.7
Rosco Inc. v. Velvac Inc.	1:11cv00117	Voluntary Dismissal	34.6
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:11cv00162	Voluntary Dismissal	41.2
Smarter Agent LLC v. DoApp Inc. et al	1:11cv00161	Voluntary Dismissal	62.2
Mission Abstract Data LLC v. Beasley Broadcast Group Inc. et al	1:11cv00176	Voluntary Dismissal	81.4
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation et al v. Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC	1:11cv00409	Voluntary Dismissal	23.1
Walker Digital LLC v. Cryptic Studios Inc. et al	1:11cv00423	Voluntary Dismissal	17.5
Kone Corporation v. ThyssenKrupp USA Inc. et al	1:11cv00465	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Pfizer Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:11cv00269	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Walker Digital LLC v. MasterCard International Incorporated	1:11cv00312	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Walker Digital LLC v. Ayre Acoustics Inc. et al	1:11cv00321	Voluntary Dismissal	46.5
Atwater Partners of Texas LLC v. Alcatel-Lucent et al	1:11cv00354	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Novartis AG et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:11cv00353	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Walker Digital LLC v. Apple Inc. et al	1:11cv00363	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Augme Technologies Inc. v. Pandora Media Inc.	1:11cv00379	Voluntary Dismissal	22.5
Nuance Communications Inc. v. Vlingo Corp.	1:11cv00504	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Supply Chain Connect LLC v. BASF Corporation et al	1:11cv00513	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
W.L. Gore & Associates Inc. et al v. C.R. Bard Inc.	1:11cv00515	Voluntary Dismissal	75.6
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Limited et al	1:11cv00569	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al v. Apple Inc.	1:11cv00573	Voluntary Dismissal	84.1
Transcenic Inc. v. Google Inc. et al	1:11cv00582	Voluntary Dismissal	42.9
Intervet Inc. et al v. Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica Inc.	1:11cv00595	Voluntary Dismissal	37.7
Xilinx, Inc. v. Invention Investment Fund I LP et al	1:11cv00666	Voluntary Dismissal	33.2
Neology Inc. v. Federal Signal Corporation et al	1:11cv00672	Voluntary Dismissal	22.9
Smart Memory Solutions LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America et al	1:11cv00680	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Pfizer Inc. et al v. MSP Singapore Company LLC	1:11cv00713	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Stat Medical Devices Inc. v. Canam Care LLC et al	1:11cv00741	Voluntary Dismissal	21.8
Masimo Corporation v. Philips Electronics North America Corporation et al	1:11cv00742	Voluntary Dismissal	62.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
GTZM Technology Ventures LTD v. Atlantic Broadband Finance, LLC et al	1:11cv00790	Voluntary Dismissal	11.9
Monec Holding AG v. HTC Corp. et al	1:11cv00798	Voluntary Dismissal	40.7
Auto-Completion Solutions LLC v. Facebook Inc. et al	1:11cv00809	Voluntary Dismissal	62.5
I2Z Technology LLC v. CityGrid Media LLC et al	1:11cv00811	Voluntary Dismissal	18.2
Smart Memory Solutions LLC v. Micron Technology Inc. et al	1:11cv00816	Voluntary Dismissal	18.8
Execware LLC v. Amazon.com Inc. et al	1:11cv00836	Voluntary Dismissal	30.5
Pragmatus AV LLC v. Yahoo! Inc.	1:11cv00902	Voluntary Dismissal	35.0
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL Inc.	1:11cv00914	Voluntary Dismissal	34.2
Digital CBT LLC v. Southwestern Bell et al.	1:11cv00916	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Boost Mobile LLC	1:11cv01034	Voluntary Dismissal	25.6
Callertone Innovations LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc.	1:11cv01035	Voluntary Dismissal	24.1
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless et al.	1:11cv01036	Voluntary Dismissal	22.8
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corporation	1:11cv01039	Voluntary Dismissal	25.6
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Virgin Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:11cv01044	Voluntary Dismissal	25.6
Callertone Innovations LLC v. ClearSky Mobile Media Inc.	1:11cv01066	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Callertone Innovations LLC v. MetroPCS Wireless Inc. et al	1:11cv01067	Voluntary Dismissal	24.0
Callertone Innovations LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation et al	1:11cv01068	Voluntary Dismissal	22.3
Pragmatus AV LLC v Aastra Technologies Limited et al.	1:11cv01082	Voluntary Dismissal	19.1
Pragmatus AV LLC v Camshare Inc. et al.	1:11cv01084	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8
Pragmatus AV LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America et al	1:11cv01091	Voluntary Dismissal	22.8
Pragmatus AV LLC v. TangoMe Inc.	1:11cv01092	Voluntary Dismissal	18.4
Callertone Innovations LLC v. Cricket Communications Inc. et al	1:11cv01099	Voluntary Dismissal	22.1
Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:11cv01106	Voluntary Dismissal	30.2
M&G USA Corporation et al v. Auriga Polymers Inc. et al	1:11cv01126	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Platte Chemical Company v. Fuzion AG Inc. et al	1:11cv01159	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
DN Lookup Technologies LLC v Charter Communications Inc. et al.	1:11cv01177	Voluntary Dismissal	16.6
DN Lookup Technologies LLC v Cox Communications Inc. et al.	1:11cv01178	Voluntary Dismissal	19.0
DN Lookup Technologies LLC v OpenDNS Inc.	1:11cv01179	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
DN Lookup Technologies LLC v. Time Warner Cable Inc. et al	1:11cv01180	Voluntary Dismissal	16.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

DN Lookup Technologies LLC v. Comcast Corporation et al	1:11cv01181	Voluntary Dismissal	18.9
---	-------------	---------------------	------

DN Lookup Technologies LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:11cv01185	Voluntary Dismissal	16.3
---	-------------	---------------------	------

Digitide Innovations LLC v Amazon.com Inc.	1:11cv01191	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v HTC Corporation et al.	1:11cv01192	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v LG Electronics Inc. et al.	1:11cv01193	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v. Motorola Mobility Holdings Inc.	1:11cv01194	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v. Nokia Corporation et al	1:11cv01195	Voluntary Dismissal	5.4
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v Research In Motion LTD. et al.	1:11cv01196	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al	1:11cv01197	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

Digitide Innovations LLC v. Sony Corporation et al	1:11cv01198	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

British Telecommunications plc v. Google Inc.	1:11cv01249	Voluntary Dismissal	26.4
---	-------------	---------------------	------


Digitide Innovations LLC v. Pantech & Curitel Communications Inc. et al	1:11cv01255	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Helios Software LLC et al v. Awareness Technologies Inc. et al	1:11cv01259	Voluntary Dismissal	45.8
--	-------------	---------------------	------

Allergan Inc. v. Akorn Inc. et al	1:11cv01270	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
-----------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	------

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:11cv01304	Voluntary Dismissal	61.4
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Motorola Mobility Inc.	1:11cv01305	Voluntary Dismissal	50.6
911 Notify LLC v. BSM Wireless Inc. et al.	1:14cv00087	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8
911 Notify LLC v. Docvia LLC	1:14cv00082	Voluntary Dismissal	11.2
Helios Software LLC et al v. SpectorSoft Corporation	1:12cv00081	Voluntary Dismissal	44.0
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Halco Lighting Technologies, LLC	1:16cv01319	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Life Technologies Corporation	1:12cv00105	Voluntary Dismissal	51.8
HTC America, Inc. et al v Softview LLC	1:12cv00137	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
SenoRX Inc. v. Hologic Inc.	1:12cv00173	Voluntary Dismissal	15.8
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. AT&T Mobility LLC et al	1:12cv00193	Voluntary Dismissal	69.8
Pragmatius AV LLC v. Asustek Computer Inc et al	1:12cv00199	Voluntary Dismissal	11.4
Pragmatius AV LLC v. Research In Motion Corp. et al	1:12cv00201	Voluntary Dismissal	9.5
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Acer America Corporation et al	1:12cv00209	Voluntary Dismissal	22.6
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Asus Computer International Inc. et al	1:12cv00210	Voluntary Dismissal	37.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Panasonic Corporation of North America et al	1:12cv00211	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Sharp Electronics Corporation et al	1:12cv00212	Voluntary Dismissal	11.6
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Toshiba America Information Systems Inc. et al	1:12cv00213	Voluntary Dismissal	39.2
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Vizio Inc.	1:12cv00214	Voluntary Dismissal	25.3
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Argent Solutions LLC	1:12cv00289	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Augme Technologies Inc. v. Velti USA Inc.	1:12cv00294	Voluntary Dismissal	12.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Acer America Corporation	1:12cv00326	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:12cv00327	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:12cv00328	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Asus Computer International	1:12cv00329	Voluntary Dismissal	7.5
Data Carriers LLC v. Barnes & Noble Inc.	1:12cv00330	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:12cv00331	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Fujitsu America Inc.	1:12cv00332	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Garmin USA Inc.	1:12cv00333	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:12cv00334	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. HTC America Inc.	1:12cv00335	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:12cv00337	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. MiTAC Digital Corporation	1:12cv00339	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Nintendo of America Inc.	1:12cv00341	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Nokia Inc.	1:12cv00342	Voluntary Dismissal	12.9
Data Carriers LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC	1:12cv00340	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Pantech Wireless Inc.	1:12cv00343	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc.	1:12cv00344	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Research in Motion Corporation	1:12cv00345	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Data Carriers LLC v Samsung Electronics America Inc. et al.	1:12cv00346	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC et al.	1:12cv00347	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Data Carriers LLC v TomTom Inc.	1:12cv00348	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Data Carriers LLC v. Toshiba America Information Systems Inc.	1:12cv00349	Voluntary Dismissal	36.5
NM, LLC v. Purch Group, Inc.	1:16cv01310	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
Execware LLC v. Costco Wholesale Corporation	1:12cv00380	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Execware LLC v. eBay Inc.	1:12cv00381	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Execware LLC v. Homer TLC Inc. et al	1:12cv00382	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Execware LLC v. Target Corporation et al	1:12cv00383	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Execware LLC v. Wal-Mart Stores Inc. et al	1:12cv00384	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Horizon Pharma Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical Companies Inc. et al	1:12cv00393	Voluntary Dismissal	18.7
Genetic Technologies Limited v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company	1:12cv00394	Voluntary Dismissal	31.6
Genetic Technologies Limited v. Merial L.L.C.	1:12cv00396	Voluntary Dismissal	31.6
Augme Technologies Inc. v. Millennial Media Inc.	1:12cv00424	Voluntary Dismissal	19.9
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Affymetrix Inc.	1:12cv00433	Voluntary Dismissal	42.3
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Agilent Technologies Inc.	1:12cv00434	Voluntary Dismissal	45.0
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Illumina Inc.	1:12cv00435	Voluntary Dismissal	51.1
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc.	1:12cv00505	Voluntary Dismissal	39.7
General Electric Company v. Kontera Technologies Inc.	1:12cv00525	Voluntary Dismissal	24.0
General Electric Company v. Vibrant Media Inc.	1:12cv00526	Voluntary Dismissal	40.9
Valeant International (Barbados) SRL v. Sandoz Inc.	1:12cv00536	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Nominum Inc.	1:12cv00542	Voluntary Dismissal	9.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Nokia Corporation et al v. HTC Corporation et al	1:12cv00549	Voluntary Dismissal	21.6
Nokia Corporation et al v. HTC Corporation et al	1:12cv00550	Voluntary Dismissal	21.6
Nokia Corporation et al v. HTC Corporation et al	1:12cv00551	Voluntary Dismissal	21.6
Nokia Corporation et al v. Viewsonic Corporation	1:12cv00552	Voluntary Dismissal	23.0
Nokia Corporation et al v. Viewsonic Corporation	1:12cv00553	Voluntary Dismissal	23.0
Nokia Corporation et al v. Viewsonic Corporation	1:12cv00554	Voluntary Dismissal	23.0
Execware LLC v. CVS Caremark Corporation et al	1:12cv00556	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Execware LLC v. Dillard's Inc.	1:12cv00557	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Execware LLC v. Hyatt Corporation et al	1:12cv00558	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Execware LLC v. Overstock.com Inc.	1:12cv00559	Voluntary Dismissal	22.1
Execware LLC v. PetSmart Store Support Group Inc. et al	1:12cv00560	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Execware LLC v. Sears Brands LLC et al	1:12cv00561	Voluntary Dismissal	23.5
Execware LLC v. Williams-Sonoma Inc.	1:12cv00562	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Robert Bosch LLC v. Alberee Products Inc. et al	1:12cv00574	Voluntary Dismissal	61.6
Cumberland Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Paddock Laboratories LLC et al	1:12cv00619	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Data Carriers LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:12cv00939	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
LG Electronics Inc. et al v. Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation	1:12cv01063	Voluntary Dismissal	74.5
Clouding IP LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:12cv00638	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Clouding IP LLC v. Microsoft Corporation	1:12cv00640	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Clouding IP LLC v. Amazon.com Inc. et al	1:12cv00641	Voluntary Dismissal	29.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Oracle Corporation	1:12cv00642	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:12cv00668	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. Expedia Inc.	1:12cv00670	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. Groupon Inc.	1:12cv00671	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:12cv00672	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. LivingSocial Inc.	1:12cv00673	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. Oracle Corporation	1:12cv00674	Voluntary Dismissal	8.1
Clouding IP LLC v. Rackspace Hosting Inc.	1:12cv00675	Voluntary Dismissal	29.2
PPS Data, LLC v. RDM Payment Solutions Inc. et al	1:12cv00712	Voluntary Dismissal	12.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

FlatWorld Interactives LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd et al	1:12cv00804	Voluntary Dismissal	48.0
Versata Software Inc. et al v. Nehanet Corporation	1:12cv00926	Voluntary Dismissal	31.9
Audio Evolution Diagnostics, Inc. v. AMD Global Telemedicine Inc.	1:16cv01280	Voluntary Dismissal	25.9
Data Carriers LLC v. AT&T Services Inc.	1:12cv00933	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Data Carriers LLC v. Buy.com Inc.	1:12cv00934	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
Data Carriers LLC v. CDW Corporation, et al.	1:12cv00935	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Data Carriers LLC v. Hulu LLC	1:12cv00937	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Johnson & Johnson Services Inc.	1:12cv00938	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Monster Worldwide Inc.	1:12cv00940	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Netflix Inc.	1:12cv00941	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Newegg Inc.	1:12cv00942	Voluntary Dismissal	25.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Office Depot Inc.	1:12cv00943	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Orbitz Worldwide, LLC	1:12cv00944	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Overstock.com Inc.	1:12cv00945	Voluntary Dismissal	25.0
Data Carriers LLC v. SAP America Inc.	1:12cv00946	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Data Carriers LLC v. Sears Holdings Corporation	1:12cv00947	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. Staples Inc.	1:12cv00948	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Target Corporation	1:12cv00949	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Home Depot Inc. et al	1:12cv00950	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Trip Advisor LLC	1:12cv00951	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Vizio Inc.	1:12cv00952	Voluntary Dismissal	25.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Walgreen Co.	1:12cv00953	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Wal-Mart Stores Inc. et al	1:12cv00954	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Data Carriers LLC v. Yelp Inc.	1:12cv00955	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
FlatWorld Interactives LLC v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:12cv00964	Voluntary Dismissal	46.7
Infotrend Corporation v. Crossroads Systems Inc.	1:12cv00968	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
GTZM Technology Ventures LTD v. Vonage America Inc. et al.	1:12cv00983	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Watson Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:12cv00993	Voluntary Dismissal	37.9
Data Carriers LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc.	1:12cv00994	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Masonite Corporation v. CraftMaster Manufacturing Inc.	1:12cv01024	Voluntary Dismissal	15.3
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Aruba Networks Inc. et al	1:12cv01042	Voluntary Dismissal	26.6
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc.	1:12cv01043	Voluntary Dismissal	25.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Meru Networks Inc.	1:12cv01044	Voluntary Dismissal	26.2
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Ruckus Wireless Inc.	1:12cv01045	Voluntary Dismissal	17.6
Aziende Chimiche Riunite Angelini Francesco A.C.R.A.F.S.p.A. et al v. Actavis South Atlantic LLC	1:12cv01061	Voluntary Dismissal	15.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC	1:12cv01078	Voluntary Dismissal	21.5
Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation v. Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC	1:12cv01091	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co.	1:12cv01092	Voluntary Dismissal	10.6
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Vitacost.com Inc.	1:12cv01093	Voluntary Dismissal	15.3
Community United IP LLC v. Oracle Corporation et al	1:12cv01135	Voluntary Dismissal	10.0
Community United IP LLC v. Liveperson Inc. et al	1:12cv01136	Voluntary Dismissal	10.0
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Adtran Inc.	1:12cv01143	Voluntary Dismissal	27.4
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Alcatel-Lucent Inc. et al.	1:12cv01144	Voluntary Dismissal	25.1
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Avaya Inc.	1:12cv01145	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. D-Link Systems Inc.	1:12cv01146	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Enterasys Networks Inc.	1:12cv01147	Voluntary Dismissal	19.4
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Extreme Networks Inc.	1:12cv01148	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Motorola Solutions Inc. et al.	1:12cv01149	Voluntary Dismissal	25.5
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. NETGEAR, Inc.	1:12cv01150	Voluntary Dismissal	18.9
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. ZyXEL Communications Corporation et al.	1:12cv01151	Voluntary Dismissal	11.1
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Expedia Inc. et al.	1:12cv01188	Voluntary Dismissal	34.0
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Travelocity.com LP	1:12cv01189	Voluntary Dismissal	34.0
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Orbitz Worldwide, Inc.	1:12cv01190	Voluntary Dismissal	34.0
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Priceline.com Incorporated	1:12cv01191	Voluntary Dismissal	34.0
Metasearch Systems LLC v. TravelZoo Inc.	1:12cv01222	Voluntary Dismissal	33.8
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Yahoo! Inc.	1:12cv01223	Voluntary Dismissal	33.8
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Kayak Software Corporation	1:12cv01224	Voluntary Dismissal	33.8
Metasearch Systems LLC v. American Express Company et al	1:12cv01225	Voluntary Dismissal	33.8
Metasearch Systems LLC v. Bookit.com Inc.	1:12cv01226	Voluntary Dismissal	33.8
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Royal Philips Electronics N.V., et al.	1:12cv01292	Voluntary Dismissal	28.7
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc.	1:12cv01295	Voluntary Dismissal	17.2
Neology Inc v. Federal Signal Corporation et al	1:12cv01345	Voluntary Dismissal	8.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Maxell Corporation of America Inc.	1:12cv01383	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Imation Corp.	1:12cv01384	Voluntary Dismissal	42.1
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of America et al	1:12cv01385	Voluntary Dismissal	11.6
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Barnes & Noble Inc. et al	1:12cv01392	Voluntary Dismissal	11.8
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Dell Inc.	1:12cv01393	Voluntary Dismissal	11.9
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Google Inc.	1:12cv01394	Voluntary Dismissal	29.9
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:12cv01395	Voluntary Dismissal	32.2
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Lenovo Holding Company Inc. et al.	1:12cv01397	Voluntary Dismissal	28.2
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. ZTE Corporation et al	1:12cv01399	Voluntary Dismissal	9.5
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Authentify Inc.	1:12cv01452	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. TradeHarbor Inc.	1:12cv01454	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. VoiceVault Inc.	1:12cv01456	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Tadayon et al v. Delaware Express Tours Inc.	1:12cv01458	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Konami Digital Entertainment, Inc.	1:12cv01461	Voluntary Dismissal	72.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Avis Budget Group Inc.	1:12cv01495	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. CNN Interactive Group Inc.	1:12cv01496	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Delta Airlines Inc.	1:12cv01497	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. E*Trade Financial Corporation	1:12cv01498	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
McRo Inc. v. Bethesda Softworks LLC	1:12cv01509	Voluntary Dismissal	55.9
McRo Inc. v. Harmonix Music Systems Inc.	1:12cv01510	Voluntary Dismissal	58.7
McRo Inc. v. Rockstar Games Inc.	1:12cv01513	Voluntary Dismissal	54.1
McRo Inc. v. Take-Two Interactive Software Inc.	1:12cv01517	Voluntary Dismissal	54.1
McRo Inc. v. 2K Games Inc.	1:12cv01519	Voluntary Dismissal	54.1
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Validsoft Limited, et al.	1:12cv01588	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Bayer Pharma AG et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:12cv01592	Voluntary Dismissal	15.6
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.	1:12cv01596	Voluntary Dismissal	105.5
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., et al.	1:12cv01598	Voluntary Dismissal	76.6
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Microsoft Mobile Inc.	1:12cv01599	Voluntary Dismissal	88.0
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Apotex Inc. et al.	1:16cv01221	Voluntary Dismissal	44.0
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. VT Technologies Inc.	1:12cv01615	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:16cv01220	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. Hughes Network Systems LLC	1:12cv01630	Voluntary Dismissal	17.7
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. ViaSat Inc.	1:12cv01632	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Clouding IP LLC v. CNN Interactive Group Inc.	1:12cv01639	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Dow Jones & Company Inc.	1:12cv01640	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Fox News Network LLC	1:12cv01641	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Gannett Co Inc.	1:12cv01642	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. TheHuffingtonPost.com Inc.	1:12cv01643	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. New York Times Company	1:12cv01644	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Thomson Reuters Corp. et al	1:12cv01645	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Clouding IP LLC v. Time Inc. et al	1:12cv01646	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Meraki Inc.	1:12cv01674	Voluntary Dismissal	20.2
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Verbatim Americas LLC et al	1:12cv01696	Voluntary Dismissal	13.2
Genetic Technologies Limited v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings et al	1:12cv01736	Voluntary Dismissal	20.6
Genetic Technologies Limited v. HistoGenetics LLC	1:12cv01738	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Microsoft Corporation	1:12cv01739	Voluntary Dismissal	6.6
Trans Video Electronics Ltd. v. Time Warner Cable Inc.	1:12cv01740	Voluntary Dismissal	29.9
Trans Video Electronics Ltd. v. Vudu Inc.	1:12cv01741	Voluntary Dismissal	27.5
Trans Video Electronics Ltd. v. Verizon Wireless Inc.	1:12cv01742	Voluntary Dismissal	29.9
Trans Video Electronics Ltd. v. Netflix Inc.	1:12cv01743	Voluntary Dismissal	30.5
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Hotels.com LP	1:12cv01771	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Sephora USA Inc.	1:12cv01773	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Southwest Airlines Co.	1:12cv01774	Voluntary Dismissal	6.3
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. US Airways Inc.	1:12cv01775	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:13cv00033	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. AT&T Inc., et al.	1:13cv00034	Voluntary Dismissal	10.5
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. HTC Corporation, et al.	1:13cv00035	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. LG Electronics Inc., et al.	1:13cv00036	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Kyocera Corporation, et al	1:13cv00037	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. MetroPCS Communications Inc., et al.	1:13cv00038	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC, et al.	1:13cv00039	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Pantech Co., Ltd., et al.	1:13cv00041	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Research In Motion Limited et al.	1:13cv00042	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corporation	1:13cv00043	Voluntary Dismissal	13.0
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Sony Corporation et al.	1:13cv00044	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc. et al.	1:13cv00046	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Steelhead Licensing LLC v.ZTE Corporation et al.	1:13cv00047	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Web Collaboration Technologies LLC v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	1:13cv00053	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Trans Video Electronics Ltd. v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:13cv00061	Voluntary Dismissal	29.9
911 Notify LLC v. 911 Enable Inc.	1:14cv00080	Voluntary Dismissal	8.1
Microlog Corp. v. Shoretel Inc.	1:14cv00050	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Microlog Corp. v. NEC America Inc.	1:14cv00049	Voluntary Dismissal	18.4
Data Carriers LLC v. JetBlue Airways Corporation	1:13cv01914	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Data Carriers LLC v. Frontier Airlines Holdings Inc.	1:13cv01912	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Advanced eScreens LLC v. Kobo Inc.	1:13cv01899	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Execware LLC v Orbitz Worldwide Inc. et al	1:14cv00243	Voluntary Dismissal	22.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Execware LLC v OfficeMax North America Inc.	1:14cv00242	Voluntary Dismissal	28.7
Execware LLC v. Macy's Inc. et al	1:14cv00237	Voluntary Dismissal	29.4
Execware LLC v. Best Buy Co. Inc.	1:14cv00232	Voluntary Dismissal	27.6
Execware LLC v. Barneys New York Inc.	1:14cv00230	Voluntary Dismissal	26.1
Execware LLC v. AT&T Inc. et al	1:14cv00229	Voluntary Dismissal	23.7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:14cv00228	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Kardiametrics LLC v. Cordis Corporation	1:14cv00211	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Acer Inc. et al	1:13cv00076	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:13cv00077	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv00079	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. CSC Holdings LLC	1:13cv00088	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Horizon Pharma Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. et al	1:13cv00102	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Limited et al	1:13cv00124	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Sarif Biomedical LLC v. Accuray Inc.	1:13cv00151	Voluntary Dismissal	23.5
AvMarkets Incorporated v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:13cv00230	Voluntary Dismissal	22.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Amazon.Com Inc.	1:13cv00237	Voluntary Dismissal	84.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv00238	Voluntary Dismissal	27.7
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Staples Inc.	1:13cv00241	Voluntary Dismissal	27.5
911 Notify LLC v. Agero Connected Services Inc.	1:13cv00269	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
911 Notify LLC v. BMW of North America LLC	1:13cv00270	Voluntary Dismissal	17.8
911 Notify LLC v. Cassidian Communications Inc.	1:13cv00271	Voluntary Dismissal	10.6
911 Notify LLC v. Everbridge Inc.	1:13cv00272	Voluntary Dismissal	10.7
911 Notify LLC v. Ford Motor Company	1:13cv00273	Voluntary Dismissal	7.5
911 Notify LLC v. Greatcall Inc.	1:13cv00274	Voluntary Dismissal	10.7
911 Notify LLC v. Hyundai Motor America Inc.	1:13cv00275	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
911 Notify LLC v. Intrado Inc.	1:13cv00276	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
911 Notify LLC v. Lifeline Systems Company	1:13cv00278	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1
911 Notify LLC v. OnStar LLC	1:13cv00279	Voluntary Dismissal	6.6
911 Notify LLC v. Telecommunication Systems Inc.	1:13cv00281	Voluntary Dismissal	16.6
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. CDW LLC	1:13cv00285	Voluntary Dismissal	40.6
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. eBay Inc.	1:13cv00286	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:13cv00299	Voluntary Dismissal	17.8
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv00300	Voluntary Dismissal	17.8
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Fujitsu Frontech North America Inc.	1:13cv00301	Voluntary Dismissal	18.7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:13cv00303	Voluntary Dismissal	32.6
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Blackberry Corporation	1:13cv00304	Voluntary Dismissal	46.7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Toshiba America Information Systems Inc.	1:13cv00305	Voluntary Dismissal	36.7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:13cv00306	Voluntary Dismissal	18.3
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. L.L. Bean Inc.	1:13cv00325	Voluntary Dismissal	16.3
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Macy's Inc.	1:13cv00327	Voluntary Dismissal	18.0
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Netflix Inc.	1:13cv00328	Voluntary Dismissal	68.8
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. HTC Corporation et al	1:13cv00348	Voluntary Dismissal	13.0
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc.	1:13cv00349	Voluntary Dismissal	14.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. Kyocera Communications Inc.	1:13cv00350	Voluntary Dismissal	13.0
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. LG Electronics Inc. et al.	1:13cv00351	Voluntary Dismissal	11.0
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. Pantech Corp et al	1:13cv00352	Voluntary Dismissal	63.2
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc.	1:13cv00353	Voluntary Dismissal	18.1
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. Google Inc.	1:13cv00355	Voluntary Dismissal	24.2
Technology Innovations Associates LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al	1:13cv00356	Voluntary Dismissal	15.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton Inc.	1:13cv00375	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Cabela's Incorporated	1:13cv00403	Voluntary Dismissal	17.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. HSN Interactive LLC, et al	1:13cv00406	Voluntary Dismissal	13.6
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. The Neiman Marcus Group Inc., et al	1:13cv00407	Voluntary Dismissal	32.3
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Scholastic Corporation	1:13cv00410	Voluntary Dismissal	45.4
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Toys 'R' Us Inc.	1:13cv00411	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
ReefEdge Networks LLC v. Juniper Networks Inc.	1:13cv00412	Voluntary Dismissal	19.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Kering Americas Inc.	1:13cv00442	Voluntary Dismissal	45.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co.	1:13cv00510	Voluntary Dismissal	43.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Blue Nile Inc.	1:13cv00513	Voluntary Dismissal	44.7
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Footlocker.com Inc. et al	1:13cv00517	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. FTD Group Inc. et al	1:13cv00518	Voluntary Dismissal	15.2
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. J. Crew Group Inc.	1:13cv00519	Voluntary Dismissal	15.0
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Musician's Friend Inc. et al	1:13cv00520	Voluntary Dismissal	44.2
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Hyperikon, Inc.	1:16cv01162	Voluntary Dismissal	11.3
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Recreational Equipment Inc.	1:13cv00522	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Saks Direct LLC et al	1:13cv00523	Voluntary Dismissal	7.0
Versata Software Inc. et al v. NetBrain Technologies Inc.	1:13cv00676	Voluntary Dismissal	30.4
Versata Software Inc. et al v. Infoblox Inc.	1:13cv00678	Voluntary Dismissal	30.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. McAfee Inc.	1:13cv00729	Voluntary Dismissal	12.7
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Oracle Corporation et al	1:13cv00730	Voluntary Dismissal	16.3
Data Carriers LLC v. Neiman Marcus Group Inc.	1:13cv00754	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Andover Healthcare Inc. v. 3M Company	1:13cv00843	Voluntary Dismissal	42.8
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Toshiba America Information Systems Inc. et al	1:13cv00866	Voluntary Dismissal	24.3
Kissei Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. et al v. Hetero USA Inc., et al.	1:13cv01091	Voluntary Dismissal	18.6
Kardiametrics LLC v. W.L. Gore & Associates Inc.	1:13cv01891	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Pharmasset LLC	1:14cv00109	Voluntary Dismissal	55.3
911 Notify LLC v. Medlert Inc.	1:14cv00085	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
911 Notify LLC v. Globalstar Inc.	1:14cv00083	Voluntary Dismissal	11.2
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:14cv00075	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Hulu LLC	1:14cv00074	Voluntary Dismissal	21.9
Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC v. TC Heartland LLC et al	1:14cv00028	Voluntary Dismissal	41.3
Alcon Research Ltd. v. Micro Labs Limited et al	1:14cv00014	Voluntary Dismissal	15.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Execware LLC v. Yahoo! Inc.	1:14cv00246	Voluntary Dismissal	25.0
Execware LLC v Verizon Communications, Inc. et al	1:14cv00245	Voluntary Dismissal	29.2
Execware LLC v. Nordstrom Inc.	1:14cv00240	Voluntary Dismissal	26.8
Execware LLC v. Dollar General Corporation	1:14cv00236	Voluntary Dismissal	18.4
Execware LLC v. Buy.Com Inc.	1:14cv00235	Voluntary Dismissal	23.2
Execware LLC v. Blue Nile Inc.	1:14cv00234	Voluntary Dismissal	29.3
Execware LLC v. BJ's Wholesale Club Inc.	1:14cv00233	Voluntary Dismissal	25.8
Kardiametrics LLC v. Merit Medical Systems Inc.	1:14cv00212	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Radware Ltd. et al	1:13cv02085	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
Chinook Licensing DE LLC V StumbleUpon Inc.	1:13cv02079	Voluntary Dismissal	9.7
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v Scribd Inc.	1:13cv02078	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Match.com Inc.	1:13cv02077	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Neology Inc. v. Kapsch TrafficCom IVHS Inc. et al.	1:13cv02052	Voluntary Dismissal	71.7
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc.	1:13cv02051	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Lead Relay LLC v. EducationDynamics LLC	1:13cv02037	Voluntary Dismissal	9.6
Parallel Networks, LLC v. F5 Networks Inc.	1:13cv02001	Voluntary Dismissal	19.7
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Sciences Inc. et al	1:13cv01987	Voluntary Dismissal	57.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. British Airways PLC	1:13cv01967	Voluntary Dismissal	11.4
Parallel Networks, LLC v. A10 Networks Inc.	1:13cv01943	Voluntary Dismissal	18.5
Data Carriers LLC v. JP Boden Services Inc.	1:13cv01915	Voluntary Dismissal	9.1
Data Carriers LLC v. HSN Inc.	1:13cv01913	Voluntary Dismissal	9.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Expedia Inc.	1:13cv01911	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Boot Barn Inc.	1:13cv01906	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Data Carriers LLC v. BeachBody LLC	1:13cv01905	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Avaya Inc.	1:13cv01904	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
DigiMedia Holdings Group LLC v. CC Media Holdings Inc.	1:13cv01834	Voluntary Dismissal	49.2
Pragmatum Mobile LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc., et al.	1:13cv01762	Voluntary Dismissal	7.4
Pragmatum Mobile LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:13cv01761	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Jill Acquisition LLC	1:13cv01598	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
StarTrak Information Technologies LLC v. International Telematics Corporation	1:13cv01183	Voluntary Dismissal	37.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Avon Products Inc.	1:13cv00784	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Footlocker.com Inc.	1:13cv00786	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. Estee Lauder Inc.	1:13cv00787	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Data Carriers LLC v. Gilt Groupe Inc.	1:13cv00788	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Hospira Inc.	1:13cv00789	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Data Carriers LLC v. J. Crew Group Inc.	1:13cv00790	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Nordstrom Inc. et al	1:13cv00791	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. PC Connection Inc.	1:13cv00792	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. PCM Inc.	1:13cv00793	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Peapod LLC	1:13cv00794	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Saks Direct LLC	1:13cv00795	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Systemax Inc.	1:13cv00796	Voluntary Dismissal	5.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Urban Outfitters (Delaware) Inc.	1:13cv00797	Voluntary Dismissal	17.0
Data Carriers LLC v Victoria's Secret Direct LLC.	1:13cv00798	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v Wayfair LLC	1:13cv00799	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v Williams-Sonoma Inc	1:13cv00800	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Data Carriers LLC v W.W Grainger Inc	1:13cv00801	Voluntary Dismissal	12.6
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.	1:16cv01160	Voluntary Dismissal	8.6
Sarif Biomedical LLC v. Siemens Medical Solutions USA Inc. et al	1:13cv00847	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Globus Medical Inc. v. DePuy Synthes Products LLC et al	1:13cv00854	Voluntary Dismissal	32.2
---	-------------	---------------------	------

Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Acer America Corporation, et al	1:13cv00863	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Asus Computer International Inc. et al	1:13cv00864	Voluntary Dismissal	22.5
---	-------------	---------------------	------

Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Panasonic Corporation of North America et al	1:13cv00865	Voluntary Dismissal	9.6
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. VIZIO Inc.	1:13cv00867	Voluntary Dismissal	10.7
---	-------------	---------------------	------

Certified Measurement LLC v. Yokogawa Corporation of America	1:16cv01158	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:13cv00961	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv00993	Voluntary Dismissal	24.5
---	-------------	---------------------	------


PatentMarks Communications LLC v. HTC America Inc.	1:13cv00994	Voluntary Dismissal	15.4
--	-------------	---------------------	------

PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Futurewei Technologies Inc.	1:13cv00995	Voluntary Dismissal	22.4
---	-------------	---------------------	------

PatentMarks Communications LLC v. LG Electronics Mobilecomm USA Inc.	1:13cv00997	Voluntary Dismissal	21.5
--	-------------	---------------------	------

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Kyocera Communications Inc.	1:13cv00996	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC	1:13cv00998	Voluntary Dismissal	25.3
PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Samsung Telecommunications America LLC	1:13cv00999	Voluntary Dismissal	13.4
PatentMarks Communications LLC v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications	1:13cv01000	Voluntary Dismissal	20.8
PatentMarks Communications LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc.	1:13cv01001	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
Orthophoenix LLC v. Ascendx Spine Inc. et al	1:13cv01002	Voluntary Dismissal	21.8
Orthophoenix LLC v. Dfine Inc. et al	1:13cv01003	Voluntary Dismissal	34.7
Orthophoenix LLC v. Osseon Therapeutics Inc. et al	1:13cv01004	Voluntary Dismissal	28.3
Orthophoenix LLC v. Sintea Plustek LLC et al	1:13cv01005	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Orthophoenix LLC v. Wright Medical Technology Inc. et al	1:13cv01007	Voluntary Dismissal	34.5
Orthophoenix LLC v. Soteira Inc. et al	1:13cv01006	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
Orthophoenix LLC v. Osseon Therapeutics Inc. et al	1:13cv01008	Voluntary Dismissal	28.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Enova Technology Corporation v. Seagate Technology (US) Holdings Inc. et al	1:13cv01011	Voluntary Dismissal	59.3
Pregis Innovative Packaging Inc. v. Sealed Air Corporation	1:13cv01084	Voluntary Dismissal	14.6
Kissei Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:13cv01092	Voluntary Dismissal	45.9
UCB Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01148	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
Efficiency Systems LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc.	1:13cv01170	Voluntary Dismissal	11.8
Efficiency Systems LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv01171	Voluntary Dismissal	12.4
Efficiency Systems LLC v. International Business Machines Corporation	1:13cv01172	Voluntary Dismissal	12.3
Efficiency Systems LLC v. Oracle Corporation, et al.	1:13cv01173	Voluntary Dismissal	12.0
StarTrak Information Technologies LLC v. Ameriscan Inc.	1:13cv01181	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
StarTrak Information Technologies LLC v. Blue Tree Systems Inc.	1:13cv01182	Voluntary Dismissal	21.2
Shutterfly Inc. v. Interactive Memories Inc.	1:13cv01198	Voluntary Dismissal	12.4
UCB Inc. et al v. Hetero USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01213	Voluntary Dismissal	26.7
UCB Inc. et al v. ScieGen Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:13cv01217	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. Hughes Network Systems LLC	1:13cv01262	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Authentify Inc.	1:13cv01265	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. TradeHarbor Inc.	1:13cv01267	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. VoiceVault Inc.	1:13cv01268	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. VT Technologies Inc.	1:13cv01269	Voluntary Dismissal	9.9
Wasica Finance GmbH et al v. Continental Automotive Systems U.S. Inc.	1:13cv01356	Voluntary Dismissal	63.5
PPS Data LLC v. Transcentra Inc.	1:13cv01359	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
Advanced eScreens LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:13cv01382	Voluntary Dismissal	22.2
TransVideo Electronics Ltd. v. Hulu LLC	1:13cv01399	Voluntary Dismissal	23.0
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Brocade Communications Systems Inc.	1:13cv01413	Voluntary Dismissal	18.9
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Barracuda Networks Inc.	1:13cv01412	Voluntary Dismissal	20.5
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Citrix Systems Inc.	1:13cv01414	Voluntary Dismissal	17.3
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. AT&T Inc.	1:13cv01419	Voluntary Dismissal	22.0
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Charter Communications Inc.	1:13cv01420	Voluntary Dismissal	22.7
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Comcast Cable Communications LLC	1:13cv01421	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Cox Communications Inc.	1:13cv01422	Voluntary Dismissal	22.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Dish Network Corporation	1:13cv01424	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Time Warner Cable Inc.	1:13cv01425	Voluntary Dismissal	22.2
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:13cv01426	Voluntary Dismissal	22.2
Custom Media Technologies LLC v. DirectTV	1:13cv01423	Voluntary Dismissal	22.2
Parallel Networks, LLC v. SAP America Inc.	1:13cv01476	Voluntary Dismissal	17.0
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Riverbed Technology Inc.	1:13cv01477	Voluntary Dismissal	18.4
Parallel Networks, LLC v. Array Networks Inc.	1:13cv01481	Voluntary Dismissal	16.1
DigiMedia Holdings Group, LLC v. Access.1 Communications Corp.	1:13cv01483	Voluntary Dismissal	51.3
DigiMedia Holdings Group, LLC v. Hunt County Radio LLC	1:13cv01484	Voluntary Dismissal	51.3
DigiMedia Holdings Group, LLC v. NM Licensing LLC	1:13cv01485	Voluntary Dismissal	24.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Advance Auto Parts Inc.	1:13cv01509	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Brown Shoe Company Inc.	1:13cv01510	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Electronic Arts Inc.	1:13cv01512	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. FMR LLC	1:13cv01513	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Harley-Davidson Inc.	1:13cv01514	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. H&R Block, Inc.	1:13cv01515	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. InterContinental Hotels Group PLC et al.	1:13cv01516	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. NBTY Inc.	1:13cv01517	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide Inc.	1:13cv01518	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Data Carriers LLC v. United Continental Holdings Inc.	1:13cv01519	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Optical Devices LLC v Mediatek Inc. et al.	1:13cv01527	Voluntary Dismissal	50.9
Optical Devices LLC v. Toshiba Corporation et al.	1:13cv01530	Voluntary Dismissal	49.9
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Ace Hardware Corporation	1:13cv01535	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Canon U.S.A. Inc.	1:13cv01536	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Dick's Sporting Goods Inc.	1:13cv01537	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Google Inc	1:13cv01539	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. New Moosejaw LLC	1:13cv01540	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. RadioShack Corp.	1:13cv01542	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Shoebuy.com Inc.	1:13cv01543	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Kardiametrics LLC v. Boston Scientific Corporation	1:13cv01591	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Kardiametrics LLC v. Merit Medical Systems Inc.	1:13cv01596	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Kardiametrics LLC v. Cordis Corporation	1:13cv01593	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Data Carriers LLC v. 1-800 Contacts Inc.	1:13cv01620	Voluntary Dismissal	11.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Amerigroup Corporation	1:13cv01621	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
Orthophoenix LLC v. Stryker Corporation et al	1:13cv01628	Voluntary Dismissal	31.3
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC et al	1:13cv01631	Voluntary Dismissal	50.3
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01632	Voluntary Dismissal	69.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Red Roof Inns Incorporated	1:13cv01646	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. AT & T Mobility LLC et al	1:13cv01668	Voluntary Dismissal	50.2
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Cricket Communications Inc.	1:13cv01669	Voluntary Dismissal	50.2
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:13cv01670	Voluntary Dismissal	44.1
Pragmatas Mobile LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:13cv01681	Voluntary Dismissal	7.4
Pragmatas Mobile LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:13cv01682	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Pragmatas Mobile LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al	1:13cv01683	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8
Pragmatas Mobile LLC v. Nokia Corporation et al	1:13cv01685	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Pragmatas Mobile LLC v. ASUSTek Computer Inc. et al	1:13cv01696	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Pragmatu s Mobile LLC v. Casio Computer Co. Ltd. et al	1:13cv01697	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
Pragmatu s Mobile LLC v. Kyocera Communications Inc.	1:13cv01698	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Pragmatu s Mobile LLC v. Lenovo Group Ltd. et al	1:13cv01699	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Microlog Corp. v. inContact Inc.	1:14cv00047	Voluntary Dismissal	36.1
Execware LLC v. Nextag Inc.	1:14cv00239	Voluntary Dismissal	26.4
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Facebook Inc.	1:14cv00073	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Execware LLC v. Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.	1:14cv00231	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Monaghan Medical Corp. v. Smiths Medical ASD, Inc.	1:17cv00712	Voluntary Dismissal	16.3
Robert Bosch LLC v. Alberee Products Inc. et al	1:14cv00142	Voluntary Dismissal	40.5
Data Carriers LLC v. Columbia Sportswear Company	1:14cv00144	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Corsair Components Inc.	1:14cv00145	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Data Carriers LLC v. General Motors Company	1:14cv00146	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
Data Carriers LLC v. Midnight Velvet Inc.	1:14cv00150	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Montgomery Ward Inc.	1:14cv00151	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.	1:14cv00152	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Sally Beauty Supply LLC	1:14cv00153	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. SkyMall Interests LLC et al	1:14cv00154	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Data Carriers LLC v. Tory Burch LLC	1:14cv00155	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Valve Corporation	1:14cv00156	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Dr Reddy's Laboratories Ltd et al	1:14cv00157	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:14cv00200	Voluntary Dismissal	24.0
Kardiametrics LLC v. Boston Scientific Corporation	1:14cv00210	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Wingard v. Kia of Wilmington	1:14cv00291	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Surpass Tech Innovation LLC v. LG Display Co. Ltd. et al	1:14cv00336	Voluntary Dismissal	36.8
Surpass Tech Innovation LLC v. Samsung Display Co. Ltd. et al	1:14cv00337	Voluntary Dismissal	36.8
Surpass Tech Innovation LLC v. Sharp Corporation et al	1:14cv00338	Voluntary Dismissal	36.9
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Rackspace US Inc. et al.	1:14cv00342	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
Kaavo Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:14cv00354	Voluntary Dismissal	5.6
Kaavo Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation	1:14cv00355	Voluntary Dismissal	5.6
Kaavo Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation	1:14cv00356	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Copy Protection LLC v. Netflix Inc.	1:14cv00365	Voluntary Dismissal	21.1
ViiV Healthcare UK Ltd. et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:14cv00369	Voluntary Dismissal	16.6
Intel Corporation v. Future Link Systems LLC	1:14cv00377	Voluntary Dismissal	40.7
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:14cv00387	Voluntary Dismissal	14.9
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. AT&T Corp.	1:14cv00399	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. McAfee Inc.	1:14cv00400	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:14cv00401	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Extreme Networks Inc., et al.	1:14cv00431	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Fluke Electronics Corporation	1:14cv00432	Voluntary Dismissal	19.0
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Fortinet Inc.	1:14cv00433	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:14cv00436	Voluntary Dismissal	18.3
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. ASUSTek Computer Inc. et al	1:14cv00437	Voluntary Dismissal	13.2
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:14cv00438	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:14cv00439	Voluntary Dismissal	7.5
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd et al.	1:14cv00441	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. et al.	1:14cv00442	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. ZTE Corporation et al.	1:14cv00443	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Sirona Dental Systems GmbH et al v. Dental Wings Inc. et al	1:14cv00460	Voluntary Dismissal	68.5
Pragmatus Mobile LLC v. NEC Corporation of America et al.	1:14cv00478	Voluntary Dismissal	20.7
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:14cv00508	Voluntary Dismissal	19.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Six Continents Hotels Inc.	1:14cv00509	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Barracuda Networks Inc.	1:14cv00533	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Juniper Networks Inc.	1:14cv00536	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Sirona Dental Systems GmbH et al v. Dentsply IH Inc.	1:14cv00538	Voluntary Dismissal	22.5
Sirona Dental Systems GmbH et al v. Anatomage Inc.	1:14cv00540	Voluntary Dismissal	74.0
Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc. v. Fortinet Inc.	1:14cv00574	Voluntary Dismissal	14.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Acer Inc. et al	1:14cv00622	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Olympus Imaging America Inc.	1:14cv00623	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd	1:14cv00624	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc.	1:14cv00625	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc. v. Sophos Inc. et al	1:14cv00628	Voluntary Dismissal	10.6
StarTrak Information Technologies LLC v. Mark-It Services Inc. et al	1:14cv00660	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
TSMC Technology Inc. et al v. Zond LLC	1:14cv00721	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
LaserMax Inc. v. Sellmark Corporation	1:14cv00740	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Imation Corp.	1:14cv00756	Voluntary Dismissal	36.2
Enterprise Systems Technologies Sarl v. Apple Inc.	1:14cv00765	Voluntary Dismissal	11.1
Novartis AG et al v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Ltd. et al	1:14cv00771	Voluntary Dismissal	11.2
Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited et al	1:14cv00792	Voluntary Dismissal	0.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc. et al	1:14cv00833	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Micro Design LLC v. Acer America Corporation et al	1:14cv00835	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Micro Design LLC v. Cray Inc.	1:14cv00836	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Micro Design LLC v. Asus Computer International	1:14cv00837	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Micro Design LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:14cv00838	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Micro Design LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:14cv00840	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Micro Design LLC v. NEC Corporation of America et al	1:14cv00841	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
TQ Beta LLC v. Dish Network Corporation et al	1:14cv00848	Voluntary Dismissal	39.9
ViiV Healthcare UK Ltd. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:14cv00868	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Siemens Medical Solutions USA Inc. v. Humedica Inc.	1:14cv00880	Voluntary Dismissal	12.1
PLL Technologies Inc. v. Altera Corporation	1:14cv00942	Voluntary Dismissal	9.9
PLL Technologies Inc. v. Microsemi SoC Corp.	1:14cv00943	Voluntary Dismissal	30.8
PLL Technologies Inc. v. Texas Instruments Incorporated	1:14cv00944	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8
PLL Technologies Inc. v. Xilinx Inc.	1:14cv00945	Voluntary Dismissal	36.1
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated	1:14cv00963	Voluntary Dismissal	10.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bose Corporation v. Beats Electronics LLC et al	1:14cv00980	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:14cv01013	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. EMC Corporation	1:14cv01014	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Selene Communication Technologies LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:14cv01015	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:14cv01058	Voluntary Dismissal	43.5
Inventor Holdings LLC v. Gameloft Inc.	1:14cv01067	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. Glu Mobile Inc.	1:14cv01068	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. King.com Inc.	1:14cv01070	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. ngmoco LLC	1:14cv01071	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. Rovio Animation Company	1:14cv01072	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. Supercell Inc	1:14cv01073	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:14cv01076	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1
Good Technology Corporation et al v. Air Watch LLC	1:14cv01092	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
911 Notify LLC v. Automatic Labs, Inc.	1:14cv01146	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
911 Notify LLC v. Avaya Inc.	1:14cv01147	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
911 Notify LLC v. Bay Alarm Company (Bay Alarm Medical)	1:14cv01148	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
911 Notify LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.	1:14cv01149	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
911 Notify LLC v. Integrity Tracking LLC	1:14cv01150	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
911 Notify LLC v. Medical Guardian LLC	1:14cv01151	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
911 Notify LLC v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Systems Inc. et al.	1:14cv01152	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
911 Notify LLC v. Securus Inc.	1:14cv01153	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Startrak Information Technologies, LLC v. Mark-It Services, Inc. et al	1:14cv01155	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.	1:14cv01157	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Motivation Design LLC	1:14cv01159	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Blackbird Tech LLC v. PetCo Animal Supplies Inc.	1:14cv01161	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Clouding Corp. v. Amazon.com Inc., et al	1:14cv01173	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Clouding Corp. v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.	1:14cv01174	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Clouding Corp. v. CA Inc. d/b/a/ CA Technologies	1:14cv01175	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Clouding Corp. v. Citrix Systems Inc.	1:14cv01176	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Clouding Corp. v. Dropbox Inc.	1:14cv01177	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Clouding Corp. v. EMC Corporation, et al.	1:14cv01178	Voluntary Dismissal	41.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Clouding Corp. v. Google, Inc.	1:14cv01179	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Clouding Corp. v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:14cv01180	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Clouding Corp. v. Rackspace Hosting, Inc. et al	1:14cv01181	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Clouding Corp. v SAP AG et al	1:14cv01182	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Clouding Corp. v. Verizon Communications Inc. et al	1:14cv01183	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Kaavo Inc. v. BMC Software Inc.	1:14cv01191	Voluntary Dismissal	30.2
Kaavo Inc. v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation	1:14cv01192	Voluntary Dismissal	21.9
Kaavo Inc. v. Tier 3 Inc. et al	1:14cv01193	Voluntary Dismissal	26.1
Kaavo Inc. v. ServiceMesh Inc. et al	1:14cv01194	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Cadence Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. InnoPharma Licensing LLC et al	1:14cv01225	Voluntary Dismissal	31.3
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v AT&T Mobility LLC et al	1:14cv01229	Voluntary Dismissal	38.6
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v Cricket Communications Inc.	1:14cv01230	Voluntary Dismissal	38.6
Aziende Chimiche Riunite Angelini Francesco ACRAFSpA et al v. Intellipharmaeutics International Inc. et al	1:14cv01240	Voluntary Dismissal	12.6
Forest Laboratories et al v. Amerigen Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:14cv01271	Voluntary Dismissal	12.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:14cv01283	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. HomeAway Inc.	1:14cv01285	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. RetailMeNot Inc.	1:14cv01286	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
British Telecommunications plc et al v. Adaptive Spectrum and Signal Alignment, Inc. et al	1:14cv01359	Voluntary Dismissal	9.5
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Lattice Semiconductor Corp.	1:14cv01375	Voluntary Dismissal	11.4
Sheex Inc. v. BedGear LLC	1:14cv01380	Voluntary Dismissal	17.2
Sheex Inc. v. E&E Co. Ltd.	1:14cv01399	Voluntary Dismissal	18.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Choice Hotels International Inc.	1:14cv01401	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Elm 3DS Innovations LLC v. Micron Technology Inc. et al	1:14cv01431	Voluntary Dismissal	79.7
Elm 3DS Innovations LLC v. SK hynix Inc., a Korean corporation, et al.	1:14cv01432	Voluntary Dismissal	78.4
Yodlee Inc. v. Plaid Technologies Inc.	1:14cv01445	Voluntary Dismissal	26.1
Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:14cv01453	Voluntary Dismissal	29.5
Allergan Inc. et al v. Wilshire Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:14cv01461	Voluntary Dismissal	17.2
Endoheart AG v. Edwards Lifesciences Corporation	1:14cv01473	Voluntary Dismissal	18.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Ranbaxy Inc. et al	1:14cv01526	Voluntary Dismissal	9.7
Helios Software LLC et al v. SpectorSoft Corporation	1:15cv00020	Voluntary Dismissal	8.6
Helios Software LLC et al v. Awareness Technologies Inc. et al	1:15cv00022	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Valmont Industries Inc. v. Lindsay Corporation	1:15cv00042	Voluntary Dismissal	47.1
ALSTOM Grid LLC v. Certified Measurement LLC	1:15cv00072	Voluntary Dismissal	23.6
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc.	1:15cv00077	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Astellas Pharma Inc. et al v. Fresenius Kabi USA LLC	1:15cv00080	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Bayer HealthCare LLC et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:15cv00114	Voluntary Dismissal	32.9
BASF Corporation et al v. Umicore N.V. et al	1:15cv00172	Voluntary Dismissal	26.4
Galderma Laboratories LP v. Actavis Laboratories UT Inc.	1:15cv00232	Voluntary Dismissal	21.8
Noven Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Actavis Laboratories UT Inc.	1:15cv00249	Voluntary Dismissal	54.6
Improved Search LLC v. AOL Inc.	1:15cv00262	Voluntary Dismissal	28.0
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Hologic Inc.	1:15cv00271	Voluntary Dismissal	49.0
IPXpharma LLC v. Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:15cv00276	Voluntary Dismissal	15.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Sirona Dental Systems GmbH et al v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:15cv00278	Voluntary Dismissal	30.5
NuVasive Inc. v. Neurovision Medical Products Inc.	1:15cv00286	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Perrigo Pharma International D.A.C. v. Actavis Laboratories UT Inc.	1:15cv00291	Voluntary Dismissal	14.0
Noven Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Mylan Technologies Inc. et al	1:15cv00328	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
Cipla Ltd. v. Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:15cv00424	Voluntary Dismissal	34.5
Mayne Pharma International Pty Ltd. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al	1:15cv00438	Voluntary Dismissal	49.9
ABB Inc. v. Certified Measurement LLC	1:15cv00461	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Medigus Ltd. v. Endochoice, Inc.	1:15cv00505	Voluntary Dismissal	16.9
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC,	1:15cv00516	Voluntary Dismissal	12.4
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Leica Microsystems Inc.	1:15cv00517	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Cephalon, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC	1:15cv00536	Voluntary Dismissal	13.2
Touchscreen Technology LLC v. TCL Communication Technology Holdings, Ltd. et al	1:15cv00566	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
911 Notify, LLC v. AtHoc, Inc.	1:15cv00575	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
911 Notify, LLC v. Gulfstream Telematics, LLC dba Crashboxx	1:15cv00580	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
911 Notify, LLC v. MIR3, Inc. d/b/a MIR3 IN, Inc.	1:15cv00581	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
911 Notify, LLC v. ResponseLink LLC et al	1:15cv00584	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
454 Life Sciences Corporation v. Ion Torrent Systems, Inc. et al	1:15cv00595	Voluntary Dismissal	21.0
Kaavo Inc. v. Amazon.com Inc. et al	1:15cv00638	Voluntary Dismissal	37.4
Kaavo Inc. v. BMC Software, Inc.	1:15cv00639	Voluntary Dismissal	19.9
Kaavo Inc. v. Tier 3 Inc et al	1:15cv00640	Voluntary Dismissal	15.8
Kaavo Inc. v. Cognizant Technology Solutions	1:15cv00641	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Unequal Technologies Company et al v. Reebok International Ltd.	1:15cv00653	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
TCD Royalty Sub LLC v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:15cv00670	Voluntary Dismissal	33.5
Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:15cv00691	Voluntary Dismissal	39.1
Forest Laboratories LLC et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:15cv00756	Voluntary Dismissal	12.2
Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:15cv00785	Voluntary Dismissal	22.6
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. AT & T Mobility LLC et al	1:15cv00799	Voluntary Dismissal	27.1
Astellas Pharma Inc. et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:15cv00857	Voluntary Dismissal	10.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Varian Medical Systems, Inc. v. Elekta AB et al	1:15cv00871	Voluntary Dismissal	18.3
Allergan Sales LLC v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:15cv00886	Voluntary Dismissal	12.4
Forest Laboratories LLC et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc. et al	1:15cv00903	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Roxane Laboratories, Inc.	1:15cv00908	Voluntary Dismissal	17.9
Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC et al v. Mylan Laboratories Limited et al	1:15cv00942	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Amerigen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:15cv00966	Voluntary Dismissal	12.2
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Mylan Technologies Inc. et al	1:15cv00979	Voluntary Dismissal	12.1
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:15cv00986	Voluntary Dismissal	14.9
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Natco Pharma Ltd.	1:15cv00987	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. FEI Company	1:15cv01019	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc.	1:15cv01020	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	1:15cv01022	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Zygo Corporation	1:15cv01023	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Nanya Technology Corp. U.S.A. et al	1:15cv01027	Voluntary Dismissal	15.4
Allergan Sales LLC v. Aurobindo Pharma Limited et al	1:15cv01032	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Actavis Laboratories, Inc.	1:15cv01046	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Alvogen Pine Brook, LLC	1:15cv01047	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:15cv01049	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Alvogen Pine Brook LLC	1:15cv01077	Voluntary Dismissal	13.5
Regalo International, LLC v. Munchkin, Inc.	1:15cv01103	Voluntary Dismissal	16.8
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Shilpa Medicare Limited	1:15cv01111	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
Mastercool, Inc. v. Irwin Industrial Tool Company	1:15cv01146	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
Mastercool, Inc. v. Refco Manufacturing (US), Inc.	1:15cv01147	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
The Gillette Company LLC v. Dollar Shave Club, Inc.	1:15cv01158	Voluntary Dismissal	39.5
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:15cv01162	Voluntary Dismissal	24.9
Adamas Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Panacea Biotec Ltd.	1:15cv01171	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Aptalis Pharma US, Inc. et al v. Delcor Asset Corporation et al	1:15cv01210	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Aker BioMarine Antarctic AS v. Olympic Holding AS et al	1:16cv00035	Voluntary Dismissal	45.2
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al v. Wockhardt Bio AG et al	1:16cv00040	Voluntary Dismissal	10.8
adidas AG et al v. Unequal Technologies Company et al	1:16cv00052	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
PLL Technologies, Inc. v. Nanya Technology Corp. et al	1:16cv00069	Voluntary Dismissal	12.3
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. Cipla USA, Inc. et al	1:16cv00074	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Toshiba Corporation et al	1:16cv00115	Voluntary Dismissal	12.1
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc.	1:16cv00118	Voluntary Dismissal	6.6
International Business Machines Corporation v. Groupon, Inc.	1:16cv00122	Voluntary Dismissal	31.0
Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc.	1:16cv00129	Voluntary Dismissal	30.8
Masimo Corporation v. Philips Electronics North America Corporation et al	1:16cv00137	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:16cv00152	Voluntary Dismissal	20.2
FatPipe, Inc. v. Viptela, Inc.	1:16cv00182	Voluntary Dismissal	26.9
MorphoSys AG v. Janssen Biotech Inc. et al	1:16cv00221	Voluntary Dismissal	33.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Javelin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Mylan Laboratories Limited et al	1:16cv00224	Voluntary Dismissal	20.5
Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:16cv00246	Voluntary Dismissal	11.2
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. CDW Corporation	1:16cv00255	Voluntary Dismissal	14.2
Arris Group Inc. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16cv00259	Voluntary Dismissal	20.3
Ubee Interactive Inc. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16cv00260	Voluntary Dismissal	20.3
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Sharp Corporation	1:16cv00351	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Sony Interactive Entertainment America LLC et al	1:16cv00359	Voluntary Dismissal	8.9
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corporation	1:16cv00368	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Accord Healthcare, Inc. et al	1:16cv00375	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Tessera, Inc. et al v. Broadcom Corporation	1:16cv00379	Voluntary Dismissal	19.0
Tessera, Inc. et al v. Broadcom Corporation	1:16cv00380	Voluntary Dismissal	19.0
Phishme, Inc. v. Wombat Security Technologies, Inc.	1:16cv00403	Voluntary Dismissal	18.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Dr. Reddys Laboratories, Ltd. et al	1:17cv00401	Voluntary Dismissal	26.7
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.	1:16cv00444	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
North Star Innovations Inc. v. GoPro, Inc.	1:16cv00445	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc.	1:16cv00465	Voluntary Dismissal	39.6
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. International Business Machines Corporation	1:16cv00578	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Shionogi Inc. et al v. Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc.	1:16cv00606	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
British Telecommunications plc v. Valve Corporation	1:16cv00646	Voluntary Dismissal	12.5
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Canon U.S.A., Inc. et al	1:16cv00651	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation	1:16cv00655	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Shionogi Inc. et al v. Hi-Tech Pharmacal Co., Inc.	1:16cv00676	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Blackberry, Ltd et al	1:16cv00690	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Bright House Networks, LLC et al	1:16cv00693	Voluntary Dismissal	20.9
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:16cv00695	Voluntary Dismissal	20.9
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Aerohive Networks, Inc.	1:16cv00700	Voluntary Dismissal	19.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Xirrus, Inc.	1:16cv00701	Voluntary Dismissal	19.5
---	-------------	---------------------	------

SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. et al v. Autel Robotics USA LLC et al	1:16cv00706	Voluntary Dismissal	60.2
---	-------------	---------------------	------

North Star Innovations Inc. V. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:16cv00728	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

North Star Innovations Inc. v. HTC Corporation et al.	1:16cv00729	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

North Star Innovations Inc. v. Nikon Corporation et al.	1:16cv00730	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Galderma Laboratories, L.P. et al v. Perrigo UK FINCO L.P. et al	1:16cv00732	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Perrigo UK FINCO Limited Partnership et al	1:16cv00794	Voluntary Dismissal	9.9
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Amerigen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:16cv00917	Voluntary Dismissal	0.7
--	-------------	---------------------	-----

IC Display Systems LLC v. AU Optronics Corporation et al.	1:16cv00958	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

North Star Innovations Inc. v. Texas Instruments Incorporated	1:16cv00995	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
---	-------------	---------------------	-----


Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Qilu Pharma, Inc. et al	1:16cv01013	Voluntary Dismissal	15.2
--	-------------	---------------------	------

North Star Innovations Inc. v. Socionext America Inc.	1:16cv01017	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
---	-------------	---------------------	-----

UCB Inc. et al v. Zydus Worldwide DMCC et al	1:16cv01023	Voluntary Dismissal	47.1
--	-------------	---------------------	------

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Invensas Corporation v. Avago Technologies Limited et al	1:16cv01033	Voluntary Dismissal	13.4
Tessera, Inc. et al v. Avago Technologies Limited et al	1:16cv01034	Voluntary Dismissal	13.4
Forest Laboratories, LLC et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:16cv01045	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Valinge Innovation AB v. Halstead New England Corporation et al	1:16cv01082	Voluntary Dismissal	29.3
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Toshiba Corporation et al	1:16cv01113	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Mallinckrodt IP v. InnoPharma Licensing LLC et al	1:16cv01116	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
3G Licensing, S.A. et al v. Blackberry Limited et al	1:17cv00082	Voluntary Dismissal	52.9
3G Licensing, S.A. v. Lenovo Holding Co., Inc. et al	1:17cv00084	Voluntary Dismissal	60.1
3G Licensing, S.A. v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:17cv00085	Voluntary Dismissal	52.9
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Kyocera Corporation	1:17cv00087	Voluntary Dismissal	14.1
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. NEC Corporation et al.	1:17cv00088	Voluntary Dismissal	12.3
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Sierra Wireless, Inc., et al	1:17cv00090	Voluntary Dismissal	50.8
Pfizer Inc. et al v. C.P. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:17cv00159	Voluntary Dismissal	24.7
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Dell Inc.	1:17cv00208	Voluntary Dismissal	7.4
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:17cv00213	Voluntary Dismissal	23.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

ON Semiconductor Corporation et al v. Power Integrations, Inc.	1:17cv00247	Voluntary Dismissal	31.5
IC Display Systems LLC v. Lenovo Group, Ltd. et al	1:17cv00267	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
IC Display Systems LLC v. Vizio, Inc.	1:17cv00268	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
IC Display Systems, LLC v. Fujifilm Holdings America Corporation et al	1:17cv00290	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Bayerische Motoren Werke AG et al	1:17cv00296	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Denso Corporation et al	1:17cv00297	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. et al	1:17cv00302	Voluntary Dismissal	14.5
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:17cv00342	Voluntary Dismissal	6.6
Mallinckrodt IP et al v. B. Braun Medical Inc.	1:17cv00365	Voluntary Dismissal	18.1
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:17cv00375	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Hetero USA Inc. et al	1:17cv00376	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	1:17cv00377	Voluntary Dismissal	17.6
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Lupin Ltd.	1:17cv00378	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Sunshine Lake Pharma Co., Ltd., et al	1:17cv00380	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	1:17cv00381	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc.	1:17cv00398	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Apotex, Inc. et al	1:17cv00399	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Bionpharma Inc.	1:17cv00400	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Emcure Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	1:17cv00402	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Impax Laboratories LLC	1:17cv00403	Voluntary Dismissal	14.7
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Indoco Remedies Ltd.	1:17cv00404	Voluntary Dismissal	20.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Macleods Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	1:17cv00405	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Micro Labs USA Inc. et al	1:17cv00406	Voluntary Dismissal	9.7
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Sandoz Inc.	1:17cv00407	Voluntary Dismissal	24.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Inc.	1:17cv00409	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:17cv00410	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Wockhardt Bio AG et al	1:17cv00411	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc.	1:17cv00412	Voluntary Dismissal	30.8
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:17cv00426	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Euri Lighting	1:17cv00441	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:17cv00449	Voluntary Dismissal	9.9
Wi-Lan Inc. v. MStar Semiconductor Inc.	1:17cv00554	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. TCL Communication Inc. et al	1:17cv00583	Voluntary Dismissal	14.0
Hanesbrands Inc. v. Jacques Moret, Inc.	1:17cv00595	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. et al v. Agilent Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv00600	Voluntary Dismissal	16.3
Genzyme Corporation et al v. Ingenus Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:17cv00602	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Foundation Medicine, Inc. v. Guardant Health, Inc.	1:17cv00607	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Mallinckrodt IP et al v. B. Braun Medical Inc.	1:17cv00660	Voluntary Dismissal	16.2
Confluent Surgical, Inc. et al v. HyperBranch Medical Technology, Inc.	1:17cv00688	Voluntary Dismissal	26.9
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. 1-800 Contacts, Inc.	1:17cv00733	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co.	1:17cv00737	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc.	1:17cv00738	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Cabelas Inc.	1:17cv00739	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. GameStop Corporation	1:17cv00741	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.	1:17cv00743	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. MGM Resorts International	1:17cv00745	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Papa John's International Inc.	1:17cv00746	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Tractor Supply Company	1:17cv00748	Voluntary Dismissal	8.3
PhishMe Inc. v. Wombat Security Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv00769	Voluntary Dismissal	5.6
ASG Technologies Group, Inc. v. Lone Star Document Management, LLC	1:17cv00782	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Deshodax LLC v. Lenovo (United States), Inc.	1:17cv00804	Voluntary Dismissal	7.4
Foundation Medicine, Inc. v. Guardant Health, Inc.	1:17cv00807	Voluntary Dismissal	12.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Biogen MA Inc. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.	1:17cv00826	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Biogen MA Inc. v. Princeton Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:17cv00827	Voluntary Dismissal	14.5
Biogen International GmbH et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:17cv00829	Voluntary Dismissal	10.6
Biogen MA Inc. v. Sun Pharma Global FZE	1:17cv00848	Voluntary Dismissal	20.4
Biogen International GmbH et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:17cv00873	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Spycurity LLC v. Fortinet, Inc.	1:17cv00885	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Spycurity LLC v. Sangoma US, Inc.	1:17cv00886	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Venadium LLC v. Novartis Capital Corporation	1:17cv00899	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Blue Spike, LLC v. Roku, Inc.	1:17cv00928	Voluntary Dismissal	32.6
TMI Solutions LLC v. Bath & Body Works Direct, Inc.	1:17cv00965	Voluntary Dismissal	23.7
TMI Solutions LLC v. The Gap, Inc.	1:17cv00966	Voluntary Dismissal	23.7
TMI Solutions LLC v. Nordstrom, Inc.	1:17cv00967	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8
TMI Solutions LLC v. Staples, Inc.	1:17cv00968	Voluntary Dismissal	19.9
TMI Solutions LLC v. Victoria's Secret Stores, Inc. et al	1:17cv00969	Voluntary Dismissal	23.7
Insys Therapeutics, Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:17cv01078	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Interface Linx, LLC v. Haier America Company, LLC, et al	1:17cv01098	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Interface Linx, LLC v. Onkyo U.S.A. Corporation	1:17cv01099	Voluntary Dismissal	17.1
Interface Linx, LLC v. Sound United, LLC, et al	1:17cv01102	Voluntary Dismissal	11.5
Interface Linx, LLC v. Vox International Corporation, et al	1:17cv01104	Voluntary Dismissal	22.4
Search and Social Media Partners, LLC v. Facebook, Inc. et al	1:17cv01120	Voluntary Dismissal	34.6
Aptalis Pharma US, Inc. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:17cv01134	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:17cv01161	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Sisvel International S.A. v. CenturyLink Communications, LLC	1:17cv01186	Voluntary Dismissal	12.9
Sisvel International S.A. v. Frontier Communications Corporation et al	1:17cv01187	Voluntary Dismissal	17.5
Oil Lift Technology Inc. v. Millennium Oilflow Systems & Technology Inc. et al	1:17cv01212	Voluntary Dismissal	14.6
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. Qilu Pharma, Inc. et al	1:17cv01235	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Flash3D LLC v. Facebook, Inc.	1:17cv01257	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Visual Effect Innovations, LLC v. LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.	1:17cv01275	Voluntary Dismissal	15.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Visual Effect Innovations, LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc.	1:17cv01276	Voluntary Dismissal	15.3
FUJIFILM Corporation v. Sony Corporation et al	1:17cv01309	Voluntary Dismissal	22.3
Lexos Media IP, LLC v. Jos. A. Bank Clothiers, Inc.	1:17cv01317	Voluntary Dismissal	42.0
Lexos Media IP, LLC V. Oriental Trading Company, Inc.	1:17cv01318	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Lexos Media IP, LLC v. Ralph Lauren Corporation, et al.	1:17cv01319	Voluntary Dismissal	54.5
Lexos Media IP, LLC v. TJX Companies, Inc.	1:17cv01320	Voluntary Dismissal	11.3
Lexos Media IP, LLC v. Williams-Sonoma, Inc.	1:17cv01321	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Biogen International GmbH v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:17cv01361	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
Diversified Observation LLC v. Oki Data Americas, Inc.	1:17cv01408	Voluntary Dismissal	14.9
Diversified Observation LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America	1:17cv01409	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Alvogen Pine Brook LLC et al	1:17cv01429	Voluntary Dismissal	11.3
Siemens Industry, Inc. v. Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation et al	1:17cv01449	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Sageworks, Inc. v. Moodys Analytics, Inc.	1:17cv01483	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Iron Oak Technologies, LLC v. Sierra Wireless, Inc. et al	1:17cv01485	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:17cv01493	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc. et al	1:17cv01532	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
First-Class Monitoring, LLC v. M&T Bank Corporation	1:17cv01578	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:17cv01597	Voluntary Dismissal	15.9
Guardant Health, Inc. v. Foundation Medicine, Inc.	1:17cv01616	Voluntary Dismissal	49.9
Tangelo IP, LLC v. FTD Companies, Inc.	1:17cv01621	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Tangelo IP, LLC v. HSN Improvements, LLC	1:17cv01622	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
3M Company et al v. Kerr Corporation	1:17cv01730	Voluntary Dismissal	27.4
Guardant Health, Inc. v. Personal Genome Diagnostics, Inc.	1:17cv01623	Voluntary Dismissal	33.7
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:17cv01646	Voluntary Dismissal	51.6
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:17cv01647	Voluntary Dismissal	51.6
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:17cv01648	Voluntary Dismissal	51.6
Billingnetwork Patent, Inc. v. Modernizing Medicine, Inc.	1:17cv01655	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation v. Siemens Mobility, Inc.	1:17cv01687	Voluntary Dismissal	22.3
Travel Blue Ltd. v. Sourcing Network International LLC	1:17cv01710	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.	1:17cv01775	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Mylan Technologies Inc. et al	1:17cv01777	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Citrix Systems, Inc. v. Avi Networks, Inc.	1:17cv01843	Voluntary Dismissal	24.8
International Business Machines Corporation v. Expedia, Inc. et al	1:17cv01875	Voluntary Dismissal	21.3
Seneca IP Licensing LLC v. Oki Data Americas, Inc.	1:18cv00057	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Adverio Pharma GmbH et al v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited et al	1:18cv00073	Voluntary Dismissal	35.4
Commercial Copy Innovations, Inc. v. Brother International Corp. et al	1:18cv00076	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Adverio Pharma GmbH et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al	1:18cv00112	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd. et al	1:18cv00115	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
Biogen MA Inc. v. Caribe Holdings (Cayman) Co. Ltd. et al	1:18cv00121	Voluntary Dismissal	12.7
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:18cv00175	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
West View Research, LLC v. BMW of North America, LLC et al	1:18cv00211	Voluntary Dismissal	17.3
Genedics, LLC v. Leap Motion, Inc.	1:18cv00265	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Location Based Services, LLC v. Sony Electronics Inc.	1:18cv00283	Voluntary Dismissal	12.2
Biogen International GmbH v. IMPAX Laboratories, Inc.	1:18cv00350	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
DIFF Scale Operation Research, LLC v. Cypress Semiconductor Corporation	1:18cv00372	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
DIFF Scale Operation Research, LLC v. Integrated Device Technology, Inc.	1:18cv00373	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
SZ DJI Technology Co Ltd et al v. Autel Robotics USA LLC et al	1:18cv00378	Voluntary Dismissal	41.3
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Princeton Pharmaceutical Inc.	1:18cv00380	Voluntary Dismissal	11.0
Signode Industrial Group LLC v. 3M Company	1:18cv00409	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Flava' Cap LLC v. Ancestry.com LLC et al	1:18cv00453	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Arris Group, Inc.	1:18cv00471	Voluntary Dismissal	21.8
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Brother International Corporation et al	1:18cv00472	Voluntary Dismissal	15.9
Biogen International GmbH et al v. Banner Life Sciences LLC	1:18cv00582	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Citrix Systems Inc. v. Workspot, Inc.	1:18cv00588	Voluntary Dismissal	35.6
Diversified Observation LLC v. Brother International Corporation	1:18cv00611	Voluntary Dismissal	19.4
Duke University et al v. Alcon Laboratories, Inc.	1:18cv00652	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Coding Technologies, LLC v. 21st Century Insurance and Financial Services, Inc.	1:18cv00657	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Control4 Corporation	1:18cv00658	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Commercial Metals Company	1:18cv00659	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Rexnord Corporation	1:18cv00660	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Adiona IP, LLC v. TiVo Corporation	1:18cv00670	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Visual Effect Innovations, LLC v. Sony Electronics, Inc.	1:18cv00675	Voluntary Dismissal	7.5
Visual Effect Innovations, LLC v. LG Electronics U.S.A. Inc. et al	1:18cv00687	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2
Adiona IP, LLC v. Peag, LLC	1:18cv00717	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Adiona IP, LLC v. LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.	1:18cv00718	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Adiona IP, LLC v. Libratone, Inc.	1:18cv00719	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc.	1:18cv00758	Voluntary Dismissal	16.7
Canatelo LLC v. Lorex Technology, Inc. et al	1:18cv00825	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC et al v. Eurohealth International Sarl	1:18cv00835	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
3Shape A/S v. Align Technology, Inc.	1:18cv00886	Voluntary Dismissal	44.6
DIFF Scale Operation Research, LLC v. NXP USA, Inc.	1:18cv00925	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. TCL Corporation et al	1:18cv00928	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Hertl Media, LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:18cv00939	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Belcher Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. International Medication Systems, Limited	1:18cv00960	Voluntary Dismissal	22.8
Beck Branch LLC v. Unify Inc.	1:18cv00982	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Cosmo Technologies Limited v. Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. et al	1:18cv01006	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Mallinckrodt Hospital Products IP Ltd. et al v. B. Braun Medical Inc.	1:18cv01090	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Motorola Mobility, LLC	1:18cv01230	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.	1:18cv01302	Voluntary Dismissal	17.0
Dorco Company, ltd. v. The Gillette Company	1:18cv01306	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Contemporary Display LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:19cv00225	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Amer Sports Winter & Outdoor Company	1:19cv00251	Voluntary Dismissal	7.8
Portal Communications, LLC v. SoundHound, Inc.	1:18cv01371	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Location Based Services, LLC v. Fantastic Fox, Inc.	1:18cv01424	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Apotex, Inc. et al	1:18cv01465	Voluntary Dismissal	7.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Aerohive Networks, Inc.	1:18cv01466	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Digi Portal LLC v. Quotient Technology Inc.	1:18cv01485	Voluntary Dismissal	11.5
Pfizer Inc. v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:18cv01529	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:18cv01539	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3
Location Based Services, LLC v. Google LLC	1:18cv01587	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
Galderma Laboratories, L.P. et al v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:18cv01588	Voluntary Dismissal	21.2
Sisvel International S.A. v. Verizon Communications Inc. et al	1:18cv01742	Voluntary Dismissal	10.2
Mimzi, LLC v. Foursquare Labs, Inc.	1:18cv01767	Voluntary Dismissal	26.6
Mimzi, LLC v. TripAdvisor Inc. et al	1:18cv01768	Voluntary Dismissal	26.4
Genesis Alkali Wyoming, LP v. Ciner Resources LP et al	1:18cv01879	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0
OPTIC153 LLC v. Finisar Corporation	1:18cv01897	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
OPTIC153 LLC v. FS.com Inc.	1:18cv01898	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Nudura Inc. et al v. Stronghold Insulation Systems, Inc.	1:19cv00353	Voluntary Dismissal	13.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. 9280-0366 Quebec Inc.	1:19cv00354	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Galderma Laboratories, L.P. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC et al	1:19cv00440	Voluntary Dismissal	18.0
Beck Branch, LLC v. CenturyLink Communications, LLC	1:18cv01915	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Pfizer Inc. et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:18cv01940	Voluntary Dismissal	33.1
Invensas Corporation v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al	1:18cv01947	Voluntary Dismissal	0.0
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:18cv01949	Voluntary Dismissal	38.7
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:18cv01968	Voluntary Dismissal	21.7
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:18cv02012	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
Tellagemini Communication LLC v. OnConference Inc.	1:18cv02026	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Arch Chemicals, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Company et al	1:18cv02037	Voluntary Dismissal	13.7
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Global Cloud Fleet Inc.	1:18cv02063	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Solta Medical, Inc. v. Sciton, Inc.	1:19cv00100	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
DISH Technologies L.L.C. et al v. Univision Communications Inc.	1:19cv00144	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Blue Spike LLC et al v. Charter Communications, Inc.	1:19cv00158	Voluntary Dismissal	14.6
Blue Spike LLC et al v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC	1:19cv00159	Voluntary Dismissal	22.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Blue Spike LLC et al v. Dish Network Corporation et al	1:19cv00160	Voluntary Dismissal	12.0
Blue Spike LLC et al v. SoundCloud Ltd.	1:19cv00161	Voluntary Dismissal	13.6
Excalibur IP, LLC v. Spotify USA Inc.	1:19cv00165	Voluntary Dismissal	14.5
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. Vocera Communications, Inc.	1:19cv00208	Voluntary Dismissal	12.0
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Chemo Research S.L. et al	1:19cv00220	Voluntary Dismissal	25.8
Contemporary Display LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:19cv00224	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
AlmondNet, Inc. et al v. Oath Holdings Inc.	1:19cv00247	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Mimzi, LLC v. Acer Incorporated	1:19cv00272	Voluntary Dismissal	24.3
Mimzi, LLC v. ASUSTek Computer Inc.	1:19cv00273	Voluntary Dismissal	24.3
Sisvel International S.A. v. SONIC.NET, LLC	1:19cv00330	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Sisvel International S.A. v. Consolidated Communications Enterprise Services Inc.	1:19cv00331	Voluntary Dismissal	10.9
Air Liquide Advanced Technologies, U.S. LLC v. Carleton Life Support Systems, Inc. et al	1:19cv00403	Voluntary Dismissal	22.2
Raven Licensing LLC v. Distech Controls USA, Inc.	1:19cv00417	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Ajanta Pharma Ltd. et al	1:19cv00517	Voluntary Dismissal	14.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Blackbird Tech LLC v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A.	1:19cv00462	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Portus Singapore Pte Ltd. et al v. SimpliSafe, Inc.	1:19cv00480	Voluntary Dismissal	10.8
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. Ascom (US) Inc.	1:19cv00568	Voluntary Dismissal	10.2
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. PerfectServe, Inc.	1:19cv00569	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Ryujin LLC v. Plex, Inc.	1:19cv00586	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
TZU Technologies, LLC v. Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation	1:19cv00651	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Silvergate Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:19cv00678	Voluntary Dismissal	26.6
Tellagemini Communication LLC v. AT&T Inc.	1:19cv00686	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Magnacharge LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.	1:19cv00702	Voluntary Dismissal	11.5
Pinek IP LLC v. Schneider Electric USA, Inc.	1:19cv00736	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Saros Licensing LLC v. ACP, Inc.	1:19cv00764	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Bexley Solutions LLC v. UTC Fire & Security Americas Corporation, Inc.	1:19cv00792	Voluntary Dismissal	30.2
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. OSRAM GmbH et al	1:19cv00797	Voluntary Dismissal	30.2
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. MLS Co., Ltd. et al	1:19cv00798	Voluntary Dismissal	31.0
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. Acuity Brands, Inc. et al	1:19cv00805	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Lighting Science Group Corp. v. General Electric Company et al	1:19cv00806	Voluntary Dismissal	31.2
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. Signify N.V. et al	1:19cv00807	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. Cooper Lighting LLC	1:19cv00808	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Lighting Science Group Corp. v. Lumileds Holdings B.V. et al	1:19cv00809	Voluntary Dismissal	31.3
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Lupin Ltd.	1:19cv00884	Voluntary Dismissal	16.9
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Watson Laboratories, Inc. et al	1:19cv00885	Voluntary Dismissal	11.9
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Fecon, LLC	1:19cv00942	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.	1:19cv00955	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Saros Licensing LLC v. Electrolux USA, Inc. et al.	1:19cv00981	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Wave Linx LLC v. CarrierX, LLC et al	1:19cv00986	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Smart Locking Technologies LLC v. August Home, Inc.	1:19cv00991	Voluntary Dismissal	13.1
Smart Locking Technologies LLC v. Igloohome Inc.	1:19cv00992	Voluntary Dismissal	11.6
Smart Locking Technologies LLC v. LockState, Inc.	1:19cv00993	Voluntary Dismissal	11.1
Smart Locking Technologies LLC v. Schlage Lock Company LLC	1:19cv00994	Voluntary Dismissal	12.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Allergan Sales, LLC et al v. Ajanta Pharma Ltd. et al	1:19cv01249	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Alkermes Pharma Ireland Limited et al v. Luye Pharma Group Ltd. et al	1:19cv01340	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. TigerConnect, Inc.	1:19cv01400	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Wireless Transport LLC v. Fortinet, Inc.	1:19cv01404	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:19cv01445	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0
In re: Auryxia (Ferric Citrate) Patent Litigation	1:19md02896	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0
Steven Madden, Ltd. v. Rothys, Inc.	1:19cv01509	Voluntary Dismissal	17.5
Mobile Networking Solutions, LLC v. Sling Media LLC	1:19cv01510	Voluntary Dismissal	9.1
KeyMe, LLC v. The Hillman Group, Inc.	1:19cv01539	Voluntary Dismissal	22.0
Magnacharge LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.	1:19cv01565	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GMBH et al v. Healtel, Inc. et al	1:19cv01616	Voluntary Dismissal	26.3
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. GreatCall, Inc.	1:19cv01619	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Best Buy Stores LP et al.	1:19cv01620	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Diebold, Incorporated et al v. Nautilus Hyosung Inc. et al	1:19cv01695	Voluntary Dismissal	26.8
Cabaret Biotech Ltd. v. Kite Pharma, Inc. et al	1:19cv01732	Voluntary Dismissal	15.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
RapidDeploy, Inc. v. RapidSOS, Inc.	1:19cv01046	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Aido LLC v. ON Semiconductor Corporation	1:19cv01110	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Aido LLC v. Stmicroelectronics, Inc.	1:19cv01111	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Becton, Dickinson and Company et al v. NeuMoDx Molecular, Inc.	1:19cv01126	Voluntary Dismissal	28.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Wells Fargo & Company	1:19cv01129	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Theta Chip LLC v. Ricoh Imaging Americas Corporation	1:19cv01178	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
TMI Solutions LLC v. CVS Health Corporation	1:19cv01230	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
TMI Solutions LLC v. Etsy, Inc.	1:19cv01231	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
TMI Solutions LLC v. The Home Depot, Inc.	1:19cv01234	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
TMI Solutions LLC v. Mary Kay, Inc.	1:19cv01235	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
TMI Solutions LLC v. OfficeMax Incorporated	1:19cv01236	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Bimba Manufacturing Company	1:19cv01259	Voluntary Dismissal	6.6
Be TopNotch Wyoming, LLC v. Appeprop, Inc.	1:19cv01850	Voluntary Dismissal	16.9
Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Unichem Laboratories Ltd.	1:19cv01977	Voluntary Dismissal	24.1
Optima Direct, LLC v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	1:19cv02091	Voluntary Dismissal	7.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
DIFF Scale Operation Research, LLC v. MaxLinear, Inc. et al	1:19cv02109	Voluntary Dismissal	14.0
AFX Technology Group International, Inc. v. Microchip Technology Incorporated	1:19cv02131	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Integrated Device Technology, Inc.	1:19cv02155	Voluntary Dismissal	20.1
Tekvoke, LLC v. Vonage Holdings Corp.	1:19cv02206	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. GetResponse, Inc.	1:19cv02218	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Discover Financial Services, Inc.	1:19cv02296	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Boston Fog LLC v. Ryobi Technologies, Inc. et al	1:19cv02310	Voluntary Dismissal	11.0
Optima Direct, LLC v. Idaptive, LLC	1:19cv02336	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Biofire Defense et al v. Fluidigm Corporation	1:19cv02341	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Zadro Products, Inc. v. Conair Corporation	1:20cv00073	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
Silvergate Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. CMP Development LLC	1:20cv00161	Voluntary Dismissal	14.2
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Cengage Learning, Inc.	1:20cv00163	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Pivotal IP LLC v. Twilio Inc.	1:20cv00254	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Pivotal IP LLC v. SharpSpring, Inc.	1:20cv00255	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Avast Software, Inc.	1:20cv00263	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Launchip LLC v. Houzz Inc.	1:20cv00287	Voluntary Dismissal	8.7
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:20cv00300	Voluntary Dismissal	9.1
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:20cv00301	Voluntary Dismissal	9.1
Geographic Location Innovations LLC v. ViaMichelin North America, LLC	1:20cv00306	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
International Business Machines Corporation v. Airbnb, Inc.	1:20cv00351	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. MilDef Inc.	1:20cv00423	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Charter Communications, Inc.	1:20cv00473	Voluntary Dismissal	0.7
Cirba, Inc. et al v. Turbonomic, Inc.	1:20cv00480	Voluntary Dismissal	9.6
Chiesi USA, Inc. et al v. Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:20cv00484	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Centre One v. WideOpenWest, Inc.	1:20cv00568	Voluntary Dismissal	17.2
Blackbird Tech LLC v. TD Bank, N.A.	1:20cv00686	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
TableSafe, Inc. v. DinerIQ, Inc.	1:20cv00699	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Heritage IP LLC v. Insulet Corporation	1:20cv00724	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Aegis Mobility Inc. v. Here International, Inc. et al	1:20cv00752	Voluntary Dismissal	10.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Azurity Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Annora Pharma Private Limited	1:20cv00753	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Extreme Networks, Inc.	1:20cv00764	Voluntary Dismissal	10.1
Genus Lifesciences Inc. v. Lannett Company, Inc.	1:20cv00770	Voluntary Dismissal	16.4
Valyrian IP LLC v. RCN Telecom Services, LLC	1:20cv00877	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Geographic Location Innovations LLC v. Delsey Luggage, Inc.	1:20cv00879	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
GERD IP, Inc. v. EndoGastric Solutions, Inc.	1:20cv00917	Voluntary Dismissal	10.2
Stingray Music USA, Inc. et al v. Post Media Systems LLC	1:20cv00930	Voluntary Dismissal	12.0
Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited et al v. Norwich Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:20cv00953	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Chimetech Licensing LLC v. Sonos, Inc.	1:20cv00966	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
NXP USA, Inc. v. Heritage IP LLC	1:20cv00972	Voluntary Dismissal	10.0
Raindrops Licensing LLC v. LiveXLive, Corp.	1:20cv01026	Voluntary Dismissal	14.9
Raindrops Licensing LLC v. Pandora Media, LLC	1:20cv01027	Voluntary Dismissal	14.9
Fantasia Trading, LLC v. 360 Electrical, LLC	1:20cv01093	Voluntary Dismissal	0.0
Moxchange LLC v. ALE USA Inc.	1:20cv01123	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Amphenol Corporation et al	1:20cv01148	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Silvergate Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC.	1:20cv01255	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Unichem Laboratories Ltd.	1:20cv01295	Voluntary Dismissal	12.8
Almirall, LLC v. Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	1:20cv01373	Voluntary Dismissal	13.3
Moxchange LLC v. Avigilon USA Corporation	1:20cv01440	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
3Shape A/S et al v. Align Technology, Inc.	1:20cv01492	Voluntary Dismissal	16.0
Guardant Health, Inc. v. Foundation Medicine, Inc.	1:20cv01580	Voluntary Dismissal	13.4
Wave Linx LLC v. Pexip, Inc.	1:20cv01616	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Adverio Pharma GmbH et al v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited et al	1:20cv01617	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v Bullitt Group Ltd.	1:21cv00044	Voluntary Dismissal	10.7
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Acer Inc. et al	1:21cv00045	Voluntary Dismissal	7.0
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. u-blox AG et al	1:21cv00046	Voluntary Dismissal	9.5
Wave Linx LLC v. Masergy Communications, Inc.	1:21cv00089	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Wave Linx LLC v. NetFortris Operating Co., Inc.	1:21cv00090	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Terracon Consultants, Inc. v. NV5, Inc.	1:21cv00100	Voluntary Dismissal	12.9
Westwind IP LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:21cv00113	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Sable Networks, Inc. et al v. Check Point Software Technologies Ltd. et al	1:21cv00201	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. et al	1:21cv00214	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation v. Lupin Atlantis Holdings, S.A. et al	1:21cv00229	Voluntary Dismissal	11.7
Bayer HealthCare LLC v. Ecomed Solutions LLC	1:21cv00231	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Pamarope Pty Limited v. Coloplast Corp.	1:21cv00265	Voluntary Dismissal	12.9
Valyrian IP LLC v. Evolve IP, LLC	1:21cv00294	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
PPC Broadband, Inc. v. CommScope, Inc.	1:21cv00305	Voluntary Dismissal	12.2
Pfizer Inc. et al v. Micro Labs, Ltd. et al	1:21cv00361	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Biogen Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals Development Inc.	1:21cv00389	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Valyrian IP LLC v. Hawaiian Telecom Holdco, Inc.	1:21cv00484	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Gridley IP LLC v. Waitr, Inc.	1:21cv00575	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Karetek Holdings LLC v. Keeper Security, Inc.	1:21cv00617	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Karetek Holdings LLC v. Okta, Inc.	1:21cv00619	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Karetek Holdings LLC v. Stripe, Inc	1:21cv00621	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Digital Cache, LLC v. Pure Storage, Inc.	1:21cv00927	Voluntary Dismissal	8.1
Heritage IP LLC v. Gimbal, Inc.	1:21cv00936	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Heritage IP LLC v. VivaChek Laboratories, Inc.	1:21cv00937	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Orbit Licensing LLC v. CodePen, Inc.	1:21cv00943	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Bayer Healthcare LLC et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. et al	1:21cv00997	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Orbit Licensing LLC v. The Mathworks, Inc.	1:21cv01089	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Orbit Licensing LLC v. Velocix Solutions USA Inc.	1:21cv01090	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Valyrian IP LLC v. Intermedia Voice Services, Inc.	1:21cv01103	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
Digital Cache, LLC v. Storcentric, Inc.	1:21cv01170	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Moxchange LLC v. Electrolux Home Products, Inc.	1:21cv01209	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Valyrian IP LLC v. Dialpad, Inc.	1:21cv01221	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Bataan Licensing LLC v. ENA Services LLC	1:21cv01492	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Wave Linx LLC v. Clearone, Inc.	1:21cv01507	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Bayer HealthCare LLC et al v. Yabao Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Beijing et al	1:21cv01549	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Inseego Corp.	1:21cv01662	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Mentone Solutions LLC v. u-blox America Inc.	1:21cv01851	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Inc. et al	1:08cv00052	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Preferred Hotel Group Inc.	1:14cv00128	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
PPS Data LLC v. Diebold Inc.	1:09cv00842	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0
Transcore Link Logistics Corporation et al v. SkyBitz Inc.	1:09cv01005	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
SkyBitz Inc. v. Transcore Link Logistics Corporation et al	1:10cv00227	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
TriStrata Technology Inc. v. Control Corrective Skincare Inc. et al	1:10cv00424	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Wyeth LLC v. Intellipharmaceutics International Inc. et al	1:10cv00561	Voluntary Dismissal	14.1
Chimei Innolux Corporation v. Sony Corporation et al	1:10cv00706	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Catholic Health Initiatives v. Webvention Holdings LLC et al	1:11cv00097	Voluntary Dismissal	7.0
Efficient Online Purchasing LLC et al v. Apple Inc. et al	1:11cv00222	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Walker Digital LLC v. Criterion Capital Partners LLC et al	1:11cv00340	Voluntary Dismissal	41.8
Systemation Inc. v. ASLI Mechanical Co Ltd.	1:11cv00845	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Smarter Agent LLC v. Mobilerealtyapps.com LLC et al	1:11cv00915	Voluntary Dismissal	54.4
Advanced Micro Devices Inc. et al v. S3 Graphics Co Ltd. et al	1:11cv00965	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Callertone Innovations LLC v. AT&T Inc.	1:11cv00996	Voluntary Dismissal	19.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
PJC Logistics LLC v Ford Motor Company	1:11cv01052	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Pragmatus AV LLC v. Fringland Ltd	1:11cv01085	Voluntary Dismissal	15.2
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Samsung Electronics USA Inc.	1:11cv01306	Voluntary Dismissal	0.7
St Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Samsung Electronics America Inc. et al	1:12cv00058	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Evergreen LED, LLC	1:16cv01318	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Paxfire Inc.	1:12cv00157	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Integrated Broadband Service LLC	1:12cv00197	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. CleanLife Energy LLC	1:16cv01317	Voluntary Dismissal	9.8
Biro Monitoring Systems LLC v. Monnit Corp	1:12cv00297	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
AstraZeneca AB et al v. Mylan Laboratories Limited et al	1:12cv00300	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. OpenDNS Inc.	1:12cv00307	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Data Carriers LLC v. Futurewei Technologies Inc.	1:12cv00336	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
Data Carriers LLC v. LG Electronics Mobilecomm USA Inc. et al	1:12cv00338	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Infoblox Inc.	1:12cv00368	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Genetic Technologies Limited v. Pfizer, Inc.	1:12cv00395	Voluntary Dismissal	54.4
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Infospace Inc.	1:12cv00432	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Xerocol Inc.	1:12cv00484	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Barefruit US Limited	1:12cv00594	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:12cv00669	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. Advance America Cash Advance Centers Inc.	1:12cv00801	Voluntary Dismissal	57.4
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. Regions Financial Corporaiton	1:12cv00802	Voluntary Dismissal	57.4
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. US Bancorp	1:12cv00803	Voluntary Dismissal	57.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Archos LLC	1:12cv00932	Voluntary Dismissal	18.8
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. MAM-A Inc.	1:12cv01291	Voluntary Dismissal	14.4
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Primera Technology Inc.	1:12cv01293	Voluntary Dismissal	14.4
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Sharp Corporation, et al.	1:12cv01294	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Symmetry Group Inc.	1:12cv01296	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Amazon.com Inc. et al	1:12cv01390	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. et al	1:12cv01396	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Graphics Properties Holdings Inc. v. Pantech Corp. et al	1:12cv01398	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. CSIdentity Corporation	1:12cv01453	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Peter Soufleris, et al.	1:12cv01455	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. AOL Inc.	1:12cv01494	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Kayak Software Corporation	1:12cv01499	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Priceline.com Incorporated	1:12cv01500	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. TripAdvisor LLC	1:12cv01501	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Vanguard Group Inc.	1:12cv01502	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Agnitio Corporation	1:12cv01585	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. E*Pro Technologies LLC	1:12cv01586	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. Nuance Communications Inc.	1:12cv01587	Voluntary Dismissal	7.7
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. SpeechPro Inc.	1:12cv01614	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. Spacenet Inc.	1:12cv01631	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. JVC Americas Corporation et al	1:12cv01694	Voluntary Dismissal	10.3
Advanced Optical Tracking LLC v. Moser Baer India Limited et al	1:12cv01695	Voluntary Dismissal	10.8
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. SecuriMobile Inc.	1:12cv01705	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Apple Inc.	1:12cv01749	Voluntary Dismissal	22.9
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Kyocera Communications Inc. et al	1:12cv01770	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Morningstar Inc.	1:12cv01772	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Deutsche Telekom AG et al	1:13cv00045	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
FMC Corporation v. Summit Agro USA LLC et al	1:14cv00051	Voluntary Dismissal	18.7
Microlog Corp. v. Mitel Networks Inc.	1:14cv00048	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Execware LLC v Target Corp. et al	1:14cv00244	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
Execware LLC v. Media Market Group LLC	1:14cv00238	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Recreational Equipment Inc.	1:13cv01916	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Steelhead Licensing LLC v. Asustek Computer Inc.	1:13cv00078	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Optical Devices LLC v. Sharp Electronics Corp. et al	1:13cv00139	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
Horizon Valley Generics Inc. et al v. Merial Limited et al	1:13cv00147	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
North Star Innovations, Inc. v. Epson America, Inc.	1:16cv01196	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. OfficeMax Incorporated	1:13cv00240	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4
911 Notify LLC v. ADT LLC d/b/a ADT Security Services	1:13cv00268	Voluntary Dismissal	18.9
911 Notify LLC v. LifeWatch Inc.	1:13cv00277	Voluntary Dismissal	33.0
911 Notify LLC v. REACT Systems Inc.	1:13cv00280	Voluntary Dismissal	18.4
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. BestBuy.com LLC et al.	1:13cv00284	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Cequel Communications LLC et al v. Rates Technology Inc.	1:13cv00314	Voluntary Dismissal	13.2
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Alaska Air Group Inc.	1:13cv00373	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. CNBC LLC	1:13cv00374	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. National Railroad Passenger Corporation	1:13cv00376	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Orbitz Worldwide, Inc.	1:13cv00377	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. 1-800-Flowers.com Inc.	1:13cv00399	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. CBS Interactive Inc., et al	1:13cv00400	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Avon Products Inc.	1:13cv00401	Voluntary Dismissal	8.1
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Buy.com Inc.	1:13cv00402	Voluntary Dismissal	16.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. drugstore.com inc.	1:13cv00405	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Zenith Products Corp v. LTD Commodities LLC	1:13cv00452	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. American Eagle Outfitters Inc.	1:13cv00511	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Disney Shopping Inc. et al	1:13cv00515	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Euromarket Designs Inc. of Delaware et al	1:13cv00516	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Oriental Trading Company Inc. et al	1:13cv00521	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Spiegel LLC	1:13cv00524	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Systemax Inc.	1:13cv00525	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Edison Lux Industries LLC v. Automatic Inc.	1:13cv00555	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Optical Devices LLC v. Panasonic Corporation et al	1:13cv00726	Voluntary Dismissal	22.5
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. AllianceBernstein L.P.	1:14cv00127	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Pandora Media Inc.	1:14cv00105	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
911 Notify LLC v. Convergent Integrated Systems Corporation	1:14cv00088	Voluntary Dismissal	21.9
911 Notify LLC v. MyForce Inc.	1:14cv00086	Voluntary Dismissal	8.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Zoosk Inc.	1:14cv00077	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Chinook Licensing DE LLC v. Project Rover Inc.	1:14cv00076	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Execware LLC v. Zappos IP Inc.	1:14cv00247	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Execware LLC v. Office Depot Inc.	1:14cv00241	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Tela Innovations Inc. v. Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited et al	1:13cv02087	Voluntary Dismissal	8.6
GPNE Corp. v. Pilgrim's Pride Corporation et al	1:13cv02048	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Trans World Entertainment Corporation	1:13cv01968	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Data Carriers LLC v. Zazzle Inc.	1:13cv01918	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Data Carriers LLC v. Sierra Trading Post Inc.	1:13cv01917	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Disney Shopping Inc.	1:13cv01909	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Discovery Communications Inc.	1:13cv01908	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Compsource Inc.	1:13cv01907	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Kardiametrics LLC v. MEDRAD Inc.	1:13cv01595	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Kardiametrics LLC v. Covidien LP	1:13cv01594	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Sunco Lighting, Inc.	1:16cv01161	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Data Carriers LLC v. Best Buy Co. Inc.	1:13cv00785	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. NBC Universal Media LLC	1:13cv00838	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Optical Devices LLC v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:13cv01033	Voluntary Dismissal	27.1
Interface IP Holdings LLC V. HVM LLC	1:13cv01129	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v IKEA North America Services LLC	1:13cv01130	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. LQ Management LLC	1:13cv01131	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Cumberland Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Akorn Inc.	1:13cv01232	Voluntary Dismissal	45.0
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. Spacenet Inc.	1:13cv01263	Voluntary Dismissal	0.3
Network Acceleration Technologies LLC v. ViaSat Inc.	1:13cv01264	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Digital Signal Innovations LLC v. CSIdentity Corporation	1:13cv01266	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Theta Chip LLC v. Bushnell Holdings, Inc.	1:19cv01820	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Lead Relay LLC v. WZH LLC	1:13cv01462	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Data Carriers LLC v. Discover Financial Services	1:13cv01511	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Optical Devices LLC v. Nintendo Co. Ltd. et al	1:13cv01528	Voluntary Dismissal	18.2
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. AutoNation Incorporated	1:13cv01563	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. La-Z-Boy Incorporated	1:13cv01564	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Kardiametrics LLC v. Abbott Laboratories Inc.	1:13cv01590	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Kardiametrics LLC v. Control Medical Technology LLC	1:13cv01592	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. AT&T Mobility LLC et al	1:13cv01649	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. Leap Wireless International Inc. et al	1:13cv01650	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:13cv01652	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01654	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01655	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Data Carriers LLC v. Ebags Inc.	1:13cv01910	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Data Carriers LLC v. Archos Inc.	1:14cv00143	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
Data Carriers LLC v. Harry and David, et al.	1:14cv00147	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Data Carriers LLC v. J & R Electronics Inc. et al	1:14cv00148	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Data Carriers LLC v. LMC Right Start Inc	1:14cv00149	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Blue Sky Bio LLC	1:14cv00295	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Biomet, Inc. et al	1:14cv00296	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Mega'Gen Implant Co. Ltd. et al	1:14cv00297	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Zimmer Holdings Inc. et al	1:14cv00298	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Keystone Dental Inc.	1:14cv00299	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Implant Direct Sybron Manufacturing LLC	1:14cv00300	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Osstem Implant Co. Ltd. et al	1:14cv00301	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Dentsply International Inc. et al	1:14cv00302	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Nobel Biocare AG et al	1:14cv00303	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Straumann Holding AG et al	1:14cv00304	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. Ace Surgical Supply Co. Inc.	1:14cv00305	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
DE Partners Golden Rule LLC v. BioHorizons Inc.	1:14cv00306	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Dale Scott & Company v. Piper Jaffray & Co.	1:14cv00414	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.	1:14cv00532	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. Fujitsu America Inc. et al	1:14cv00555	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
International Container Corp. v. Huhtamaki Inc.	1:14cv00567	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Shutterfly Inc. v. Interactive Memories Inc.	1:14cv00699	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. HTC Corporation, et al.	1:14cv00755	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Enterprise Systems Technologies Sarl v. Audience Inc.	1:14cv00766	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Enterprise Systems Technologies Sarl v. Cirrus Logic Inc.	1:14cv00767	Voluntary Dismissal	8.6
Enterprise Systems Technologies Sarl v. Qualcomm Incorporated	1:14cv00768	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Micro Design LLC v. Fujitsu America Inc.	1:14cv00839	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Fresenius Kabi USA LLC	1:14cv00905	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. NASDAQ OMX Group Inc.	1:14cv00964	Voluntary Dismissal	6.3
Prometheus Laboratories Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:14cv00968	Voluntary Dismissal	15.8
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. et al	1:14cv00990	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Prometheus Laboratories Inc. v. Par Pharmaceutical Companies Inc. et al	1:14cv01017	Voluntary Dismissal	15.4
InFirst Healthcare Limited v. Cocoa Cough	1:14cv01059	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Inventor Holdings LLC v. Kabam Inc.	1:14cv01069	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
NVIDIA Corporation v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al	1:14cv01127	Voluntary Dismissal	20.2
911 Notify LLC v. Airbiquity Inc.	1:14cv01145	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Foster and Smith Inc.	1:14cv01158	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Pet Supermarket Inc.	1:14cv01160	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. PetEgo EGR LLC	1:14cv01162	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Vermont Juvenile Furniture Manufacturing Inc.	1:14cv01163	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. PetSense LLC	1:14cv01164	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. PetSmart Inc.	1:14cv01165	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Sears Holdings Corporation	1:14cv01166	Voluntary Dismissal	7.4
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Target Corporation	1:14cv01167	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Unbeatable.com Inc.	1:14cv01168	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	1:14cv01169	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Travelzoo Inc.	1:14cv01284	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Singapore Airlines Limited	1:14cv01326	Voluntary Dismissal	7.6
Sheex Inc. v. Cocona Inc.	1:14cv01398	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	1:14cv01400	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Sanofi-Aventis US LLC et al v. Fresenius Kabi USA LLC	1:14cv01496	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC et al v. Fresenius Kabi USA LLC	1:14cv01533	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:15cv00044	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Megatouch LLC v. King Digital Entertainment PLC et al	1:15cv00141	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Robert W. Baird & Co., Incorporated	1:15cv00301	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Fareportal Inc.	1:15cv00304	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Tax Matrix Technologies LLC v. MyGofer LLC et al	1:15cv00323	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Apotex Inc. et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:15cv00357	Voluntary Dismissal	37.1
Automated Tracking Solutions LLC v. GE Healthcare Inc.	1:15cv00462	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Nikon Instruments, Inc.	1:15cv00518	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Olympus Scientific Solutions Americas Inc. et al	1:15cv00519	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
911 Notify, LLC v. Bay Area Vital-Link, Inc.	1:15cv00576	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
911 Notify, LLC v. Clandestine Development, LLC	1:15cv00577	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
911 Notify, LLC v. Cyber Physical Systems, Inc.	1:15cv00578	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
911 Notify, LLC v. Get Help Now, Inc.	1:15cv00579	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
911 Notify, LLC v. MobileTREC Corporation	1:15cv00582	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
911 Notify, LLC v. NowForce Inc.	1:15cv00583	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Franklin Resources Inc	1:15cv00648	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Invesco Ltd	1:15cv00649	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Deutsche Lufthansa A.G.	1:15cv00650	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America	1:15cv00651	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. OppenheimerFunds Distributor Inc	1:15cv00734	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Micro Design LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:15cv00744	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Google Inc.	1:15cv00745	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Microsoft Corporation	1:15cv00746	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.	1:15cv00747	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
Micro Design LLC v. Nokia USA Inc. et al	1:15cv00748	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	1:15cv00749	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.	1:15cv00750	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. LG Electronics USA, Inc. et al.	1:15cv00751	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Micro Design LLC v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	1:15cv00752	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. HTC America, Inc.	1:15cv00753	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Best Buy Co. Inc.	1:15cv00754	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Micro Design LLC v. Sony Corporation of America et al	1:15cv00755	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Summit Agro USA, LLC v. FMC Corporation	1:15cv00895	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Biotek Instruments, Inc.	1:15cv01018	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Advanced Microscopy Inc. v. Keyence Corporation of America	1:15cv01021	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Lupin Ltd. et al	1:15cv01048	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Corning Optical Communications Wireless Ltd. v. LGC Wireless Inc. et al	1:15cv01072	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Prostrakan, Inc. et al v. Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc. et al	1:16cv00015	Voluntary Dismissal	18.0
3Shape A/S v. Exocad GmbH et al	1:16cv00121	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Societe Air France	1:16cv00164	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Interface IP Holdings LLC v. Koninklijke Luchtvaart Maatschappij N.V.	1:16cv00165	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. CRT Inc.	1:16cv00326	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Jet.com, Inc.	1:16cv00327	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Rakuten Commerce LLC	1:16cv00328	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Tadi Brothers, LLC	1:16cv00329	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Vision Tech America, Inc.	1:16cv00330	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
North Star Innovations Inc. v. Fujitsu Ltd. et al	1:16cv00355	Voluntary Dismissal	10.5
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Apple Inc.	1:16cv00389	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Scientific Telecommunications LLC v. VT iDirect Inc.	1:16cv00436	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Eli Lilly and Company et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:16cv00475	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Fry's Electronics Inc.	1:16cv00481	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. AT&T Inc.	1:16cv00482	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Sprint Corporation	1:16cv00483	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Target Corp.	1:16cv00484	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Overstock.com, Inc.	1:16cv00485	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Atlantic Tele-Network, Inc.	1:16cv00486	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd et al.,	1:16cv00487	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.	1:16cv00489	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Best Buy Co., Inc.	1:16cv00490	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. eBay Inc.,	1:16cv00491	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Google Inc.	1:16cv00492	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.	1:16cv00493	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc.	1:16cv00494	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	1:16cv00495	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC V. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. et al.	1:16cv00558	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC	1:16cv00559	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. HTC Corporation et al.	1:16cv00560	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Toshiba Corporation et al.	1:16cv00561	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al.	1:16cv00562	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Nokia Corporation et al.	1:16cv00565	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Blackberry Limited et al.	1:16cv00566	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Sony Corporation et al.	1:16cv00567	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc.	1:16cv00568	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Bank of America Corporation	1:16cv00574	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson et al.	1:16cv00575	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. ASUSTek Computer Inc. et al.,	1:16cv00576	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Digital Audio Encoding Systems, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.	1:16cv00577	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Firetide, Inc.	1:16cv00702	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Zeus Industrial Products, Inc. v. Junkosha Inc. et al	1:16cv00889	Voluntary Dismissal	5.6
Rosebud LMS, Inc. v. Dropbox, Inc.	1:16cv00957	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
IC Display Systems LLC v. Acer Inc. et al.	1:16cv00959	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
IC Display Systems LLC v. Konica Minolta, Inc. et al	1:16cv00960	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
IC Display Systems LLC v. LG Electronics Inc.	1:16cv00961	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Oppo Electronics Corp.	1:17cv00089	Voluntary Dismissal	55.1
Speakman Company v. Acorn Engineering Company	1:17cv00093	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Eli Lilly and Company et al v. TWi Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al	1:17cv00174	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
M2M Solutions LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.	1:17cv00202	Voluntary Dismissal	42.9
Checkerboard Intellectual Property, LLC v. Cafepress Inc.	1:17cv00219	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Checkerboard Intellectual Property, LLC v. Cimpres USA Inc.	1:17cv00220	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Checkerboard Intellectual Property, LLC v. CustomInk, LLC	1:17cv00221	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Checkerboard Intellectual Property, LLC v. Office Depot, Inc.	1:17cv00222	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Honda Motor Co., Ltd. et al	1:17cv00294	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. et al	1:17cv00295	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Mitsuba Corporation, et al	1:17cv00298	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Nidec Corporation, et al	1:17cv00299	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al	1:17cv00300	Voluntary Dismissal	6.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Civilight North America Corp.	1:17cv00440	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Great Eagle Lighting Corporation	1:17cv00442	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Blackbird Tech LLC v. MSI Lighting, Inc.	1:17cv00443	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
Blackbird Tech LLC v. S.E.L.S. USA, LLC	1:17cv00444	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Satco Products, Inc.	1:17cv00445	Voluntary Dismissal	0.3
Eli Lilly and Company et al v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. et al	1:17cv00456	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. First Citizens BancShares, Inc.	1:17cv00740	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Jet.com, Inc.	1:17cv00744	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
CryptoPeak Security, LLC v. Teladoc, Inc.	1:17cv00747	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Spycurity LLC v. AudioCodes, Inc.	1:17cv00883	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Spycurity LLC v. Digium, Inc.	1:17cv00884	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Spycurity LLC v. Sonus Networks, Inc.	1:17cv00887	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Telomere Diagnostics, Inc. v. Titanovo, Inc.	1:17cv00955	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Deshodax LLC v. Blackberry Corporation	1:17cv01014	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Deshodax LLC v. Samsung Electronics USA, Inc.	1:17cv01015	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Deshodax LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc.	1:17cv01016	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Interface Linx, LLC v. Pioneer Electronics (USA), Inc., et al	1:17cv01100	Voluntary Dismissal	14.6
Interface Linx, LLC v. Sherwood America, Inc., et al	1:17cv01101	Voluntary Dismissal	19.8
Interface Linx, LLC v. TTE Technology, Inc., et al	1:17cv01103	Voluntary Dismissal	15.0
Venadium LLC v. 8X8, Inc.	1:17cv01176	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Venadium LLC v. Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	1:17cv01177	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Venadium LLC v. Arista Networks, Inc.	1:17cv01178	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Venadium LLC v. Bandwidth.com, Inc.	1:17cv01179	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Venadium LLC v. Control4 Corporation	1:17cv01180	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Venadium LLC v. Digi International, Inc.	1:17cv01181	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Micoba LLC v. Spotify USA Inc.	1:17cv01364	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Diversified Observation LLC v. HP Inc.	1:17cv01410	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Acuity Brands Inc.	1:17cv01450	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Blackboard Inc.	1:17cv01452	Voluntary Dismissal	9.3
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Calix, Inc.	1:17cv01453	Voluntary Dismissal	7.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation	1:17cv01455	Voluntary Dismissal	11.6
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Digi International, Inc.	1:17cv01457	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Dupont De Nemours and Company, LLC	1:17cv01458	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Mozly Tech LLC v. Jive Communications, Inc.	1:17cv01478	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Mozly Tech LLC v. Talk Desk, Inc.	1:17cv01479	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Mozly Tech LLC v. Line Corporation	1:17cv01480	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
First-Class Monitoring, LLC v. The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.	1:17cv01524	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
360Heros, Inc. v. YI Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv01613	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Spycurity LLC v. Ascom (US), Inc.	1:17cv01645	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Temper Axle Products Corporation v. Consolidated Metco, Inc.	1:17cv01747	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
President and Fellows of Harvard College v. Micron Technology, Inc.	1:17cv01729	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Bareholdtech LLC v. Livongo Health, Inc.	1:17cv01625	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Bareholdtech LLC v. Arkray USA, Inc.	1:17cv01624	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:17cv01649	Voluntary Dismissal	35.2
Gallo v. FloraFlex Corp.	1:17cv01674	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc., et al.	1:17cv01722	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America	1:17cv01724	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Barnes & Noble Inc.	1:17cv01725	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3
Tellagemini Communication LLC v. Civicom, Inc.	1:18cv01999	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
GTX Corp. v. Caliburnus Limited	1:18cv00215	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
MB&A Robotways v. Diversey, Inc.	1:18cv00239	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
MB&A Robotways v. Karcher North America, Inc.	1:18cv00240	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
MB&A Robotways v. Tennant Company	1:18cv00241	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. 3D Robotics, Inc.	1:18cv00242	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Autel Robotics USA, LLC	1:18cv00243	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Yuneec USA, Inc.	1:18cv00244	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
GTX Corp. v. Soccer Manager Limited	1:18cv00319	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Gilead Sciences, Inc. et al v. Natco Pharma Limited et al	1:18cv00411	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Gilead Sciences, Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al	1:18cv00466	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Lexmark International Inc.	1:18cv00473	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
Eli Lilly and Company v. Apotex, Inc. et al	1:18cv00518	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
eSignature Software, LLC v. DocuSign Inc.	1:18cv00541	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7
eSignature Software, LLC v. RE Formsnet, LLC	1:18cv00542	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
eSignature Software, LLC v. SIGNiX Inc.	1:18cv00543	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
eSignature Software, LLC v. SignNow, Inc.	1:18cv00544	Voluntary Dismissal	15.5
Tamir Goodman Sports Consultant, LLC v. Pro Performance Sports, LLC	1:18cv00556	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Coding Technologies, LLC v. School Specialty, Inc.	1:18cv00661	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Digi Portal LLC v. Twitter, Inc.	1:18cv00808	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Hertl Media, LLC v. Bitmovin, Inc.	1:18cv00938	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Hertl Media, LLC v. Longtail Ad Solutions, Inc.	1:18cv00940	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Hertl Media, LLC v. Netflix, Inc.	1:18cv00941	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Hertl Media, LLC v. Telestream, LLC	1:18cv00942	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Beck Branch LLC . Blue Jeans Network, Inc.	1:18cv00978	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Beck Branch LLC v. Motorola Mobility, LLC	1:18cv00979	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Beck Branch LLC v. Polycom, Inc.	1:18cv00980	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Beck Branch LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc.	1:18cv00981	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Beck Branch LLC v. Vonage Holdings Corp.	1:18cv00983	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Primo Water Corporation	1:18cv01008	Voluntary Dismissal	7.3
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Sol Republic Inc.	1:18cv01009	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Steingrimsson v. EduSourced Inc.	1:18cv01098	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. Netgear, Inc.	1:18cv01159	Voluntary Dismissal	16.5
Allergan, Inc. et al v. Saptalis Pharmaceuticals, LLC	1:18cv01231	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Reef Mountain LLC v. Napco Security Technologies, Inc.	1:18cv01255	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	1:18cv01343	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6
Blue Spike LLC v. SoundCloud Limited	1:18cv01402	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Blue Spike, LLC v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC	1:18cv01406	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Blue Spike, LLC v. Charter Communications, Inc.	1:18cv01427	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Allergan, Inc. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:18cv01457	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Digi Portal LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:18cv01484	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Blue Spike, LLC v. Dish Network Corporation et al	1:18cv01512	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Magnacharge LLC v. Anker Innovations Ltd. et al	1:18cv01601	Voluntary Dismissal	6.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Magnacharge LLC v. Belkin International, Inc.	1:18cv01602	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Magnacharge LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al.	1:18cv01603	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Magnacharge LLC v. Sony Electronics, Inc. et al.	1:18cv01604	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Ring Protection LLC v. Colt Internet U.S. Corp.	1:18cv01770	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Ring Protection LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:18cv01771	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Ring Protection LLC v. Sprint Corporation	1:18cv01772	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Ring Protection LLC v. Tellabs, Inc.	1:18cv01773	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Securus Technologies, Inc.	1:18cv01801	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Techno Licensing LLC v. Sonim Technologies, Inc.	1:18cv01870	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Beck Branch, LLC v. Oath Inc.	1:18cv01916	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Beck Branch, LLC v. RingCentral, Inc.	1:18cv01917	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2
Beck Branch, LLC v. Yandex Inc.	1:18cv01918	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:18cv01950	Voluntary Dismissal	24.0
Tellagemini Communication LLC v. Jive Communications, Inc.	1:18cv02000	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Xirgo Technologies, LLC	1:18cv02064	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Olive Shade LLC v. Depuy Synthes, Inc.	1:18cv02069	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Olive Shade LLC v. Kit Check, Inc.	1:18cv02070	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Geoforce, Inc.	1:19cv00028	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Mimzi, LLC v. HTC Corporation	1:19cv00274	Voluntary Dismissal	25.2
Sisvel International S.A. v. GTT Communications, Inc.	1:19cv00328	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Sisvel International S.A. v. TDS Telecommunications LLC et al	1:19cv00329	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Olive Shade LLC v. Haldor U.S.A., Inc.	1:19cv00398	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Olive Shade LLC v. Xerafy Limited	1:19cv00399	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Delta Faucet Company v. AS America, Inc.	1:19cv00401	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Wave Linx LLC v. Arkadin, Inc.	1:19cv00418	Voluntary Dismissal	5.5
Wave Linx LLC v. Blue Jeans Network, Inc.	1:19cv00419	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Wave Linx LLC v. HighFive Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv00420	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Wave Linx LLC v. LogMeln, Inc.	1:19cv00421	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Wave Linx LLC v. RingCentral, Inc.	1:19cv00422	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Wave Linx LLC v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. et al	1:19cv00423	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Sisvel International S.A. v. EarthLink, LLC et al	1:19cv00530	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Inventergy LBS, LLC v. Gibi Technologies Inc.	1:19cv00461	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Fortinet, Inc.	1:19cv00577	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company	1:19cv00578	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Hitachi Vantara Corporation	1:19cv00579	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. NetApp, Inc.	1:19cv00580	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Oracle Corporation	1:19cv00581	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Talend, Inc.	1:19cv00582	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Wave Linx LLC v. Avaya, Inc.	1:19cv00607	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Wave Linx LLC v. Dialpad, Inc.	1:19cv00608	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Wave Linx LLC v. Polycom, Inc.	1:19cv00609	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Wilmerding Communications LLC v. Connectify, Inc.	1:19cv00610	Voluntary Dismissal	5.6
Raven Licensing LLC v. Bosch Security Systems, Inc.	1:19cv00611	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Raven Licensing LLC v. Honeywell International, Inc.	1:19cv00612	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Barnes & Noble, Inc.	1:19cv00652	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Google, LLC	1:19cv00653	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Lulu Press, Inc.	1:19cv00654	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Microsoft Corporation	1:19cv00655	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Oracle Corporation	1:19cv00656	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
SUCCESS LLC v. Voyage Auto, Inc.	1:19cv00679	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Magnacharge LLC v. Panasonic Corporation, et al.	1:19cv00700	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Magnacharge LLC v. Koninklijke Philips N.V., et al.	1:19cv00701	Voluntary Dismissal	0.4
TZU Technologies, LLC v. Optim, LLC	1:19cv00709	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Saros Licensing LLC v. LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.	1:19cv00765	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Saros Licensing LLC v. Haier Appliance Products, L.P.	1:19cv00766	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Saros Licensing LLC v. Traeger Pellet Grills, LLC	1:19cv00767	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Bexley Solutions LLC v. Black Box Corporation	1:19cv00787	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Bexley Solutions LLC v. Fortinet, Inc.	1:19cv00788	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Bexley Solutions LLC v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company	1:19cv00789	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Bexley Solutions LLC v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.	1:19cv00790	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
DRM Vectors, LLC v. OverDrive, Inc.	1:19cv00878	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Aido LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America	1:19cv00916	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Kemp Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv00939	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Coding Technologies, LLC v. Limoneira Company	1:19cv00941	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Informatica LLC	1:19cv00968	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Mesosphere, Inc.	1:19cv00969	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Blueprint IP Solutions LLC v. Qubole, Inc.	1:19cv00971	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
DRM Vectors, LLC v. Adobe, Inc.	1:19cv00975	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Saros Licensing LLC v. BSH Home Appliances Corporation	1:19cv00979	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Saros Licensing LLC v. Dometic Corporation	1:19cv00980	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Saros Licensing LLC v. Spectrum Brands, Inc.	1:19cv00982	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Saros Licensing LLC v. Whirlpool Corporation	1:19cv00983	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Wave Linx LLC v. Ecovate, Inc.	1:19cv00987	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Wave Linx LLC v. Mitel Networks, Inc.	1:19cv00988	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Pebble Tide LLC v. Exclusive Group LLC	1:19cv01004	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Pebble Tide LLC v. YI Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv01005	Voluntary Dismissal	8.0
Wireless Transport LLC v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.	1:19cv01390	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Pebble Tide LLC v. Frontpoint Security Solutions, LLC	1:19cv01395	Voluntary Dismissal	6.3
Pebble Tide LLC v. Napco Security Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv01396	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Pebble Tide LLC v. SMC Networks, Inc.	1:19cv01398	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. American Messaging Services, LLC	1:19cv01399	Voluntary Dismissal	6.9
Wireless Transport LLC v. ALE USA, Inc.	1:19cv01405	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Wireless Transport LLC v. Aerohive Networks, Inc.	1:19cv01406	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Wireless Transport LLC v. Proxim Wireless Corporation	1:19cv01407	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Wireless Transport LLC v. Extreme Networks Inc.	1:19cv01408	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Wireless Transport LLC v. Netgear, Inc.	1:19cv01409	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Valyrian IP LLC v. Avaya Inc.	1:19cv01436	Voluntary Dismissal	5.3
Valyrian IP LLC v. Grandstream Networks, Inc.	1:19cv01437	Voluntary Dismissal	6.2
Valyrian IP LLC v. Polycom Inc. et al	1:19cv01438	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Be TopNotch Wyoming, LLC v. Adobe, Inc.	1:19cv01458	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Be TopNotch Wyoming, LLC v. Blackbaud, Inc.	1:19cv01459	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Magnacharge LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:19cv01566	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Wave Linx LLC v. Fuze, Inc.	1:19cv01587	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Wave Linx LLC v. Iotum Global Holdings Inc.	1:19cv01588	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Wave Linx LLC v. TeamViewer US, Inc.	1:19cv01589	Voluntary Dismissal	6.5
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Coolpad Technologies Inc.	1:19cv01648	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Floatron Systems LLC v. Splashtop Inc.	1:19cv01649	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Floatron Systems LLC v. Parallels Inc.	1:19cv01651	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Sonohm Licensing LLC v HHCS Handheld USA, Inc.	1:19cv01775	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Sonohm Licensing LLC v Mattel, Inc.	1:19cv01776	Voluntary Dismissal	5.2
Saros Licensing LLC v. The Coca-Cola Company	1:19cv01812	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Wave Linx LLC v. West Corporation	1:19cv01171	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Wave Linx LLC v. Intermedia.net, Inc.	1:19cv01172	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Pebble Tide LLC v. Canary Connect, Inc.	1:19cv01175	Voluntary Dismissal	9.2
Pebble Tide LLC v. The Walt Disney Company	1:19cv01176	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Theta Chip LLC v. TCL Communication, Inc.	1:19cv01179	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
TMI Solutions LLC v. Barnes & Noble Booksellers, Inc.	1:19cv01229	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
TMI Solutions LLC v. H&M Group, LLC	1:19cv01232	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
TMI Solutions LLC v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.	1:19cv01233	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
InstaResponse, LLC v. Cornerstone OnDemand, Inc.	1:19cv01878	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
InstaResponse, LLC v Moodle USA, LLC	1:19cv01879	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
InstaResponse, LLC v Instructure, Inc.	1:19cv01880	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Alarm.com Holdings, Inc.	1:19cv01940	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Denso International America, Inc.	1:19cv01941	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Wave Linx LLC v. Zendesk, Inc.	1:19cv01990	Voluntary Dismissal	0.1
Wave Linx LLC v. Freshworks, Inc.	1:19cv02058	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Wave Linx LLC v. SalesLoft, Inc.	1:19cv02059	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Align Technology, Inc. v. 3Shape A/S et al	1:19cv02098	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Lumetique, Inc. v. The Michaels Companies, Inc.	1:19cv02156	Voluntary Dismissal	7.9
Pivital IP LLC v. Act-On Software, Inc.	1:19cv02175	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Pivital IP LLC v. Campaign Monitor USA Inc.	1:19cv02177	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Zyrcoits IP LLC v. Aerohive Networks, Inc.	1:19cv02200	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
SolutionInc Limited v Netgear, Inc.	1:19cv02223	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
SolutionInc Limited v Ruckus Wireless, Inc.	1:19cv02224	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Be TopNotch Wyoming, LLC v. Pingg, LLC	1:19cv01960	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Electronic Receipts Delivery Systems, LLC v. Shop Rite Supermarkets, Inc.	1:19cv02138	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Electronic Receipts Delivery Systems, LLC v. BP America Inc.	1:19cv02139	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Revolar Technology Inc. v. React Mobile, Inc.	1:19cv02244	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. BeFunky, Inc.	1:19cv02258	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
Symbology Innovations LLC v Paramount Pictures Corporation	1:19cv02263	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Ryujin LLC v. Synology Inc.	1:19cv02279	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Tenaha Licensing LLC v. Onsolve, LLC	1:19cv02335	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
Optima Direct, LLC v. OneLogin, Inc.	1:19cv02337	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9
Optima Direct, LLC v. Silverfort, Inc.	1:19cv02339	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Internet Media Interactive Corp. v. Unilever PLC	1:20cv00099	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Saros Licensing LLC v. Crane Merchandising Systems, Inc.	1:20cv00146	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Coding Technologies, LLC v. Colgate-Palmolive Company	1:20cv00164	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
SolutionInc Limited v. Avaya Inc.	1:20cv00185	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
SolutionInc Limited v. Extreme Networks, Inc.	1:20cv00186	Voluntary Dismissal	5.0
SolutionInc Limited v. Nokia of America Corporation	1:20cv00187	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
AFX Technology Group International, Inc. v. Beartooth Radio, Inc.	1:20cv00205	Voluntary Dismissal	0.4
AFX Technology Group International, Inc. v. Nordic Semiconductor, Inc.	1:20cv00206	Voluntary Dismissal	1.2

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Promise Technology, Inc.	1:20cv00264	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Wave Linx LLC v. Insightly, Inc.	1:20cv00267	Voluntary Dismissal	9.8
Wave Linx LLC v. Talkdesk, Inc.	1:20cv00268	Voluntary Dismissal	4.8
Mentone Solutions LLC v Kontron America, Incorporated	1:20cv00282	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Mentone Solutions LLC v. Leica Geosystems Inc.	1:20cv00283	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Barclays Bank Delaware	1:20cv00342	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Contec Americas Inc.	1:20cv00422	Voluntary Dismissal	0.8
Revolar Technology Inc. v. POM Partners, Inc.	1:20cv00446	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Valyrian IP LLC v. Sangoma US, Inc. et al	1:20cv00447	Voluntary Dismissal	0.0
Electronic Receipts Delivery Systems, LLC v. American Airlines Group Inc.	1:20cv00505	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Enserion, LLC v. Sword Health, Inc. et al	1:20cv00532	Voluntary Dismissal	4.2
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Celartem Technology USA, Inc.	1:20cv00538	Voluntary Dismissal	14.3
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Castle Global, Inc.	1:20cv00570	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Novo Nordisk Healthcare AG et al v. Laboratoire Francais du Fractionnement et des Biotechnologies S.A. et al	1:20cv00580	Voluntary Dismissal	3.6

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Centre One v. Cable One, Inc.	1:20cv00631	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Orthopaedic Hospital v. Globus Medical, Inc.	1:20cv00648	Voluntary Dismissal	1.5
Heritage IP LLC v. August Home, Inc.	1:20cv00723	Voluntary Dismissal	8.2
Aegis Mobility Inc. v. Google LLC	1:20cv00751	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Zipit Wireless, Inc. v. BLU Products, Inc. et al	1:20cv00756	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Box, Inc.	1:20cv00763	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Centre One v. Net2Phone, Inc. et al	1:20cv00853	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Geographic Location Innovations LLC v. Darden Concepts, Inc.	1:20cv00870	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3
Rothschild Patent Imaging LLC v. Movavi Software, Inc.	1:20cv00872	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Raindrops Licensing LLC v. Deezer Inc.	1:20cv01024	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Raindrops Licensing LLC v. iHeartMedia, Inc.	1:20cv01025	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Raindrops Licensing LLC v. SoundCloud Inc.	1:20cv01028	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Revolar Technology Inc. v. Nimb Inc.	1:20cv01113	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Moxchange LLC v. Allied Telesis, Inc.	1:20cv01124	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Moxchange LLC v. Belkin International, Inc.	1:20cv01125	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Moxchange LLC v. Sonim Technologies Inc.	1:20cv01127	Voluntary Dismissal	3.8
Hyntelx LLC v. Airista Flow, Inc.	1:20cv01294	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. 1&1 Ionos Inc.	1:20cv01313	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Wix.com, Inc.	1:20cv01314	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Harmony Licensing LLC v. 3SI Security Systems, Inc.	1:20cv01318	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Lindsay Corporation	1:20cv01319	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Harmony Licensing LLC v. MVG, Inc.	1:20cv01320	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Mellaconic IP LLC v. RideCell, Inc.	1:20cv01323	Voluntary Dismissal	5.7
Moxchange LLC v. Panasonic Corporation of North America	1:20cv01441	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Vislink Technologies, Inc.	1:20cv01453	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
Harmony Licensing LLC v. IDEX Corporation	1:20cv01454	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Wave Linx LLC v. Liveperson, Inc.	1:20cv01455	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. v. Nanonets Inc.	1:20cv01534	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Broan-NuTone, LLC	1:20cv01575	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.	1:20cv01608	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Revolar Technology Inc. v. Occlly LLC	1:20cv01721	Voluntary Dismissal	11.2
Gridley IP LLC v. Flywheel Software, Inc.	1:20cv01738	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Gridley IP LLC v. HopSkipDrive, Inc.	1:20cv01741	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Gridley IP LLC v. SuperShuttle International, Inc.	1:20cv01742	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Gridley IP LLC v. Zum Services, Inc.	1:20cv01743	Voluntary Dismissal	6.1
Valyrian IP LLC v. Alaska Communications Systems Group, Inc.	1:20cv01753	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Valyrian IP LLC v. Momentum Telecom, Inc.	1:20cv01755	Voluntary Dismissal	5.4
Harmony Licensing LLC v. CradlePoint, Inc.	1:20cv01782	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Harmony Licensing LLC v. TCL Communication, Inc.	1:20cv01783	Voluntary Dismissal	1.4
Heritage IP LLC v. Tile, Inc.	1:20cv01788	Voluntary Dismissal	5.8
Aperture IP LLC v. Wyze Labs, Inc.	1:21cv00062	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Samsung Electronics America, Inc. et al v. Polaris PowerLED Technologies, LLC	1:21cv00068	Voluntary Dismissal	4.1
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. SDI Technologies Inc.	1:21cv00079	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Arista Networks, Inc.	1:21cv00155	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Limelight Networks, Inc.	1:21cv00170	Voluntary Dismissal	5.4
Optical Licensing LLC v. Mouser Electronics, Inc.	1:21cv00306	Voluntary Dismissal	0.6
Moxchange LLC v. Western Digital Corporation	1:21cv00427	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Cetrax Technologies LLC	1:21cv00431	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Loud Audio, LLC	1:21cv00433	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Sangoma US Inc.	1:21cv00434	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Fortinet, Inc.	1:21cv00445	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Gogo, Inc.	1:21cv00446	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
Wave Linx LLC v. ClearSlide, Inc.	1:21cv00450	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Gridley IP LLC v. NeighborFavor Inc.	1:21cv00451	Voluntary Dismissal	2.4
Keter Luxembourg Sarl v. Home Products International - North America, Inc.	1:21cv00477	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Valyrian IP LLC v. Phone.com, Inc.	1:21cv00483	Voluntary Dismissal	9.5
Gridley IP LLC v. WorkWave LLC	1:21cv00576	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Bausch Health Ireland Limited et al v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd. et al	1:21cv00611	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
Karetek Holdings LLC v. AgileBits USA Inc.	1:21cv00613	Voluntary Dismissal	4.3
Karetek Holdings LLC v. Coinbase Global, Inc.	1:21cv00614	Voluntary Dismissal	1.7
Karetek Holdings LLC v. Dashlane, Inc.	1:21cv00615	Voluntary Dismissal	3.4
Karetek Holdings LLC v. OVH US LLC	1:21cv00620	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
Social Positioning Input Systems, LLC v. Zubie, Inc.	1:21cv00637	Voluntary Dismissal	7.1
Moxchange LLC v. Daikin America, Inc.	1:21cv00727	Voluntary Dismissal	4.9

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Sennheiser Electronic Corporation	1:21cv00734	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Sonohm Licensing LLC v. Fantasia Trading LLC	1:21cv00736	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Wave Linx LLC v. Vonage USA Inc.	1:21cv00740	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Jacs Solutions, Inc.	1:21cv00760	Voluntary Dismissal	2.3
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Trapeze Software Group, Inc.	1:21cv00761	Voluntary Dismissal	8.8
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Check Point Software Technologies Inc. et al	1:21cv00837	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Digital Cache, LLC v. NetApp, Inc.	1:21cv00926	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Heritage IP LLC v. Boston Scientific Corporation	1:21cv00935	Voluntary Dismissal	4.0
Orbit Licensing LLC v. Akamai Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv00938	Voluntary Dismissal	2.6
AOS Holding Company et al v. Rheem Manufacturing Company	1:21cv00947	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Orbit Licensing LLC v. Limelight Networks, Inc.	1:21cv00948	Voluntary Dismissal	4.6
Orbit Licensing LLC v Red Hat, Inc.	1:21cv00949	Voluntary Dismissal	4.7
AOS Holding Company et al v. Water Heating Technologies Corp. et al	1:21cv00951	Voluntary Dismissal	0.9
Orbit Licensing LLC v. Wowza Media System, LLC	1:21cv00953	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Orbit Licensing LLC v. Tencent America LLC	1:21cv00962	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Orbit Licensing LLC v. OnApp, Inc.	1:21cv00964	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
DataCloud Technologies, LLC v. Newfold Digital, Inc.	1:21cv01020	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Bataan Licensing LLC v. BrightSign LLC	1:21cv01072	Voluntary Dismissal	8.5
Bataan Licensing LLC v. Sonim Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv01073	Voluntary Dismissal	3.1
Wave Linx LLC v. GetResponse Services, Inc.	1:21cv01085	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Wave Linx LLC v. BigMarker.com, LLC	1:21cv01086	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Wave Linx LLC v. Five9, Inc.	1:21cv01087	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Digital Cache, LLC v. Patriot Memory, LLC	1:21cv01166	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Digital Cache, LLC v. Greenliant Systems, Inc.	1:21cv01167	Voluntary Dismissal	0.4
Digital Cache, LLC v. Netlist, Inc.	1:21cv01168	Voluntary Dismissal	3.9
Digital Cache, LLC v. PNY Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv01169	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Moxchange LLC v. Suitable Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv01210	Voluntary Dismissal	0.5
Heritage IP LLC v. Hilti, Inc.	1:21cv01236	Voluntary Dismissal	3.2
Harmony Licensing LLC v. NimbeLink Corp.	1:21cv01244	Voluntary Dismissal	1.9
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Sagemcom USA LLC	1:21cv01245	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Multi-Tech Systems, Inc.	1:21cv01250	Voluntary Dismissal	6.0
Valyrian IP LLC v. Net2Phone Global Services, LLC	1:21cv01382	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Valyrian IP LLC v. Ooma, Inc.	1:21cv01384	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Valyrian IP LLC v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.	1:21cv01385	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Bataan Licensing LLC v. Deere & Company	1:21cv01491	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Moxchange LLC v. Napco Security Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv01494	Voluntary Dismissal	1.6
Wave Linx LLC v. Blackboard Inc.	1:21cv01508	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Valyrian IP LLC v. Atlantic Broadband (CT), LLC	1:21cv01513	Voluntary Dismissal	5.1
Valyrian IP LLC v. Vonage Business Inc.	1:21cv01514	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Azurity Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. CoreRx, Inc.	1:21cv01522	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Baudax Bio, Inc. v. Axsome Therapeutics, Inc.	1:21cv01585	Voluntary Dismissal	3.0
Bataan Licensing LLC v. Latch, Inc.	1:21cv01631	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
Harmony Licensing LLC v. Westell Technologies, Inc.	1:21cv01659	Voluntary Dismissal	1.8
Harmony Licensing LLC v. HHCS Handheld USA, Inc.	1:21cv01660	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
MZ Audio Sciences, LLC v. Sony Group Corporation (Japan) et al	1:21cv01663	Voluntary Dismissal	2.5
Scanton Technologies, LLC v. Avalanche Technology, Inc.	1:21cv01688	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
Wave Linx LLC v. Nextiva, Inc.	1:21cv01832	Voluntary Dismissal	3.3
Heritage IP LLC v. Agamatrix, Inc.	1:21cv01836	Voluntary Dismissal	2.1
Heritage IP LLC v. Parker-Hannifin Corporation	1:21cv01839	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 1867 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 12.8. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Mentone Solutions LLC v. Casa Systems, Inc.	1:22cv00130	Voluntary Dismissal	0.2
Noblewood IP LLC v. NBCUniversal Media, LLC	1:22cv00133	Voluntary Dismissal	1.3

Want of Prosecution

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Want of Prosecution. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 46.3. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
STANTON CONCEPTS, L.L.C. v. BROOKSTONE, INC. et al	1:14cv00626	Want of Prosecution	46.3


Awards and Settlement Amounts:

The awards or settlement amounts found in these cases (if any) are shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Case Outcome	Date of Decision	Amount
A. O. Smith Corporation v. Bradford White Corporation	1:18cv00412	Bench Trial	7/26/2021	\$11,486,703
Tristrata Technology Inc. v. Louise Bianco Skin Care Inc. et al	1:06cv00644	Default Judgment	7/18/2011	\$687,700
Masimo Corporation v. Philips Electronics North America Corporation et al	1:09cv00080	Jury Verdict	10/17/2014	\$466,774,783
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corporation et al.	1:10cv01067	Jury Verdict	3/24/2016	\$8,000,000
DePuy Synthes Products Inc. v. Globus Medical Inc.	1:11cv00652	Jury Verdict	6/24/2013	\$16,001,822
Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation et al v. Power Integrations Inc.	1:12cv00540	Jury Verdict	8/8/2019	\$719,029
Greatbatch Ltd. v. AVX Corporation et al	1:13cv00723	Jury Verdict	8/8/2019	\$5,576,562.
Wasica Finance GmbH et al v. Schrader International Inc.	1:13cv01353	Jury Verdict	7/9/2020	\$43,325,264
Siemens Mobility, Inc. v. Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation et al	1:16cv00284	Jury Verdict	8/15/2019	\$13,058,245
Roche Diagnostics Corporation v. Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC	1:17cv00189	Jury Verdict	12/23/2020	\$170,778,517
Power Integrations v. Fairchild Semicond., et al	1:04cv01371	Jury Verdict	2/3/2011	\$12,866,647
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals v. Dey Pharma et al	1:06cv00113	Jury Verdict	2/14/2012	\$18,000,000

Appeal Outcomes:

There have been 155 appealed cases. The results of those appeals (if any) are shown below. Note that the appeal may be an interlocutory appeal or an appeal of a final judgment. The complete affirmance rate (affirmance with no other action), affirmed at least in part rate, and the reversed at least in part rate are shown below.



<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Case Outcome</u>	<u>Appeal Outcome</u>
Galderma Laboratories L.P. et al v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC et al	1:16cv00207	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Reversed
Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:11cv00477	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
H. Lundbeck A/S et al v. Lupin Limited, et al	1:18cv00088	Bench Trial	Pending
Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al	1:10cv00892	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Belcher Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Hospira, Inc.	1:17cv00775	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:14cv00121	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Bayer Pharma AG et al v. Watson Laboratories Inc.	1:12cv01726	Bench Trial	Dismissed
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Impax Laboratories Inc.	1:09cv00703	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Galderma Laboratories, L.P. et al v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited et al	1:16cv01003	Bench Trial	Dismissed
Forest Laboratories Inc. et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	1:14cv00686	Bench Trial	Affirmed

Avanir Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Actavis South Atlantic LLC et al	1:11cv00704	Bench Trial	Dismissed
Galderma Laboratories Inc. et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:10cv01112	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL Inc.	1:15cv00164	Bench Trial	Affirmed
UCB Inc. et al v. Watson Laboratories Inc. (NV) et al	1:14cv01083	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:09cv00184	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al	1:09cv00651	Bench Trial	Dismissed
Acorda Therapeutics Inc. et al. v. Alkem Laboratories Ltd. et al.	1:14cv00882	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Onyx Therapeutics, Inc. v. CIPLA Limited et al	1:16cv00988	Bench Trial	Affirmed
A. O. Smith Corporation v. Bradford White Corporation	1:18cv00412	Bench Trial	Pending
UCB Inc. et al v. Accord Healthcare Inc. et al	1:13cv01206	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Galderma Laboratories LP et al v. Tolmar Inc.	1:10cv00045	Bench Trial	Reversed
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company et al v. Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.	1:17cv00374	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Research Foundation of State University of New York et al v. Lupin Limited et al	1:09cv00483	Bench Trial	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Cadence Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al. v. Exela Pharma Sciences LLC et al.	1:11cv00733	Bench Trial	Affirmed
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC	1:18cv00699	Bench Trial	Pending
Cosmo Technologies Limited et al v. Alvogen Pine Brook LLC	1:15cv00193	Bench Trial	Affirmed
M/A-COM Technology Solutions Holdings Inc. v. Laird Technologies Inc.	1:14cv00181	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Aruba Networks, Inc. et al	1:16cv00696	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Dell, Inc., et al.,	1:18cv01127	Consent Judgment	Pending
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Snap Inc.	1:19cv00534	Consent Judgment	Pending
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Blackboard, Inc.	1:19cv00291	Consent Judgment	Pending
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	1:16cv00698	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Hitachi Ltd. et al	1:18cv01129	Consent Judgment	Pending
INFINITY COMPUTER PRODUCTS, INC. v. OKI DATAAMERICAS, INC.	1:18cv00463	Consent Judgment	Affirmed

Research Frontiers Incorporated v. E Ink Corporation et al	1:13cv01231	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Kaltura, Inc.	1:19cv00294	Consent Judgment	Pending
Mobile Communications Technologies, LLC v. Cox Communications, Inc.	1:17cv00463	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.	1:19cv00292	Consent Judgment	Pending
In re: Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16md02722	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v Charter Communications Inc	1:16cv00694	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Systemation Inc. v. Hart & Cooley Inc.	1:11cv00142	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Ooyala, Inc.	1:19cv00297	Consent Judgment	Pending
Wi-Lan Inc. v. Sharp Electronics Corporation	1:15cv00379	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Cloud Farm Associates LP v. Volkswagen Group of America Inc. et al	1:10cv00502	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Eleven Engineering, Inc et al v. Microsoft Corporation	1:09cv00903	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.	1:16cv00697	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Sequoia Technology, LLC v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company	1:18cv01128	Consent Judgment	Pending
In re: Rembrandt Technologies LP Patent Litigation	1:07md01848	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Bright House Networks, LLC v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC	1:16cv00277	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Trapelo Corp.	1:19cv00535	Consent Judgment	Pending
Horatio Washington Depot Technologies LLC v. Tolmar, Inc. et al	1:17cv01086	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation	1:19cv00293	Consent Judgment	Pending
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.	1:16cv00699	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Valeant Pharmaceuticals International et al v. Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. et al	1:18cv01288	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies LLC v. Time Warner Cable LLC, et al	1:16cv00692	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation	1:19cv00296	Consent Judgment	Pending
Baxalta Incorporated et al v. Genentech, Inc. et al	1:17cv00509	Consent Judgment	Vacated,Remanded

CoolTVNetwork.com, Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.	1:19cv00295	Consent Judgment	Pending
AKZO Nobel Coatings, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Company	1:12cv01264	Consent Judgment	Affirmed
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Hologic Inc. et al.	1:16cv00894	Consent Judgment	Dismissed
Acorda Therapeutics Inc., et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:14cv00935	Consolidation	Unknown
Warner Chilcott Company et al v. Sun Pharma Global FZE	1:09cv00061	Consolidation	Affirmed
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.	1:10cv00285	Consolidation	Unknown
Warner Chilcott Company LLC et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:11cv00081	Consolidation	Unknown
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01636	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.	1:20cv00518	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
FiscalNote, Inc. v. Quorum Analytics Inc.	1:20cv01736	Involuntary Dismissal	Pending
Nova Chemicals Corporation (Canada) et al v. Dow Chemical Company	1:13cv01601	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Pebble Tide LLC v. Arlo Technologies, Inc.	1:19cv00769	Involuntary Dismissal	Pending
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. TCL Corporation, et al	1:17cv00091	Involuntary Dismissal	Dismissed
TriPlay Inc. et al v. WhatsApp Inc.	1:13cv01703	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:13cv01635	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Mentone Solutions LLC v. Elo Touch Solutions, Inc.	1:20cv00281	Involuntary Dismissal	Reversed
DiStefano Patent Trust III, LLC v. LinkedIn Corporation	1:17cv01798	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Amgen Inc. et al v. Coherus Biosciences Inc.	1:17cv00546	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Biogen International GmbH v. Banner Life Sciences LLC	1:18cv02054	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01637	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al	1:20cv01483	Involuntary Dismissal	Pending
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Gemalto M2M et al	1:17cv00086	Involuntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:13cv01633	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Blix Inc. v. Apple Inc.	1:19cv01869	Involuntary Dismissal	Pending
Koninklijke KPN N.V. v. Telit Wireless Solutions, Inc.	1:17cv00092	Involuntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Data Engine Technologies LLC v. Google LLC	1:14cv01115	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed,Reversed,Remanded
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Sciences, Inc.	1:14cv00846	Judgment as a Matter of Law	Affirmed,Reversed

Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. v. Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv00275	Jury Verdict	Affirmed
Power Integrations Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor International Inc. et al	1:08cv00309	Jury Verdict	Affirmed,Reversed,Vacated, Remanded
Siemens Mobility, Inc. v. Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation et al	1:16cv00284	Jury Verdict	Dismissed
Laboratory Skin Care Inc. et al v. Limited Brands Inc. et al	1:06cv00601	Jury Verdict	Affirmed
Integra LifeSciences Corp. et al v. HyperBranch Medical Technology, Inc	1:15cv00819	Jury Verdict	Pending
Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. v. Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc.	1:17cv01353	Jury Verdict	Affirmed
Personalized User Model LLP v. Google Inc.	1:09cv00525	Jury Verdict	Dismissed
Power Integrations v. Fairchild Semicond., et al	1:04cv01371	Jury Verdict	Affirmed,Reversed,Vacated, Remanded
Greatbatch Ltd. v. AVX Corporation et al	1:13cv00723	Jury Verdict	Affirmed
Roche Diagnostics Corporation v. Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC	1:17cv00189	Jury Verdict	Affirmed,Reversed,Vacated, Remanded
B. Braun Melsungen AG et al v. Terumo Medical Corporation et al	1:09cv00347	Jury Verdict	Dismissed
Leader Technologies Inc. v. Facebook Inc.	1:08cv00862	Jury Verdict	Affirmed
DePuy Synthes Products Inc. v. Globus Medical Inc.	1:11cv00652	Jury Verdict	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corporation et al.	1:10cv01067	Jury Verdict	Affirmed,Reversed
Wasica Finance GmbH et al v. Schrader International Inc.	1:13cv01353	Jury Verdict	Pending
Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation et al v. Power Integrations Inc.	1:12cv00540	Jury Verdict	Dismissed
Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC et al v. Gilead Pharmasset LLC	1:15cv00416	Lack of Jurisdiction	Dismissed
Enhanced Security Research LLC v. Cisco Systems Inc. et al	1:09cv00390	Lack of Jurisdiction	Affirmed
Enhanced Security Research LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	1:09cv00871	Lack of Jurisdiction	Affirmed
Brinkmeier v. Graco Children's Products Inc.	1:09cv00262	Lack of Jurisdiction	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v Nextel Operations Inc. et al	1:14cv01231	Other Termination	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:14cv01233	Other Termination	Dismissed
UCB Inc. et al v. Apotex Corp. et al	1:14cv00834	Other Termination	Dismissed
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. QVC Inc.	1:13cv00288	Other Termination	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:14cv01232	Other Termination	Dismissed

Warner Chilcott Company et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	1:08cv00627	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Gen-Probe Incorporated	1:12cv00104	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
American Patent Development Corporation LLC v. Movielink LLC	1:07cv00605	Summary Judgment	Pending
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Priceline.com Inc. et al.	1:13cv01541	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
St. Clair Property v. Samsung Electronics, et al	1:04cv01436	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Novartis AG et al v. Ezra Ventures LLC	1:15cv00150	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Vehicle IP LLC v. Cellco Partnership et al	1:09cv01007	Summary Judgment	Reversed,Vacated,Remanded
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories et al	1:12cv00274	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al.	1:13cv01671	Summary Judgment	Affirmed,Vacated,Remanded
Princeton Digital Image Corporation v. Ubisoft Entertainment SA	1:13cv00335	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Cooper Notification Inc. v. Twitter Inc. et al	1:09cv00865	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Relume Corporation Trust et al v. GE Lighting Solutions LLC	1:12cv00871	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Hologic Inc.	1:12cv00276	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Dey Pharma LP et al v. Sunovion Pharmaceuticals	1:08cv00372	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
buySAFE Inc. v. Google Inc.	1:11cv01282	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Baker v. Alpha Consolidated Holdings et al.	1:18cv00976	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Flash Seats LLC v. Paciolan Inc.	1:07cv00575	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Plastic Omnium Advanced Innovation and Research v. Donghee America Inc. et al	1:16cv00187	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Expedia Inc.	1:13cv01538	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
International Business Machines Corporation v. Priceline Group Inc. et al	1:15cv00137	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Trend Micro Incorporated et al	1:12cv01581	Summary Judgment	Vacated,Remanded
Reckitt Benckiser LLC v. Aurobindo Pharma Limited et al	1:14cv01203	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Quest Licensing Corporation v. Bloomberg LP et al	1:14cv00561	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Roche Molecular Systems Inc. et al	1:12cv00106	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Cronos Technologies LLC v. Travelocity.com LP	1:13cv01544	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. LG Electronics Inc. et al	1:06cv00404	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. et al	1:15cv00800	Summary Judgment	Affirmed

Novartis AG et al v. HEC Pharm Co. Ltd. et al	1:15cv00151	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
BAE Systems Information and Electronic Systems Integration Inc. v. Aeroflex Incorporated et al	1:09cv00769	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Trusted Knight Corporation v. International Business Machines Corporation et al	1:14cv01063	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Enzo Life Sciences Inc. v. Becton Dickson and Company et al	1:12cv00275	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Procter & Gamble Company et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:09cv00143	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. United States Cellular Corporation	1:13cv01672	Summary Judgment	Affirmed, Vacated, Remanded
St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants Inc. v. Research In Motion LTD. et al	1:08cv00371	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Magnetar Technologies Corp, et al v. Six Flags Theme Park Inc. et al	1:07cv00127	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Novartis AG et al v. Apotex Inc. et al	1:15cv00975	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.	1:13cv00440	Summary Judgment	Affirmed
Novartis AG et al v. Actavis Elizabeth LLC et al	1:14cv01487	Summary Judgment	Dismissed
Ateliers De La Haute-Garonne v. Broetje Automation, USA Inc., et al	1:09cv00598	Summary Judgment	Affirmed, Reversed, Remanded
TSMC Technology Inc. et al v. Zond LLC	1:14cv00721	Voluntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Mylan Technologies Inc. et al	1:17cv01777	Voluntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Habasit Belting, LLC. v. Rexnord Industries, et al	1:03cv00185	Voluntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Cornell University et al v. Illumina Inc.	1:10cv00433	Voluntary Dismissal	Dismissed
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. Regions Financial Corporaiton	1:12cv00802	Voluntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. Advance America Cash Advance Centers Inc.	1:12cv00801	Voluntary Dismissal	Affirmed
Benefit Funding Systems LLC et al v. US Bancorp	1:12cv00803	Voluntary Dismissal	Affirmed

Motion Outcomes:

The number of contested motion decisions, win rate, and time in months from motion filing to decision by Judge Stark in these cases are shown below, broken out by motion type and movant. The movant for Markman decisions is listed as "Unknown" in LegalMetric's system because there is no requirement for an actual motion to initiate the claim construction process, and the win rate is arbitrarily set to "0". The stay motions listed below include all stay motions, not just stay pending IPR or stay pending reexamination motions. See following sections for details. For all motion types, the average win rate on plaintiff's motions was 33.2%, the average win rate on defendant's motions was 37.6% and the difference was 4.5%. The nationwide difference on plaintiff v. defendant motion win rates in patent cases is 6%.


		Number	Win Rate	Average	Median
Amend Contention	Total	1	100.0	1.3	1.3
	Plaintiff	1	100.0	1.3	1.3
Bifurcation	Total	9	66.7	3.0	2.1
	Defendant	5	80.0	3.1	1.4
	Plaintiff	4	50.0	2.9	2.2
Consolidate	Total	8	75.0	3.9	1.6
	Defendant	4	100.0	1.7	1.2
	Plaintiff	3	66.7	7.8	9.1
	Third Party	1	0.0	1.1	1.1
Contempt	Total	3	0.0	16.3	8.5
	Defendant	1	0.0	38.3	38.3
	Plaintiff	2	0.0	5.3	5.3
Default Motion	Total	10	80.0	3.0	0.9
	Plaintiff	9	88.9	2.0	0.8
	Third Party	1	0.0	12.7	12.7
Discovery	Total	92	38.0	1.4	0.6
	Defendant	44	42.0	1.3	0.6
	Plaintiff	40	33.8	1.5	0.4
	Third Party	8	37.5	0.9	0.7
Dismissal	Total	309	40.3	8.1	7.6
	Defendant	267	39.1	8.2	7.8
	Plaintiff	40	45.0	6.8	6.9
	Third Party	2	100.0	7.9	7.9
Exceptional Case	Total	13	23.1	10.8	6.7
	Defendant	11	27.3	11.5	6.7
	Plaintiff	2	0.0	7.0	7.0

		Number	Win Rate	Average	Median
Expert/Daubert	Total	192	20.1	4.2	3.0
	Defendant	106	21.7	3.9	3.0
	Plaintiff	84	17.3	4.7	3.0
	Third Party	2	50.0	2.0	2.0
Increased Damages	Total	4	0.0	15.3	6.1
	Plaintiff	4	0.0	15.3	6.1
Intervention	Total	13	88.5	3.9	4.3
	Third Party	13	88.5	3.9	4.3
Judgment on the Pleadings	Total	44	34.1	9.4	9.2
	Defendant	36	36.1	9.6	9.2
	Plaintiff	7	28.6	8.4	5.7
	Third Party	1	0.0	6.6	6.6
Lift Stay Motion	Total	8	56.3	4.5	4.8
	Defendant	1	100.0	3.3	3.3
	Plaintiff	7	50.0	4.7	4.8
Markman Decision	Total	201	0.0	26.4	20.8
	Unknown	201	0.0	26.4	20.8
Permanent Injunction	Total	8	37.5	10.6	5.6
	Defendant	2	0.0	5.6	5.6
	Plaintiff	6	50.0	12.3	6.4
Post-trial	Total	54	22.2	6.9	6.7
	Defendant	36	26.4	6.8	6.6
	Plaintiff	18	13.9	7.1	8.0
Preliminary Injunction	Total	25	16.0	4.2	3.2
	Defendant	1	0.0	0.3	0.3
	Plaintiff	24	16.7	4.4	3.4
Remand Motion	Total	1	100.0	9.1	9.1
	Plaintiff	1	100.0	9.1	9.1
Sanctions/Attorneys Fees	Total	42	29.8	8.3	6.7
	Defendant	28	37.5	8.2	8.2
	Plaintiff	11	18.2	9.6	6.1
	Third Party	3	0.0	3.7	3.6
Sever	Total	19	57.9	4.5	4.2
	Defendant	16	50.0	4.9	4.8
	Plaintiff	3	100.0	2.4	1.4

		Number	Win Rate	Average	Median
Stay	Total	126	43.7	3.3	2.5
	Defendant	103	42.2	3.5	2.7
	Plaintiff	20	57.5	2.8	2.4
	Third Party	3	0.0	0.9	0.9
Summary Judgment	Total	293	38.4	7.2	5.7
	Defendant	208	38.5	7.6	6.2
	Plaintiff	85	38.2	6.3	5.1
Temporary Restraining Order Motion	Total	6	16.7	0.5	0.3
	Plaintiff	6	16.7	0.5	0.3
Transfer Motion	Total	47	46.8	6.4	6.5
	Defendant	47	46.8	6.4	6.5

Bifurcation

There were 9 Bifurcation contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 66.7%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.0. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	66.7	9	3.0	80.0	5	3.1	50.0	4	2.9
2010	100.0	1	1.3	100.0	1	1.3	0.0	0	0.0
2011	100.0	1	8.3	100.0	1	8.3	0.0	0	0.0
2013	100.0	1	1.4	100.0	1	1.4	0.0	0	0.0
2014	0.0	1	0.3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3
2015	100.0	2	4.6	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	2	4.6
2016	0.0	1	0.6	0.0	1	0.6	0.0	0	0.0
2018	50.0	2	3.1	100.0	1	3.9	0.0	1	2.3

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:06cv00601	Granted	Defendant	1.3	12/6/10		
1:10cv00433	Granted	Defendant	8.3	10/11/11		

1:11cv01249	Granted	Defendant	1.4	7/22/13
1:12cv00540	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	6/16/14
1:13cv01628	Granted	Plaintiff	7.0	4/28/15
1:13cv00440	Granted	Plaintiff	2.1	1/27/15
1:13cv01987	Denied	Defendant	0.6	12/5/16
1:16cv00221	Granted	Defendant	3.9	3/8/18
1:16cv00221	Denied	Plaintiff	2.3	7/27/18

Consolidate

There were 8 Consolidate contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 75.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.9. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	75.0	8	3.9	100.0	4	1.7	66.7	3	7.8
2011	100.0	1	1.1	100.0	1	1.1	0.0	0	0.0
2012	100.0	1	3.9	100.0	1	3.9	0.0	0	0.0
2018	50.0	2	0.7	100.0	1	0.4	0.0	0	0.0
2020	75.0	4	6.2	100.0	1	1.3	66.7	3	7.8


The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:10cv01065	Granted	Defendant	3.9	1/23/12		
1:09cv00585	Granted	Defendant	1.1	10/18/11		
1:16cv00706	Granted	Defendant	0.4	3/14/18		
1:17cv01429	Denied	Third Party	1.1	7/24/18		
1:18cv01767	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	12.4	6/9/20		

1:19cv00742	Granted	Plaintiff	9.1	12/21/20
1:18cv01962	Granted	Plaintiff	1.8	12/10/20
1:19cv00678	Granted	Defendant	1.3	12/10/20

Contempt

There were 3 Contempt contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 16.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	0.0	3	16.3	0.0	1	38.3	0.0	2	5.3
2012	0.0	1	38.3	0.0	1	38.3	0.0	0	0.0
2014	0.0	1	8.5	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	8.5
2018	0.0	1	2.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	2.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:01cv00011	Denied	Defendant	38.3	10/9/12		
1:04cv01371	Denied	Plaintiff	8.5	5/15/14		
1:18cv00588	Denied	Plaintiff	2.0	12/17/18		

Default Motion

There were 10 Default Motion contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 80.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.0. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Default Motion



	Total			Plaintiff			Third Party		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	80.0	10	3.0	88.9	9	2.0	0.0	1	12.7
2011	100.0	2	0.7	100.0	2	0.7	0.0	0	0.0
2012	100.0	3	1.0	100.0	3	1.0	0.0	0	0.0
2016	0.0	1	12.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	12.7
2017	100.0	1	0.1	100.0	1	0.1	0.0	0	0.0
2019	0.0	1	8.0	0.0	1	8.0	0.0	0	0.0
2020	100.0	1	1.0	100.0	1	1.0	0.0	0	0.0
2022	100.0	1	4.1	100.0	1	4.1	0.0	0	0.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:11cv00310	Granted	Plaintiff	0.8	9/6/11		
1:11cv00318	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	7/19/11		


1:12cv00553	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	6/21/12
1:12cv00554	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	6/21/12
1:12cv00296	Granted	Plaintiff	1.6	8/9/12
1:13cv00408	Denied	Third Party	12.7	8/16/16
1:17cv00443	Granted	Plaintiff	0.1	6/12/17
1:17cv01101	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	8.0	3/25/19
1:20cv00713	Granted	Plaintiff	1.0	10/27/20
1:21cv00730	Granted	Plaintiff	4.1	3/7/22

Discovery

There were 92 Discovery contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 38.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 1.4. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Discovery



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	38.0	92	1.4	42.0	44	1.3	33.8	40	1.5
2010	10.0	5	1.8	10.0	5	1.8	0.0	0	0.0
2011	41.7	6	3.9	50.0	4	5.4	25.0	2	1.0
2012	100.0	1	0.2	100.0	1	0.2	0.0	0	0.0
2013	9.5	21	0.4	0.0	7	0.2	16.7	12	0.4
2014	64.3	7	0.6	100.0	1	0.3	70.0	5	0.7
2015	40.0	5	1.9	100.0	1	0.7	50.0	2	3.4
2016	65.0	20	0.5	66.7	15	0.6	50.0	4	0.2
2017	17.9	14	2.0	0.0	6	1.7	21.4	7	2.1
2018	100.0	4	0.5	100.0	2	1.1	100.0	2	0.0
2019	50.0	4	3.4	100.0	1	2.1	33.3	3	3.9
2021	100.0	1	0.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
2022	0.0	4	3.9	0.0	1	1.3	0.0	3	4.7

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:06cv00403	Denied	Defendant	3.2	3/29/10		
1:09cv00262	Denied	Defendant	0.2	10/26/10		
1:09cv00354	Granted	Defendant	0.2	5/3/12		
1:11cv01106	Denied	Defendant	0.0	1/24/13		
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13		
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13		
1:13cv01792	Granted	Defendant	0.3	2/7/14		
1:13cv01792	Denied	Plaintiff	0.0	5/15/14		
1:12cv00081	Granted	Plaintiff	1.9	12/19/14		
1:12cv00540	Granted	Plaintiff	0.8	10/22/14		
1:13cv01987	Denied	Third Party	0.9	2/18/15		
1:11cv01259	Granted	Plaintiff	2.2	3/13/15		
1:12cv00081	Denied	Plaintiff	4.6	6/5/15		
1:14cv01115	Denied	Defendant	0.8	3/4/16		
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	2.2	4/18/16		
1:16cv00692	Granted	Third Party	0.0	7/1/16		
1:12cv01461	Granted	Defendant	0.0	7/27/16		
1:13cv01987	Denied	Defendant	0.0	6/21/16		
1:14cv00109	Denied	Defendant	0.0	6/21/16		
1:14cv00846	Granted	Defendant	0.0	6/21/16		
1:14cv01487	Granted	Defendant	0.0	7/19/16		
1:15cv00137	Denied	Plaintiff	0.0	7/5/16		
1:15cv00150	Granted	Defendant	0.0	7/19/16		
1:15cv00151	Granted	Defendant	0.0	7/19/16		
1:15cv00975	Granted	Defendant	3.3	10/26/16		
1:15cv01158	Denied	Defendant	1.7	9/19/16		
1:16cv00690	Granted	Plaintiff	0.2	5/18/16		
1:15cv00137	Granted	Defendant	0.4	11/9/16		
1:15cv00137	Granted	Defendant	0.4	9/29/16		
1:15cv00505	Granted	Defendant	0.9	10/21/16		
1:15cv00819	Granted	Plaintiff	0.1	12/1/16		
1:15cv00670	Granted	Plaintiff	4.4	8/25/17		
1:15cv00819	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.5	9/27/17		
1:16cv00259	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	11/3/17		
1:16cv00260	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	11/3/17		
1:16cv00277	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	11/3/17		
1:16cv00692	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17		
1:16cv00693	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17		
1:16cv00694	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17		

1:16cv00695	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17
1:16md02722	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17
1:17cv00657	Denied	Defendant	1.7	11/3/17
1:17cv01687	Denied	Plaintiff	1.5	11/20/17
1:17cv00871	Granted	Defendant	0.7	12/21/18
1:17cv00871	Granted	Defendant	1.4	11/29/18
1:19cv00742	Granted	Plaintiff	0.5	5/22/19
1:12cv01595	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	10.4	3/31/22
1:19cv00592	Denied	Plaintiff	3.6	1/27/22
1:20cv00224	Denied	Plaintiff	0.1	2/3/22
1:09cv00190	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.1	8/19/10
1:09cv00262	Granted	Defendant	3.4	8/25/11
1:09cv00585	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	0.1	11/18/11
1:10cv00502	Denied	Defendant	0.5	5/7/13
1:10cv01065	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.1	7/12/13
1:12cv00081	Denied without Prejudice	Third Party	0.7	7/17/13
1:13cv01084	Granted	Plaintiff	0.2	5/28/14
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	0.7	4/24/15
1:10cv00425	Denied	Plaintiff	0.7	3/28/16
1:16cv00690	Granted	Defendant	0.0	5/13/16
1:16cv00207	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	5/30/17
1:17cv01212	Granted	Plaintiff	0.0	6/1/18
1:18cv00375	Granted	Plaintiff	0.0	7/3/18
1:16cv01082	Denied	Plaintiff	4.4	2/6/19
1:17cv01730	Granted	Defendant	2.1	7/16/19
1:19cv02100	Granted	Third Party	0.7	6/11/21
1:09cv00190	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.1	8/19/10
1:12cv00081	Denied without Prejudice	Third Party	0.7	7/17/13
1:12cv01043	Denied without Prejudice	Third Party	0.0	8/1/14
1:13cv01987	Denied	Third Party	0.9	2/18/15
1:08cv00787	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.3	3/30/10
1:08cv00627	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	6.2	1/5/11
1:09cv00573	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	10.8	3/30/11

1:09cv00598	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	1.9	6/10/11
1:09cv00651	Granted	Defendant	1.3	7/11/11
1:11cv00733	Denied	Defendant	0.3	5/2/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	0.3	2/22/13
1:10cv00389	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	2/22/13
1:12cv01738	Granted	Plaintiff	0.6	9/17/13
1:09cv00598	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	0.8	2/28/14
1:12cv00675	Denied	Defendant	0.0	11/21/13
1:13cv00239	Granted	Third Party	3.2	8/1/17
1:16cv01082	Denied	Plaintiff	6.6	2/6/19
1:19cv00742	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.3	1/10/22

Dismissal

There were 309 Dismissal contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 40.3%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 8.1. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	40.3	309	8.1	39.1	267	8.2	45.0	40	6.8
2008	0.0	2	10.6	0.0	2	10.6	0.0	0	0.0
2010	0.0	1	6.6	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	6.6
2011	10.7	14	10.6	5.6	9	11.8	20.0	5	8.4
2012	28.9	19	5.9	26.5	17	6.0	50.0	2	5.2
2013	43.8	24	9.1	38.1	21	9.4	75.0	2	7.5
2014	55.4	37	8.1	52.9	34	8.4	83.3	3	4.8
2015	40.7	27	11.4	40.4	26	11.8	50.0	1	0.8
2016	46.0	25	8.5	40.5	21	8.9	66.7	3	5.8
2017	30.6	18	8.3	30.0	15	8.1	33.3	3	9.2
2018	35.3	34	7.3	37.5	32	7.5	0.0	2	4.4
2019	56.5	31	7.5	50.0	26	7.8	90.0	5	5.8
2020	46.2	39	7.2	48.6	35	7.1	25.0	4	7.8
2021	35.2	27	5.2	32.5	20	4.6	42.9	7	6.9
2022	13.6	11	9.7	16.7	9	9.6	0.0	2	10.4

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:06cv00627	Denied	Defendant	17.8	7/11/08		
1:08cv00309	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	3.4	10/21/08		
1:09cv00184	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	23.2	3/24/11		Failure to State a Claim of Exceptional Case
1:09cv00703	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	17.5	3/30/11		Failure to State a Claim of Exceptional Case
1:09cv00971	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	19.1	12/9/11		Failure to State a Claim re Contract; Trade Secret Misappropriation
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	11.1	4/21/11		Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:10cv00003	Denied	Defendant	10.7	3/7/11		9(b) - Inequitable Conduct
1:09cv00651	Denied	Plaintiff	13.4	7/11/11		Lack of Jurisdiction - 12(b) (1)
1:10cv00003	Denied	Plaintiff	11.5	3/7/11		9(b) - Inequitable Conduct
1:10cv00085	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	6.6	10/8/10		Failure to State a Claim of Non-Infringement
1:09cv00262	Denied	Defendant	7.3	3/7/11		Failure to State a Claim of False Patent Marking
1:10cv00389	Granted	Plaintiff	8.2	9/30/11		Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:10cv00487	Denied	Defendant	7.6	11/3/11		Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:10cv00892	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	4.4	3/25/11		12(b)(6) - Exceptional Case
1:10cv00982	Denied	Defendant	7.7	9/30/11		Failure to State a Claim for Infringement
1:10cv00915	Granted	Defendant	9.2	3/13/12		Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:10cv01065	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.4	1/23/12		First Filed Rule
1:09cv00262	Granted	Defendant	8.9	6/12/12		Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:09cv00525	Denied	Defendant	13.6	9/13/12		Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:09cv00598	Denied	Defendant	1.9	10/13/11		Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:10cv01065	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.9	1/23/12		First Filed Rule
1:09cv00955	Denied	Plaintiff	3.8	3/1/12		12(b)(6) - Inequitable Conduct; 12(f)

1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	5.4	5/4/12	35 USC 299 - Joinder
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	5.4	5/4/12	35 USC 299 - Joinder
1:10cv00433	Granted	Plaintiff	6.7	6/29/12	Failure to State a Claim for Breach of Contract
1:10cv00915	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.7	3/13/12	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:11cv00798	Granted	Defendant	8.2	9/20/12	Failure to State a Claim for Indirect Infringement
1:11cv00836	Granted	Defendant	12.9	1/16/13	Failure to State a Claim for Indirect Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.6	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.6	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.4	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.4	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.6	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	3.5	7/26/12	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:11cv01181	Denied	Defendant	7.8	10/1/12	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv00069	Denied	Defendant	10.9	3/29/13	Failure to State a Claim - Two Voluntary Dismissal Rule
1:12cv00210	Denied	Defendant	13.5	6/28/13	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:11cv00902	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.3	5/24/13	Failure to State a Claim for Direct and Indirect Infringement
1:10cv00892	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	4.4	3/25/11	12(b)(6) - Exceptional Case
1:12cv00349	Denied	Defendant	10.7	6/19/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:12cv00540	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	8.4	3/29/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:12cv00642	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.3	5/24/13	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv00675	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.5	5/24/13	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv00825	Granted	Defendant	14.1	11/1/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:10cv00045	Denied	Defendant	5.3	9/11/12	52(c)
1:10cv00502	Denied	Defendant	9.8	7/2/13	Failure to State a Claim for Willful Infringement

1:11cv00338	Denied	Defendant	11.9	7/26/13	12(b)(6) - Laches
1:12cv00193	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.4	3/26/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:12cv00193	Granted	Defendant	6.9	3/26/13	Lack of Standing
1:12cv00618	Granted	Defendant	16.8	11/1/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:12cv00639	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	10.9	9/16/13	Failure to State a Claim for Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv00641	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.0	5/24/13	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv00925	Granted	Defendant	5.9	5/7/13	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect Infringement
1:12cv00942	Granted	Third Party	6.6	6/19/13	12(b)(6)-Abuse of Process Counterclaim
1:12cv00942	Granted	Plaintiff	6.6	6/19/13	Failure to State a Claim of Abuse of Process
1:12cv00948	Denied	Defendant	8.7	6/19/13	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement of a Method Claim
1:12cv00574	Denied	Defendant	19.3	9/29/14	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv00574	Denied	Defendant	19.3	9/29/14	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv01292	Denied	Defendant	8.0	9/30/13	Failure to State a Claim of Induced Infringement
1:12cv01513	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.2	4/24/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv01517	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.2	4/24/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:12cv01519	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.2	4/24/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:13cv00147	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	5.1	7/23/13	Other Litigation
1:11cv00704	Denied	Defendant	2.7	10/25/13	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:11cv00733	Denied	Defendant	5.6	11/14/13	52(c)- Infringement
1:12cv00639	Granted	Defendant	9.9	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:12cv00675	Denied	Defendant	6.9	2/6/14	Failure to State a Claim for Indirect Infringement
1:12cv00925	Granted	Defendant	8.6	3/14/14	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect Infringement
1:12cv01063	Granted	Defendant	24.5	3/19/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv01063	Denied	Defendant	24.5	3/19/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv01743	Granted	Defendant	8.8	3/26/14	Failure to State a Claim for Induced Infringement
1:13cv00238	Denied	Defendant	5.7	1/3/14	Failure to State a Claim - Laches

1:13cv00412	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.2	3/21/14	Failure to State a Claim for Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:13cv00518	Granted	Defendant	5.2	3/31/14	Failure to State a Claim Against Particular Party
1:13cv01454	Granted	Defendant	9.6	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:12cv00396	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.8	10/30/14	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:10cv01065	Granted	Plaintiff	0.8	1/6/14	Mootness
1:12cv00394	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.8	10/30/14	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:13cv00864	Denied	Defendant	8.9	9/29/14	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:13cv01338	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:13cv01341	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:13cv01420	Denied	Defendant	4.7	3/26/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:13cv01425	Denied	Defendant	4.9	3/26/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:13cv01426	Denied	Defendant	4.9	3/26/14	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:13cv01453	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:13cv01455	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:13cv01456	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:13cv01458	Granted	Defendant	7.2	7/28/14	Lack of Standing
1:13cv01668	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/24/14	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Willful Infringement
1:13cv01669	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/24/14	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Willful Infringement
1:13cv01670	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/24/14	Lack of subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Willful Infringement
1:13cv01671	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/24/14	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim
1:13cv01672	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/24/14	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Failure to State a Claim of Willful Infringement
1:13cv01792	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.4	5/16/14	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect Infringement
1:13cv01601	Granted	Defendant	4.6	7/29/14	Failure to State a Claim for Independent Action in Equity
1:13cv01684	Granted	Defendant	0.7	4/16/14	Lack of Standing

1:13cv01987	Granted	Plaintiff	8.0	12/3/14	Failure to State a Claim of Noninfringement and Invalidity and Interfering Patents
1:13cv02052	Granted	Defendant	4.8	10/22/14	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:14cv00081	Granted	Defendant	3.1	7/28/14	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:14cv00229	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	16.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00233	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	17.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim ; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00234	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	17.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00235	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	16.5	9/30/15	Failure to Allege Infringement of a Patentable Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00240	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	17.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00243	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	16.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00246	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	16.3	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv00377	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.2	3/20/15	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:14cv00508	Denied	Defendant	9.6	3/30/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:13cv01483	Granted	Defendant	7.5	3/20/15	Insufficiency of Service of Process
1:14cv00028	Denied	Defendant	15.0	9/24/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:14cv00721	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.2	2/13/15	Failure to State a Claim of Direct and indirect Infringement; Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:14cv00877	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.6	8/10/15	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect Infringement
1:14cv00878	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.6	8/10/15	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect Infringement
1:14cv00935	Denied	Defendant	4.8	1/14/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:14cv01068	Granted	Defendant	11.7	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv01071	Granted	Defendant	11.7	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv01072	Granted	Defendant	11.7	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv01073	Granted	Defendant	11.7	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101

1:12cv00574	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.8	9/16/15	Failure to State a Claim of Pre-Notice Damages and Pre-Notice Indirect Infringement
1:14cv01445	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	24.1	1/27/17	35 USC 101
1:15cv00151	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	2.3	5/11/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:15cv00150	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.3	5/11/15	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:14cv00377	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	14.6	6/23/16	Failure to State a Claim of Noninfringement
1:14cv01070	Granted	Defendant	9.6	9/30/15	Failure to State a Claim; 35 USC 101
1:14cv01193	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.9	3/31/16	35 USC 101; Failure to State a Claim
1:12cv00081	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	0.8	5/29/15	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	0.5	5/29/15	Covenant Not to Sue
1:12cv00574	Denied	Defendant	8.9	3/17/16	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:13cv00239	Granted	Third Party	9.2	3/28/16	Breach of Implied Covenant
1:14cv01430	Denied	Defendant	11.1	3/31/16	Failure to State a Claim of Induced Infringement
1:14cv01432	Denied	Defendant	11.1	3/31/16	Failure to State a Claim of Induced Infringement
1:15cv00114	Denied	Defendant	11.2	3/30/16	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:15cv00137	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	10.9	3/30/16	35 USC 101; Failure to State a Claim
1:15cv00416	Granted	Defendant	17.2	11/16/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:15cv00424	Denied	Defendant	8.3	3/30/16	Failure to State a Claim for Induced, Contributory and Willful Infringement
1:12cv00193	Granted	Defendant	10.8	8/25/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Lack of Prudential Standing
1:13cv00239	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	7.2	3/28/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:13cv00287	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	7.2	3/28/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:13cv01632	Granted	Defendant	10.3	8/25/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction; Lack of Prudential Standing
1:14cv00377	Granted	Defendant	8.8	6/23/16	Failure to State a Claim of Noninfringement

1:14cv00878	Denied	Defendant	18.2	3/20/17	Failure to State a Claim of Induced Infringement
1:14cv01512	Granted	Defendant	7.0	4/29/16	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction over post-AIA Interference
1:15cv00438	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.2	1/21/16	Failure to State a Claim for Direct Infringement - GIP; For Willfulness - Granted
1:15cv00777	Granted	Defendant	7.8	6/23/16	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction; Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:15cv00799	Denied	Defendant	10.8	8/25/16	Duplicative of Prior Action
1:14cv01487	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	3.0	3/14/16	Lack of Standing
1:15cv00150	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	3.0	3/14/16	Lack of Standing
1:15cv00151	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	3.0	3/14/16	Lack of Standing
1:15cv01162	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	2.7	3/30/16	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:12cv00574	Denied	Defendant	9.1	1/24/17	Rule 37
1:12cv01461	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	12.0	3/30/17	Failure to State a Claim of Invalidity - Denied, Noninfringement - Granted
1:13cv00335	Granted	Defendant	20.4	12/12/17	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:16cv00259	Denied	Defendant	10.0	3/20/17	First Filed Rule
1:15cv00871	Granted	Defendant	7.8	12/22/16	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:15cv00137	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	10.2	5/10/17	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:16cv00699	Denied	Defendant	6.6	3/20/17	35 USC 101; Failure to Mark
1:15cv01108	Granted	Plaintiff	3.1	12/9/16	Lack of Standing
1:16md02722	Denied	Defendant	5.4	3/20/17	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:16md02722	Denied	Defendant	5.4	3/20/17	Lack of Declaratory Judgment Jurisdiction
1:13cv01703	Granted	Defendant	15.3	3/27/18	35 USC 101
1:15cv00670	Denied	Plaintiff	5.5	8/25/17	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction - Mootness
1:16cv01082	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.4	1/10/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct and Indirect Infringement
1:17cv00546	Granted	Defendant	9.8	3/26/18	Prosecution History Estoppel
1:15cv00042	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.0	3/26/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct (Denied), Indirect (Granted) and Willful (Granted) Infringement
1:15cv00980	Granted	Defendant	2.7	9/11/17	Improper Venue

1:17cv00051	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	0.7	6/26/17	Improper Venue
1:17cv00083	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.7	12/18/17	Improper Venue - GIP; Lack of Personal Jurisdiction - Denied
1:17cv00087	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.3	12/18/17	Improper Venue - GIP; Lack of Personal Jurisdiction - Denied
1:17cv00379	Denied	Defendant	1.6	9/11/17	Improper Venue
1:17cv00506	Granted	Defendant	4.4	1/3/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:17cv00583	Granted	Defendant	5.7	1/9/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:17cv00688	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.6	11/13/17	Failure to State a Claim of Export Infringement (Granted) and Literal Infringement (Denied)
1:17cv00365	Denied	Defendant	6.5	5/17/18	Lack of Standing
1:17cv00595	Denied	Defendant	2.8	12/5/17	Failure to State a Claim of direct, Indirect, or Willful Infringement; Marking Limitation on Damages
1:17cv00965	Denied	Defendant	12.6	9/28/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv01086	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	17.7	3/20/19	Failure to State a Claim of Damages
1:17cv01098	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.0	4/30/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01099	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.0	4/30/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01100	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.9	4/30/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:17cv01102	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.0	4/30/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01103	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.0	4/30/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:17cv01120	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	11.3	9/28/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv01194	Denied	Defendant	5.9	4/13/18	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:17cv01194	Denied	Plaintiff	5.7	4/13/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01276	Denied	Defendant	10.0	9/30/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv01419	Denied	Defendant	2.0	1/23/18	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

1:13cv00335	Denied	Defendant	9.2	10/23/18	Improper Venue
1:13cv01353	Denied	Defendant	9.4	9/20/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:16cv00894	Denied	Defendant	8.3	9/26/18	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:17cv00365	Denied	Defendant	4.6	5/17/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv00660	Denied	Defendant	4.6	5/17/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01212	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.9	4/10/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct (Denied), Induced (Denied), Contributory (Granted), and Willful (Granted) Infringement
1:17cv01317	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/27/18	Failure to State a Claim of Proper Patent Marking
1:17cv01319	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/27/18	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:17cv01353	Denied	Plaintiff	3.2	3/22/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv01646	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.2	9/7/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	7.2	9/7/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:18cv00021	Denied	Defendant	7.2	9/26/18	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:18cv00021	Denied	Defendant	7.1	9/25/18	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:15cv00042	Granted	Defendant	6.3	11/14/18	35 USC 101
1:17cv00374	Granted	Defendant	5.1	10/18/18	Improper Venue
1:17cv01798	Granted	Defendant	6.7	9/28/18	35 USC 101
1:18cv00073	Granted	Defendant	10.4	2/13/19	Failure to State a Claim of ANDA Infringement
1:18cv00172	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.9	3/25/19	Forum Selection Clause
1:18cv00283	Granted	Defendant	8.7	2/13/19	35 USC 101
1:16cv01082	Granted	Plaintiff	5.5	2/6/19	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:16cv01082	Denied	Defendant	6.2	2/6/19	Failure to State a Claim of Willful, Induced and Contributory Infringement
1:17cv01353	Granted	Plaintiff	8.2	2/19/19	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct, Walker Process Violation, Antitrust Violation, False Advertising, and Unfair Competition
1:17cv01843	Granted	Defendant	7.2	2/13/19	35 USC 101
1:17cv01875	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	12.9	9/24/19	Improper Venue (Granted); Failure to State a Claim of Infringement (Denied)
1:18cv00177	Granted	Defendant	8.1	2/26/19	Failure to State a Claim of ANDA Infringement

1:18cv00886	Denied	Defendant	7.6	3/29/19	Failure to State a Claim of Induced, Contributory and Willful Infringement
1:18cv00960	Denied	Defendant	8.3	3/31/19	Failure to State a Claim of ANDA Infringement
1:18cv01074	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	15.5	12/16/19	Failure to State a Claim of Direct (Denied) and Equivalence (Granted) Infringement
1:16cv00706	Granted	Plaintiff	6.1	3/18/19	Failure to State an Antitrust Claim
1:17cv00275	Denied	Defendant	7.0	4/24/19	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Induced and Contributory infringement
1:17cv01390	Denied	Defendant	18.4	3/31/20	35 USC 101
1:18cv01043	Granted	Defendant	9.3	6/17/19	Improper Venue
1:18cv01288	Denied	Defendant	4.0	2/21/19	
1:18cv01485	Denied	Defendant	7.6	7/5/19	35 USC 101
1:17cv00084	Granted	Defendant	7.3	9/19/19	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:17cv00775	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.3	6/5/19	Failure to State a Claim of Improper Inventorship (Denied) and Inequitable Conduct (Granted)
1:17cv01646	Granted	Defendant	5.6	7/5/19	35 USC 101
1:17cv01647	Granted	Defendant	5.6	7/5/19	35 USC 101
1:18cv01587	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.9	7/5/19	35 USC 101
1:18cv01879	Denied	Defendant	7.4	8/30/19	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:18cv01949	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.2	9/19/19	35 USC 101
1:15cv00379	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	5.7	9/12/19	Concession of Non-Infringement
1:19cv00158	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.6	12/18/19	35 USC 101
1:19cv00159	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.3	11/5/19	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:19cv00295	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	9.4	3/11/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv00296	Denied	Defendant	10.8	3/11/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct and Indirect Infringement
1:19cv00297	Denied	Defendant	10.8	3/11/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct and Indirect Infringement
1:18cv01767	Denied	Plaintiff	9.7	1/10/20	
1:17cv01616	Denied	Plaintiff	9.1	3/23/20	Inequitable Conduct
1:17cv01730	Granted	Defendant	2.9	10/7/19	Lack of Standing
1:18cv00088	Denied	Defendant	9.4	6/26/20	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

1:18cv01962	Granted	Plaintiff	5.8	12/4/19	
1:19cv00272	Denied	Defendant	6.1	1/10/20	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:19cv00273	Denied	Defendant	6.1	1/10/20	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:19cv00274	Denied	Defendant	6.1	1/10/20	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:19cv00292	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.2	3/11/20	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:19cv00293	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.0	3/11/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:19cv00534	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.4	3/11/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct (Denied) and Indirect (GIP) Infringement
1:19cv00535	Denied	Defendant	8.9	3/11/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv00592	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.3	3/17/20	Failure to State a Claim of Fraud and Breach of Contract
1:19cv00592	Denied	Defendant	8.3	3/17/20	Failure to State a Claim of Fraud
1:19cv00592	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.3	3/17/20	Failure to State a Claim of Fraud and Breach of Contract
1:19cv00592	Granted	Defendant	8.3	3/17/20	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:19cv00592	Granted	Defendant	8.0	3/17/20	Failure to State a Claim of Fraud and Breach of Contract
1:19cv00742	Denied	Defendant	1.2	8/7/19	35 USC 101
1:19cv00992	Denied	Defendant	3.5	1/2/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv00993	Denied	Defendant	3.5	1/2/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv01177	Granted	Defendant	3.7	1/10/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv01397	Granted	Defendant	3.7	1/10/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv00769	Granted	Defendant	3.1	1/10/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv01126	Granted	Plaintiff	8.0	6/25/20	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:19cv01397	Granted	Defendant	2.9	1/31/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv01509	Denied	Plaintiff	4.3	4/30/20	
1:19cv01590	Denied	Defendant	9.1	10/13/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv02310	Denied	Defendant	5.3	6/12/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct Infringement
1:18cv01949	Denied	Plaintiff	9.0	3/17/21	Failure to State Various State Claims
1:19cv00592	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.8	11/20/20	Failure to State a Claim of Tortious Interference (Granted) and Unfair Competition (Denied)
1:19cv00742	Granted	Defendant	2.8	6/3/20	Lack of Standing
1:19cv01869	Granted	Defendant	9.6	11/30/20	35 USC 101
1:19cv01954	Denied	Defendant	10.0	11/20/20	Failure to State a Claim of ANDA Infringement


1:19cv02109	Granted	Defendant	9.8	11/23/20	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:19cv02176	Granted	Defendant	5.1	7/15/20	35 USC 101
1:20cv00280	Granted	Defendant	5.7	10/13/20	35 USC 101
1:20cv00281	Granted	Defendant	5.7	10/13/20	35 USC 101
1:20cv00621	Granted	Defendant	5.6	12/15/20	35 USC 101
1:17cv01648	Granted	Plaintiff	8.0	5/28/21	Forum Non Conveniens; Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:18cv00088	Granted	Defendant	4.7	1/8/21	
1:19cv01695	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	7.1	3/4/21	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:20cv00371	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.4	10/29/21	Lack of Personal Jurisdiction
1:20cv00510	Denied	Defendant	8.6	3/25/21	
1:20cv00518	Granted	Defendant	2.7	10/13/20	35 USC 101
1:20cv00601	Denied	Defendant	6.3	2/10/21	Failure to State a Claim of Induced Infringement
1:20cv00678	Denied	Defendant	7.5	3/26/21	Failure to State a Claim of Direct, Induced, and Willful Infringement
1:20cv00832	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.2	3/18/21	35 USC 101
1:18cv01949	Granted	Plaintiff	7.7	5/28/21	Forum Non Conveniens; Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:19cv01126	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	1.8	3/1/21	Failure to State a Claim of Invalidity
1:19cv01869	Granted	Defendant	1.8	3/18/21	35 USC 101
1:20cv00371	Denied	Plaintiff	5.1	5/13/21	Failure to State a Claim of License
1:20cv01123	Denied	Defendant	3.8	3/18/21	35 USC 101
1:20cv01194	Denied	Defendant	5.3	7/2/21	35 USC 101
1:20cv01197	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.7	8/12/21	Failure to State a Claim of Indirect and Willful Infringement
1:20cv01440	Denied	Defendant	3.0	3/18/21	35 USC 101
1:20cv01463	Denied	Defendant	16.1	3/23/22	Insufficiency of Process
1:20cv01483	Granted	Defendant	3.2	4/19/21	Duplicative Litigation
1:20cv01678	Denied	Defendant	3.3	5/13/21	Failure to State a Claim of Contributory and Induced Infringement
1:19cv01869	Granted	Defendant	2.8	7/9/21	
1:20cv00133	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	2.9	6/15/21	Failure to State a Claim
1:20cv01352	Denied	Plaintiff	12.2	3/14/22	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct, Unclean Hands, and Prosecution Laches

1:20cv01736	Granted	Defendant	3.6	7/2/21	35 USC 101
1:20cv01775	Denied	Defendant	10.1	3/16/22	Failure to State a Claim of Importation and Induced Infringement
1:20cv01775	Denied	Defendant	10.1	3/16/22	Failure to State a Claim of Importation and Induced Infringement
1:20cv01775	Denied	Defendant	10.1	3/16/22	Failure to State a Claim of Importation and Induced Infringement
1:21cv00043	Denied	Plaintiff	8.6	2/22/22	Failure to State a FRAND claim
1:21cv00056	Denied	Defendant	9.4	3/14/22	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:21cv00129	Granted	Defendant	10.6	3/30/22	35 USC 101
1:21cv00346	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.7	2/18/22	Failure to State a Claim of ontributory (Granted), Indirect (GIP) and Willful (Granted) Infringement
1:21cv00385	Denied	Defendant	1.7	7/2/21	35 USC 101
1:21cv00645	Denied	Defendant	8.3	3/1/22	Improper Venue
1:21cv00669	Denied	Defendant	5.0	11/30/21	35 USC 101
1:21cv00634	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	4.5	11/30/21	35 USC 101
1:21cv01015	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.1	12/27/21	Failure to State a Claim and Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
1:21cv01147	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	2.0	11/30/21	35 USC 101
1:21cv01286	Denied	Defendant	1.7	1/27/22	Two-Dismissal Rule

Exceptional Case

There were 13 Exceptional Case contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 23.1%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 10.8. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	23.1	13	10.8	27.3	11	11.5	0.0	2	7.0
2011	0.0	2	6.1	0.0	1	6.7	0.0	1	5.5
2014	0.0	2	7.5	0.0	1	6.4	0.0	1	8.5
2016	0.0	1	11.3	0.0	1	11.3	0.0	0	0.0
2017	50.0	2	6.8	50.0	2	6.8	0.0	0	0.0
2018	0.0	1	4.8	0.0	1	4.8	0.0	0	0.0
2019	25.0	2	7.5	25.0	2	7.5	0.0	0	0.0
2021	50.0	2	31.6	50.0	2	31.6	0.0	0	0.0
2022	50.0	1	6.0	50.0	1	6.0	0.0	0	0.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:04cv01371	Denied	Plaintiff	5.5	1/19/11		


1:09cv00865	Denied	Defendant	6.4	7/9/14
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	8.5	3/25/14
1:07cv00753	Denied	Defendant	6.7	3/3/11
1:13cv00846	Denied	Defendant	11.3	9/27/16
1:12cv01581	Granted	Defendant	6.9	9/1/17
1:14cv01203	Denied	Defendant	6.7	10/16/17
1:15cv00193	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	14.2	3/27/19
1:13cv00440	Denied	Defendant	4.8	11/19/18
1:15cv00379	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.9	10/23/19
1:18cv00699	Denied	Defendant	9.4	9/3/21
1:12cv01581	Granted	Defendant	53.7	7/26/21
1:15cv00379	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.0	2/3/22

Expert/Daubert

There were 192 Expert/Daubert contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 20.1%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 4.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Expert/Daubert




Expert/Daubert

There were 192 Expert/Daubert contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 20.1%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 4.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Expert/Daubert



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	20.1	192	4.2	21.7	106	3.9	17.3	84	4.7
2009	75.0	2	2.6	50.0	1	2.6	0.0	0	0.0
2010	14.3	7	3.6	0.0	2	0.5	20.0	5	4.9
2011	37.5	4	5.4	50.0	2	0.9	25.0	2	9.8
2012	13.6	11	3.5	25.0	6	3.2	0.0	5	3.8
2013	3.3	15	4.0	5.6	9	4.1	0.0	6	4.0
2014	22.7	22	6.8	25.0	10	6.2	20.8	12	7.4
2015	33.3	15	4.8	43.8	8	5.4	21.4	7	4.1
2016	9.1	22	3.3	8.3	12	3.4	10.0	10	3.1
2017	35.7	28	3.6	37.5	16	3.9	33.3	12	3.3
2018	15.0	20	2.3	15.6	16	2.4	12.5	4	1.7
2019	8.3	6	2.8	0.0	2	2.0	12.5	4	3.2
2020	14.8	27	6.0	12.5	16	5.8	18.2	11	6.3
2021	30.0	10	1.5	33.3	6	1.0	33.3	3	2.5
2022	0.0	3	9.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	9.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:08md01949	Granted	Third Party	2.6	12/11/09		
1:08md01949	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.6	12/11/09		
1:07cv00468	Granted	Plaintiff	11.7	11/23/10		
1:06cv00601	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	5.0	6/10/10		Claim Construction
1:08cv00862	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	1.3	6/28/10		
1:08cv00862	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	1.3	6/28/10		
1:08cv00862	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.8	3/14/11		
1:08cv00862	Denied	Plaintiff	9.8	3/14/11		
1:09cv00185	Denied	Defendant	0.7	7/16/10		

1:09cv00605	Denied	Defendant	0.3	5/26/10	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	5.2	10/5/10	
1:08cv00309	Granted	Defendant	1.0	4/18/11	
1:09cv00598	Denied	Defendant	0.8	9/16/11	
1:10cv00045	Denied	Plaintiff	3.2	3/8/12	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Plaintiff	3.0	6/14/12	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	3.0	6/14/12	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	3.0	6/14/12	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	3.0	6/14/12	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Plaintiff	3.0	6/14/12	
1:09cv00190	Denied	Plaintiff	5.0	11/6/12	Commercial Success
1:09cv00190	Denied	Defendant	5.0	11/6/12	Commercial Success
1:09cv00190	Denied	Plaintiff	5.0	11/6/12	Technical Expert
1:09cv00080	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	19.5	3/31/14	
1:09cv00354	Denied	Plaintiff	10.1	3/29/13	
1:09cv00354	Denied	Defendant	10.1	3/29/13	
1:09cv00354	Denied	Defendant	10.1	3/29/13	
1:10cv00915	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.8	11/14/12	Technical Expert; Chemistry
1:10cv00915	Granted	Defendant	2.8	11/14/12	Patent Expert
1:11cv00652	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.0	5/15/13	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Defendant	3.0	5/15/13	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	3.0	5/15/13	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	3.0	5/15/13	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Defendant	3.0	5/15/13	
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.8	2/1/13	
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.8	2/1/13	
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	1.5	2/1/13	
1:11cv00733	Denied	Defendant	0.4	5/2/13	
1:10cv00849	Denied	Defendant	9.1	7/2/14	
1:10cv00849	Denied	Defendant	9.1	7/2/14	
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	10.0	4/14/14	Damages
1:10cv01067	Denied	Plaintiff	9.5	3/31/14	Damages
1:10cv01067	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.5	3/31/14	Infringement
1:11cv00595	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	6.7	3/21/14	Economic Prejudice
1:11cv00595	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	6.6	3/21/14	
1:11cv00704	Denied	Plaintiff	2.7	10/22/13	
1:11cv00704	Denied	Plaintiff	2.7	10/22/13	

1:11cv00704	Denied	Plaintiff	2.7	10/22/13	
1:12cv01581	Denied	Defendant	10.0	4/14/14	Damages
1:12cv01581	Denied	Plaintiff	10.0	4/14/14	Noninfringing Alternatives
1:12cv01581	Denied	Plaintiff	10.0	4/14/14	Noninfringing Alternatives
1:09cv00525	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.2	2/27/14	
1:10cv01065	Granted	Defendant	4.8	4/14/14	Damages
1:10cv01065	Denied	Plaintiff	5.3	5/1/14	
1:11cv01249	Granted	Plaintiff	2.3	1/23/14	
1:11cv01249	Denied	Defendant	2.3	1/23/14	
1:12cv00081	Denied	Plaintiff	4.6	7/18/14	
1:12cv00081	Denied	Plaintiff	6.6	9/18/14	Validity
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Damages
1:11cv00515	Denied	Defendant	12.6	9/28/15	
1:11cv00515	Granted	Defendant	14.4	11/24/15	Damages; Reasonable Royalty
1:11cv00582	Denied	Defendant	2.3	12/23/14	
1:11cv00582	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.3	12/23/14	
1:11cv00582	Denied	Plaintiff	2.3	12/23/14	
1:11cv01259	Granted	Defendant	9.4	4/13/15	Damages
1:11cv01259	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	4/13/15	Technical
1:12cv00540	Granted	Defendant	1.8	3/20/15	Damages
1:12cv00540	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	1.8	3/20/15	Infringement; Invalidity; Damages
1:12cv00081	Denied	Defendant	1.0	5/29/15	Damages
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	0.9	8/17/15	
1:13cv00917	Denied	Defendant	3.2	9/21/15	
1:11cv00515	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	0.5	12/2/15	Comparable Technologies
1:12cv00274	Denied	Defendant	1.9	1/27/16	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	2.9	12/8/15	Design Alternatives; Pricing
1:13cv00723	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.5	12/8/15	
1:12cv00540	Denied	Plaintiff	0.6	6/8/15	
1:13cv01279	Denied	Defendant	0.4	10/5/15	
1:11cv01259	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.4	4/13/15	Damages
1:13cv00843	Denied	Defendant	3.3	3/25/16	
1:14cv00121	Denied	Defendant	0.8	2/9/16	
1:09cv00080	Granted	Plaintiff	0.3	4/18/16	

1:13cv00304	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.1	8/25/16	
1:13cv00843	Denied	Defendant	7.3	10/18/16	
1:13cv01987	Denied	Defendant	1.6	7/26/16	
1:13cv01987	Denied	Plaintiff	1.6	7/26/16	
1:12cv00193	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	6/3/16	Invalidity
1:13cv01632	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	6/3/16	Invalidity
1:13cv01633	Denied	Plaintiff	8.0	12/7/16	Invalidity
1:13cv01987	Denied	Plaintiff	1.8	7/26/16	
1:09cv00080	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.1	10/31/16	Acceptable Substitutes
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	4.1	10/31/16	Reasonable Royalty
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	4.1	10/31/16	Acceptable Substitutes
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	1.5	8/15/16	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	1.5	8/15/16	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Plaintiff	1.8	8/15/16	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Plaintiff	1.8	8/15/16	
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Damages
1:09cv01007	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	12/30/16	Infringement
1:09cv01007	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	12/30/16	Non-Infringing Alternatives; Apportionment
1:14cv00028	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	1/12/17	Damages
1:14cv00028	Granted	Plaintiff	6.2	1/12/17	Noninfringement
1:12cv00193	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.0	1/31/17	Model
1:13cv01632	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.2	2/6/17	
1:15cv00799	Denied	Defendant	5.2	2/6/17	
1:11cv00515	Denied	Plaintiff	2.8	2/10/17	
1:12cv00193	Granted	Defendant	4.6	1/31/17	Damages
1:13cv00440	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	3.8	2/13/17	Damages
1:13cv01632	Granted	Defendant	4.8	2/6/17	
1:13cv01633	Denied	Plaintiff	2.6	1/31/17	Invalidity
1:13cv01668	Denied	Defendant	3.0	2/14/17	
1:13cv00723	Granted	Defendant	3.6	6/20/17	
1:13cv01636	Granted	Defendant	1.4	1/31/17	Damages
1:14cv00377	Denied	Plaintiff	3.1	6/1/17	Damages
1:14cv00377	Denied	Defendant	3.1	6/1/17	
1:14cv00878	Denied	Defendant	4.4	6/9/17	
1:14cv00878	Granted	Plaintiff	4.4	6/9/17	
1:14cv01487	Denied	Plaintiff	1.6	4/17/17	
1:15cv00137	Denied	Defendant	7.2	9/21/17	Damages - Georgia Pacific; Nash Methodology


1:15cv00137	Denied	Plaintiff	6.8	9/21/17	Damages-Value of patents to accused websites
1:11cv00515	Denied	Defendant	2.8	2/10/17	Damages; Non-Infringing Alternative
1:14cv01445	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.5	1/27/17	Damages - Lost Profits, Reasonable Royalties
1:13cv00239	Denied	Plaintiff	2.2	8/1/17	
1:13cv00723	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.3	7/20/17	
1:13cv00723	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.3	7/20/17	
1:15cv00114	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	1.3	6/6/17	
1:13cv00239	Granted	Plaintiff	0.1	8/17/17	
1:15cv00669	Denied	Defendant	2.1	9/14/17	Infringement
1:15cv00819	Denied	Defendant	6.0	5/24/18	
1:15cv00819	Denied	Defendant	5.5	5/8/18	
1:16cv00187	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.6	5/22/18	
1:16cv00187	Denied	Plaintiff	1.0	2/22/18	
1:16cv00122	Denied	Defendant	3.1	6/8/18	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	1.5	10/9/18	
1:08cv00309	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	1.5	10/9/18	
1:08cv00309	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.5	10/9/18	
1:12cv00540	Denied	Defendant	1.8	10/25/18	Supply Chain Management
1:15cv00379	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.2	8/2/18	Infringement
1:15cv00788	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.2	8/2/18	Infringement
1:16cv00122	Denied	Defendant	0.4	6/19/18	Damages
1:16cv00284	Denied	Plaintiff	4.1	1/2/19	
1:16cv00284	Denied	Plaintiff	2.9	11/27/18	
1:16cv00284	Granted	Defendant	2.9	11/27/18	Lost Profits
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	1.4	12/27/18	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	1.4	12/27/18	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	1.4	12/27/18	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	1.2	11/19/18	Claim Construction
1:15cv00379	Denied	Defendant	3.0	12/10/18	
1:15cv00788	Denied	Defendant	3.0	12/10/18	

1:15cv01158	Denied	Plaintiff	1.9	3/21/19	
1:17cv00189	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.2	10/21/19	
1:17cv00775	Denied	Defendant	10.9	3/2/20	
1:17cv00775	Denied	Plaintiff	10.9	3/2/20	
1:13cv01353	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.1	1/14/20	Infringement
1:13cv01353	Denied	Plaintiff	5.1	1/14/20	Damages
1:17cv00374	Denied	Defendant	2.5	10/21/19	
1:17cv01386	Denied	Defendant	13.2	10/28/20	Apportionment, Damages
1:17cv01386	Denied	Defendant	13.2	10/28/20	Infringement, Apportionment, comparable third-party license demand, and noninfringement alternative
1:17cv01386	Denied	Plaintiff	1.5	8/1/19	Invalidity
1:18cv00021	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.7	1/21/20	Infringement; Damages; Technical Comparability; Obviousness; 102g
1:18cv00021	Denied	Plaintiff	3.7	1/21/20	Technical
1:18cv00021	Denied	Plaintiff	3.7	1/21/20	Damages
1:17cv00275	Denied	Plaintiff	3.0	2/21/20	Noninfringement
1:17cv01730	Denied	Defendant	1.5	12/4/19	
1:18cv00412	Denied	Defendant	5.3	5/27/20	
1:18cv00412	Denied	Defendant	6.4	5/27/20	
1:18cv00412	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	6.4	5/27/20	
1:18cv00390	Granted	Plaintiff	2.6	2/6/20	
1:18cv00172	Denied	Defendant	3.2	4/21/20	
1:16cv00706	Denied	Defendant	1.9	4/17/20	
1:16cv00706	Denied	Plaintiff	1.4	3/4/20	
1:17cv00082	Denied	Defendant	8.6	10/2/20	Validity; DOE
1:17cv00083	Denied	Defendant	8.6	10/2/20	
1:17cv00084	Denied	Defendant	8.6	10/2/20	
1:17cv01646	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	6.8	8/14/20	Technical
1:18cv00088	Granted	Defendant	1.6	6/25/20	
1:18cv00088	Denied	Defendant	1.2	6/25/20	
1:12cv01601	Granted	Defendant	1.3	1/25/21	Infringement (DOE)
1:12cv01601	Denied	Plaintiff	2.0	1/25/21	Noninfringement
1:13cv00919	Granted	Defendant	1.3	1/25/21	Infringement (DOE)
1:17cv00083	Denied	Defendant	1.5	4/5/21	
1:17cv00085	Denied	Third Party	1.5	4/5/21	
1:18cv00073	Denied	Defendant	0.9	11/18/20	
1:18cv00412	Granted	Plaintiff	4.9	3/31/21	Technical

1:12cv01601	Denied	Plaintiff	12.8	3/31/22	
1:13cv00919	Denied	Plaintiff	12.8	3/31/22	
1:18cv00976	Denied	Defendant	1.0	8/4/21	
1:20cv00125	Denied	Defendant	0.6	10/25/21	Doctrine of Equivalents
1:20cv00125	Denied	Plaintiff	0.6	10/25/21	Invalidity
1:20cv00125	Denied	Defendant	0.4	10/25/21	
1:20cv00125	Denied	Plaintiff	1.2	1/13/22	
1:19cv00742	Denied	Defendant	0.4	1/8/20	
1:17cv01386	Denied	Plaintiff	13.2	10/28/20	Apportionment, Damages
1:17cv01386	Denied	Plaintiff	13.2	10/28/20	Technical

Increased Damages

There were 4 Increased Damages contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 15.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




	Total			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	0.0	4	15.3	0.0	4	15.3
2012	0.0	1	45.0	0.0	1	45.0
2018	0.0	1	6.2	0.0	1	6.2
2019	0.0	2	4.9	0.0	2	4.9

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00170	Denied	Plaintiff	45.0	12/3/12		
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	3/30/18		
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	6.1	7/22/19		
1:16cv00284	Denied	Plaintiff	3.8	7/18/19		

Intervention

There were 13 Intervention contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 88.5%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.9. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Third Party		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	88.5	13	3.9	88.5	13	3.9
2010	50.0	1	7.7	50.0	1	7.7
2012	100.0	2	3.0	100.0	2	3.0
2014	100.0	5	4.3	100.0	5	4.3
2015	100.0	1	5.3	100.0	1	5.3
2016	100.0	1	8.0	100.0	1	8.0
2018	50.0	2	0.7	50.0	2	0.7
2021	100.0	1	0.7	100.0	1	0.7

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
-------------	----------	--------	----------	------	------	-----


1:06cv00726	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Third Party	7.7	12/29/10
1:09cv00262	Granted	Third Party	5.9	6/12/12
1:12cv00274	Granted	Third Party	0.1	11/30/12
1:13cv01668	Granted	Third Party	4.3	9/8/14
1:13cv01669	Granted	Third Party	4.3	9/8/14
1:13cv01670	Granted	Third Party	4.3	9/8/14
1:13cv01671	Granted	Third Party	4.3	9/8/14
1:13cv01672	Granted	Third Party	4.3	9/8/14
1:13cv00239	Granted	Third Party	5.3	5/5/15
1:14cv01473	Granted	Third Party	8.0	3/31/16
1:16cv00122	Granted	Third Party	0.3	7/12/18
1:17cv01429	Denied	Third Party	1.1	7/24/18
1:19cv02100	Granted	Third Party	0.7	6/11/21

Judgment on the Pleadings

There were 44 Judgment on the Pleadings contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 34.1%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 9.4. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Judgment on the Pleadings



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	34.1	44	9.4	36.1	36	9.6	28.6	7	8.4
2010	0.0	2	8.2	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	8.2
2011	100.0	1	21.7	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	1	21.7
2012	50.0	2	18.3	50.0	2	18.3	0.0	0	0.0
2013	100.0	2	13.1	100.0	2	13.1	0.0	0	0.0
2014	0.0	2	10.2	0.0	1	10.9	0.0	1	9.4
2015	37.5	8	7.6	33.3	6	9.2	50.0	2	2.9
2016	25.0	10	11.0	27.8	9	11.5	0.0	0	0.0
2017	0.0	1	11.1	0.0	1	11.1	0.0	0	0.0
2018	100.0	1	7.2	100.0	1	7.2	0.0	0	0.0
2019	0.0	1	2.5	0.0	1	2.5	0.0	0	0.0
2020	27.8	9	6.1	31.3	8	6.1	0.0	1	5.7
2021	50.0	4	9.6	50.0	4	9.6	0.0	0	0.0
2022	0.0	1	6.2	0.0	1	6.2	0.0	0	0.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:08cv00372	Granted	Plaintiff	21.7	3/11/11		
1:07cv00468	Denied	Plaintiff	12.0	11/23/10		
1:08cv00787	Denied	Plaintiff	4.4	3/30/10		
1:09cv00190	Denied	Defendant	33.6	10/9/12		
1:11cv01106	Granted	Defendant	3.1	9/7/12		
1:11cv01282	Granted	Defendant	12.6	7/29/13		
1:11cv00339	Granted	Defendant	13.6	12/20/13		
1:11cv00341	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	10.9	3/24/14		
1:13cv00440	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	9/24/14		
1:14cv01067	Granted	Defendant	10.3	9/30/15		
1:09cv00080	Denied	Plaintiff	2.8	5/18/15		
1:12cv00540	Granted	Plaintiff	3.0	4/23/15		
1:12cv00666	Granted	Defendant	8.1	9/8/15		
1:13cv01538	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	9.2	9/8/15		
1:13cv01541	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	9.2	9/8/15		
1:13cv01544	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	9.2	9/8/15		
1:14cv00047	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	9.1	9/9/15		
1:13cv00304	Denied	Defendant	17.8	9/29/16		
1:13cv01631	Granted	Defendant	20.6	12/30/16		
1:14cv01192	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.9	3/31/16		
1:14cv01430	Denied	Defendant	11.1	3/31/16		
1:13cv00239	Denied	Third Party	6.6	3/28/16		
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	9.3	9/29/16		
1:11cv00339	Denied	Defendant	9.7	9/30/16		
1:14cv01115	Granted	Defendant	8.0	9/29/16		
1:15cv00150	Denied	Defendant	7.9	9/22/16		
1:16cv00207	Denied	Defendant	4.8	11/9/16		
1:16cv00122	Denied	Defendant	11.1	11/17/17		
1:17cv00082	Granted	Defendant	7.2	3/22/18		
1:18cv02054	Granted	Defendant	11.2	1/7/20		
1:17cv00083	Denied	Defendant	2.5	7/5/19		

1:12cv01595	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.0	1/2/20
1:18cv00088	Granted	Defendant	9.4	6/26/20
1:18cv01767	Denied	Defendant	5.7	1/10/20
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	8.5	10/8/20
1:19cv01979	Denied	Defendant	9.8	2/25/21
1:20cv00125	Denied	Defendant	4.3	10/13/20
1:20cv00161	Denied	Defendant	2.1	7/23/20
1:20cv00272	Denied	Plaintiff	5.7	10/13/20
1:20md02930	Denied	Defendant	15.9	8/30/21
1:18cv00088	Granted	Defendant	4.7	1/8/21
1:12cv01600	Denied	Defendant	1.8	12/15/20
1:20cv01373	Granted	Defendant	8.0	7/13/21
1:21cv00164	Denied	Defendant	6.2	2/2/22

Lift Stay Motion

There were 8 Lift Stay Motion contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 56.3%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 4.5. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	56.3	8	4.5	100.0	1	3.3	50.0	7	4.7
2013	50.0	1	9.6	0.0	0	0.0	50.0	1	9.6
2014	100.0	1	3.3	100.0	1	3.3	0.0	0	0.0
2015	100.0	3	3.0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	3	3.0
2016	0.0	3	4.8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	4.8

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:09cv00903	Granted	Plaintiff	2.6	4/29/15		
1:10cv00625	Granted	Defendant	3.3	3/21/14		
1:11cv00176	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.6	3/25/13		
1:11cv01305	Granted	Plaintiff	1.4	9/11/15		


1:12cv01595	Denied	Plaintiff	4.8	2/12/16
1:12cv01600	Denied	Plaintiff	4.8	2/12/16
1:12cv01778	Granted	Plaintiff	4.9	7/8/15
1:13cv00920	Denied	Plaintiff	4.8	2/12/16

Markman Decision

There were 201 Markman Decision contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 26.4. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Markman Decision



	Total			Unknown		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	0.0	201	26.4	0.0	201	26.4
2009	0.0	4	28.4	0.0	4	28.4
2010	0.0	5	13.6	0.0	5	13.6
2011	0.0	11	24.4	0.0	11	24.4
2012	0.0	19	25.8	0.0	19	25.8
2013	0.0	13	25.6	0.0	13	25.6
2014	0.0	9	20.6	0.0	9	20.6
2015	0.0	24	26.6	0.0	24	26.6
2016	0.0	26	32.4	0.0	26	32.4
2017	0.0	20	25.5	0.0	20	25.5
2018	0.0	19	22.0	0.0	19	22.0
2019	0.0	26	29.6	0.0	26	29.6
2020	0.0	11	24.1	0.0	11	24.1
2021	0.0	13	29.0	0.0	13	29.0
2022	0.0	1	34.0	0.0	1	34.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:04cv01436	Markman Decision	Unknown	60.1	11/13/09		
1:07cv00805	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.8	5/4/09		
1:09cv00598	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.4	2/23/11		
1:09cv00605	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.5	12/27/10		
1:09cv00943	Markman Decision	Unknown	14.7	2/28/11		
1:07cv00351	Markman Decision	Unknown	45.6	3/24/11		
1:09cv00161	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.3	3/22/11		
1:10cv00892	Markman Decision	Unknown	5.2	3/24/11		
1:09cv00585	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.0	9/7/11		
1:10cv00023	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.6	8/29/11		
1:10cv00136	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.3	8/1/11		
1:08cv00627	Markman Decision	Unknown	39.1	12/29/11		
1:09cv00190	Markman Decision	Unknown	33.2	12/29/11		
1:09cv01007	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.4	12/12/11		
1:08cv00021	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.7	7/2/09		
1:08cv00309	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.9	12/18/09		
1:09cv00152	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.4	6/18/10		
1:09cv00184	Markman Decision	Unknown	13.8	5/12/10		
1:09cv00347	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.7	6/3/10		
1:09cv00495	Markman Decision	Unknown	9.7	4/28/10		
1:09cv00525	Markman Decision	Unknown	30.3	1/25/12		
1:09cv00651	Markman Decision	Unknown	32.6	5/16/12		
1:09cv00865	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.1	2/17/12		
1:10cv00063	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.5	5/11/12		
1:10cv00502	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.6	7/27/12		
1:11cv00733	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.2	8/22/12		
1:07cv00127	Markman Decision	Unknown	59.1	2/1/12		

1:09cv00354	Markman Decision	Unknown	38.8	8/7/12
1:10cv00045	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.7	2/13/12
1:10cv00282	Markman Decision	Unknown	28.0	8/7/12
1:10cv00915	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.2	2/2/12
1:10cv00982	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.7	8/7/12
1:11cv00409	Markman Decision	Unknown	14.2	7/16/12
1:10cv01067	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.1	12/12/12
1:11cv00379	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.3	12/5/12
1:11cv00595	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.1	12/7/12
1:11cv00704	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.8	12/3/12
1:11cv00117	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.0	12/4/12
1:10cv00004	Markman Decision	Unknown	35.7	12/28/12
1:10cv00004	Markman Decision	Unknown	37.5	2/19/13
1:10cv00389	Markman Decision	Unknown	39.9	9/4/13
1:10cv00849	Markman Decision	Unknown	33.2	7/12/13
1:10cv01065	Markman Decision	Unknown	31.6	7/26/13
1:11cv00318	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.5	7/25/13
1:11cv00582	Markman Decision	Unknown	26.6	9/17/13
1:11cv00652	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.5	5/7/13
1:11cv01106	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.7	7/30/13
1:11cv01249	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.8	9/9/13
1:11cv01282	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.2	7/29/13
1:12cv00463	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.4	7/25/13
1:12cv00540	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.9	8/28/13
1:12cv00081	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.8	12/19/13
1:12cv00993	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.8	3/21/14
1:13cv01084	Markman Decision	Unknown	11.4	5/28/14
1:13cv01792	Markman Decision	Unknown	7.5	6/16/14
1:12cv00210	Markman Decision	Unknown	31.2	9/29/14
1:12cv00193	Markman Decision	Unknown	37.2	3/24/15
1:12cv00804	Markman Decision	Unknown	30.3	12/31/14

1:12cv00964	Markman Decision	Unknown	29.4	12/31/14
1:12cv01264	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.7	1/26/15
1:12cv01726	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.7	11/10/14
1:13cv00355	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.1	8/7/14
1:13cv00723	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.8	3/20/15
1:13cv00864	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.4	9/29/14
1:13cv01279	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.7	3/17/15
1:13cv01631	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.7	3/24/15
1:13cv01634	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.7	3/24/15
1:13cv01637	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.7	3/24/15
1:10cv00625	Markman Decision	Unknown	58.7	6/15/15
1:12cv00104	Markman Decision	Unknown	41.2	7/7/15
1:12cv01743	Markman Decision	Unknown	30.5	7/7/15
1:13cv00303	Markman Decision	Unknown	28.2	6/30/15
1:13cv01206	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.1	5/14/15
1:13cv01538	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.9	6/8/15
1:14cv00365	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.5	8/5/15
1:14cv00432	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.0	8/6/15
1:13cv00846	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.4	8/26/15
1:13cv00854	Markman Decision	Unknown	27.0	8/14/15
1:13cv01421	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.9	8/11/15
1:13cv01853	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.6	8/24/15
1:14cv00436	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.3	10/16/15
1:14cv01063	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.0	11/19/15
1:10cv00502	Markman Decision	Unknown	62.0	8/10/15
1:13cv01003	Markman Decision	Unknown	31.9	2/2/16
1:13cv01987	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.5	12/16/15
1:14cv00121	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.1	1/5/16
1:14cv00848	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.0	1/28/16
1:14cv01115	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.9	2/29/16
1:14cv01445	Markman Decision	Unknown	13.5	1/15/16

1:04cv01371	Markman Decision	Unknown	137.1	3/24/16
1:12cv01778	Markman Decision	Unknown	40.0	4/29/16
1:13cv00440	Markman Decision	Unknown	35.7	3/10/16
1:14cv00028	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.7	3/7/16
1:14cv00882	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.3	3/16/16
1:14cv01453	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.3	5/12/16
1:09cv00903	Markman Decision	Unknown	78.9	6/23/16
1:13cv01668	Markman Decision	Unknown	34.2	8/12/16
1:14cv01225	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.5	7/8/16
1:14cv01499	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.3	8/29/16
1:15cv00232	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.6	6/30/16
1:15cv00249	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.5	7/5/16
1:13cv01538	Markman Decision	Unknown	34.5	7/22/16
1:11cv00339	Markman Decision	Unknown	66.7	11/4/16
1:13cv01703	Markman Decision	Unknown	37.0	11/15/16
1:14cv00846	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.5	12/16/15
1:14cv01203	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.6	11/3/16
1:15cv00164	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.7	9/7/16
1:10cv00433	Markman Decision	Unknown	79.6	1/10/17
1:13cv01231	Markman Decision	Unknown	41.1	12/13/16
1:14cv00877	Markman Decision	Unknown	31.5	2/17/17
1:15cv00438	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.0	12/27/16
1:15cv00871	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.8	2/16/17
1:15cv01103	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.2	12/6/16
1:15cv00669	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.5	3/15/17
1:15cv01158	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.4	5/30/17
1:15cv01168	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.6	4/7/17
1:16cv00152	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.2	3/15/17
1:16cv00207	Markman Decision	Unknown	13.3	5/9/17
1:09cv01007	Markman Decision	Unknown	88.9	5/30/17
1:15cv00424	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.1	6/27/17


1:16cv00122	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.1	8/3/17
1:15cv00819	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.8	11/8/17
1:15cv00980	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.5	9/11/17
1:16cv00187	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.5	11/6/17
1:16cv00221	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.6	10/23/17
1:16cv00224	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.2	10/10/17
1:16cv00259	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.2	9/19/17
1:16cv00284	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.5	11/6/17
1:16cv01003	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.8	11/21/17
1:16cv00129	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.2	11/9/17
1:17cv01729	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.1	1/26/18
1:15cv00379	Markman Decision	Unknown	35.5	4/27/18
1:16cv01082	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.4	5/7/18
1:16cv01280	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.0	5/22/18
1:16cv00187	Markman Decision	Unknown	26.3	5/31/18
1:14cv00353	Markman Decision	Unknown	51.0	6/18/18
1:16cv01023	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.9	6/1/18
1:17cv00365	Markman Decision	Unknown	14.1	6/5/18
1:17cv00712	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.9	7/6/18
1:17cv00775	Markman Decision	Unknown	15.4	9/28/18
1:15cv00042	Markman Decision	Unknown	46.0	11/14/18
1:16cv00894	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.4	10/15/18
1:17cv00082	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.4	9/13/18
1:17cv00158	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.3	10/25/18
1:17cv00189	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.5	10/9/18
1:17cv01212	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.4	9/5/18
1:17cv01386	Markman Decision	Unknown	12.9	10/30/18
1:13cv00237	Markman Decision	Unknown	71.4	1/29/19
1:17cv00871	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.9	12/28/18
1:17cv01086	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.8	12/26/18
1:17cv01687	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.6	1/25/19

1:17cv00823	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.3	3/7/19
1:18cv00699	Markman Decision	Unknown	10.0	3/8/19
1:18cv01043	Markman Decision	Unknown	10.6	6/5/19
1:17cv00775	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.4	5/30/19
1:13cv01353	Markman Decision	Unknown	67.2	3/4/19
1:17cv00275	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.7	3/6/19
1:17cv01353	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.3	3/6/19
1:18cv00211	Markman Decision	Unknown	28.6	3/5/19
1:18cv00088	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.1	7/16/19
1:18cv00390	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.8	9/5/19
1:12cv01595	Markman Decision	Unknown	80.6	8/19/19
1:17cv01194	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.7	6/17/19
1:17cv01646	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.7	6/6/19
1:17cv01730	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.2	6/7/19
1:17cv01843	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.2	8/29/19
1:18cv00021	Markman Decision	Unknown	28.2	6/11/19
1:18cv00172	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.0	8/2/19
1:18cv00412	Markman Decision	Unknown	14.6	6/4/19
1:18cv00463	Markman Decision	Unknown	78.1	6/10/19
1:18cv01288	Markman Decision	Unknown	11.3	8/2/19
1:16cv00706	Markman Decision	Unknown	40.1	12/16/19
1:17cv01302	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.7	11/5/19
1:19cv00742	Markman Decision	Unknown	7.1	11/26/19
1:14cv01115	Markman Decision	Unknown	63.2	12/9/19
1:18cv02012	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.3	4/27/20
1:19cv00474	Markman Decision	Unknown	11.1	2/7/20
1:18cv00088	Markman Decision	Unknown	25.2	2/18/20
1:14cv01430	Markman Decision	Unknown	64.7	4/13/20
1:19cv00353	Markman Decision	Unknown	11.5	2/4/20
1:18cv00588	Markman Decision	Unknown	29.1	9/21/20
1:19cv00342	Markman Decision	Unknown	19.2	9/24/20

1:19cv00956	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.4	11/2/20
1:18cv00886	Markman Decision	Unknown	30.5	12/28/20
1:18cv01949	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.6	12/28/20
1:19cv00361	Markman Decision	Unknown	23.6	2/9/21
1:19cv01293	Markman Decision	Unknown	16.0	11/9/20
1:19cv01539	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.3	1/25/21
1:19cv01695	Markman Decision	Unknown	64.2	2/22/21
1:17cv01648	Markman Decision	Unknown	42.7	6/7/21
1:18cv01127	Markman Decision	Unknown	33.6	5/20/21
1:19cv01126	Markman Decision	Unknown	22.7	5/10/21
1:19cv01590	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.4	5/10/21
1:20cv00125	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.0	6/28/21
1:16cv00706	Markman Decision	Unknown	57.0	5/12/21
1:19cv01938	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.5	7/27/21
1:20cv01123	Markman Decision	Unknown	11.3	8/4/21
1:19cv02100	Markman Decision	Unknown	24.4	11/16/21
1:20cv00224	Markman Decision	Unknown	21.3	11/22/21
1:19cv00742	Markman Decision	Unknown	34.0	2/24/22
1:15cv00137	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.6	10/28/16

Permanent Injunction

There were 8 Permanent Injunction contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 37.5%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 10.6. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	37.5	8	10.6	0.0	2	5.6	50.0	6	12.3
2011	100.0	1	3.4	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	1	3.4
2012	0.0	1	45.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	45.0
2014	33.3	3	7.1	0.0	1	5.1	50.0	2	8.1
2016	0.0	1	4.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	4.7
2019	0.0	1	6.1	0.0	1	6.1	0.0	0	0.0
2022	100.0	1	4.1	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	1	4.1


The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00170	Denied	Plaintiff	45.0	12/3/12		
1:09cv00347	Granted	Plaintiff	3.4	4/21/11		
1:08cv00309	Granted	Plaintiff	8.2	6/30/14		
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	8.1	3/25/14		

1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	5.1	6/16/14
1:12cv00540	Denied	Plaintiff	4.7	12/5/16
1:12cv00540	Denied	Defendant	6.1	7/22/19
1:21cv00730	Granted	Plaintiff	4.1	3/7/22


Post-trial

There were 54 Post-trial contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 22.2%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 6.9. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



Post-trial

There were 54 Post-trial contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 22.2%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 6.9. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	22.2	54	6.9	26.4	36	6.8	13.9	18	7.1
2011	20.8	12	5.9	18.8	8	6.0	25.0	4	5.8
2012	25.0	2	22.1	25.0	2	22.1	0.0	0	0.0
2013	0.0	8	9.3	0.0	2	8.7	0.0	6	9.4
2014	33.3	3	5.3	0.0	1	7.8	50.0	2	4.1
2015	28.6	7	5.1	28.6	7	5.1	0.0	0	0.0
2016	0.0	5	7.7	0.0	3	7.7	0.0	2	7.8
2017	50.0	2	1.2	100.0	1	0.7	0.0	1	1.8
2018	62.5	4	8.0	62.5	4	8.0	0.0	0	0.0
2019	0.0	4	5.7	0.0	3	4.7	0.0	1	8.6
2020	35.7	7	5.4	40.0	5	4.7	25.0	2	7.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00170	Denied	Defendant	44.0	12/3/12		
1:08cv00862	Denied	Plaintiff	6.6	3/14/11		
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	6.6	3/14/11		
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	6.6	3/14/11		
1:08cv00862	Granted	Defendant	6.6	3/14/11		
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	6.6	3/14/11		
1:07cv00753	Denied	Defendant	6.7	2/23/11		
1:07cv00468	Granted	Plaintiff	7.9	9/26/11		
1:07cv00468	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.9	9/26/11		
1:09cv00347	Denied	Defendant	3.4	4/21/11		
1:09cv00347	Denied	Defendant	3.4	4/21/11		
1:09cv00347	Denied	Plaintiff	3.4	4/21/11		
1:06cv00601	Denied	Plaintiff	5.3	9/8/11		
1:06cv00113	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	0.1	2/8/12		
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13		
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	9.4	3/29/13		
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13		
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13		

1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	3/29/13	
1:09cv00651	Denied	Defendant	8.0	9/30/13	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Defendant	7.8	3/25/14	
1:09cv00525	Granted	Plaintiff	4.1	9/17/14	
1:09cv00525	Denied	Plaintiff	4.1	9/17/14	
1:09cv00598	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	10.4	3/30/15	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	6.1	5/18/15	
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	7.7	9/22/15	
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	7.5	9/22/15	
1:10cv01067	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.3	4/22/15	35 USC 101
1:12cv01581	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.3	4/22/15	35 USC 101
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	8.1	3/10/16	Noninfringement; Invalidation; Damages
1:12cv00540	Denied	Plaintiff	7.4	3/24/16	
1:12cv00540	Denied	Defendant	6.8	3/24/16	
1:13cv00723	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	8.1	9/26/16	
1:13cv00723	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	8.1	9/26/16	
1:14cv00846	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	11.8	2/16/18	Lack of Enablement - Granted
1:11cv00515	Denied	Plaintiff	1.8	9/20/17	
1:13cv00723	Granted	Defendant	0.7	9/1/17	
1:14cv00878	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.1	3/28/18	
1:13cv00723	Granted	Defendant	6.2	3/30/18	
1:15cv00819	Denied	Plaintiff	8.6	3/26/19	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	6.1	7/22/19	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	4.3	7/31/19	
1:16cv00284	Denied	Defendant	3.8	7/18/19	
1:13cv01353	Denied	Defendant	3.4	7/7/20	
1:13cv01353	Denied	Defendant	3.4	7/7/20	Damages
1:17cv00189	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	10.4	11/30/20	
1:17cv01353	Denied	Plaintiff	3.5	8/13/20	
1:17cv01353	Denied	Defendant	3.5	8/13/20	Noninfringement; Indefiniteness 258


1:19cv00742	Granted	Defendant	2.8	6/3/20	
1:12cv01581	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.3	4/22/15	35 USC 101
1:14cv00878	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.1	3/28/18	
1:17cv00189	Granted	Defendant	10.4	11/30/20	

Preliminary Injunction

There were 25 Preliminary Injunction contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 16.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 4.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Preliminary Injunction



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	16.0	25	4.2	0.0	1	0.3	16.7	24	4.4
2008	0.0	1	9.3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	9.3
2011	50.0	2	1.3	0.0	0	0.0	50.0	2	1.3
2013	0.0	1	1.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	1.7
2014	33.3	3	1.6	0.0	1	0.3	50.0	2	2.3
2015	100.0	1	7.3	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	1	7.3
2016	0.0	1	12.5	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	12.5
2017	0.0	3	4.8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	4.8
2018	0.0	6	4.8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	4.8
2019	33.3	3	3.5	0.0	0	0.0	33.3	3	3.5
2020	0.0	1	0.4	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.4
2021	0.0	1	2.4	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	2.4
2022	0.0	2	5.3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	5.3

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00633	Denied	Plaintiff	9.3	11/4/08		
1:11cv00142	Granted	Plaintiff	1.4	4/20/11		
1:09cv00769	Denied	Plaintiff	1.2	5/26/11		
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	8/22/13		
1:13cv01792	Denied	Defendant	0.3	2/7/14		
1:14cv00181	Granted	Plaintiff	4.0	6/13/14		
1:08cv00627	Denied	Plaintiff	0.5	6/6/14		
1:14cv00721	Granted	Plaintiff	7.3	1/26/15		
1:15cv00819	Denied	Plaintiff	12.5	9/29/16		
1:15cv01158	Denied	Plaintiff	9.8	8/7/17		
1:16cv00706	Denied	Plaintiff	3.9	6/8/17		
1:16cv00284	Denied	Plaintiff	0.8	10/16/17		
1:17cv01390	Denied	Plaintiff	11.6	9/25/18		
1:17cv01212	Denied	Plaintiff	3.6	4/10/18		
1:17cv01687	Denied	Plaintiff	5.7	8/2/18		
1:18cv00588	Denied	Plaintiff	7.5	12/17/18		
1:18cv01288	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	8/31/18		
1:17cv01777	Denied	Plaintiff	0.2	8/20/18		
1:18cv01043	Granted	Plaintiff	4.1	6/24/19		
1:18cv01306	Denied	Plaintiff	3.2	3/14/19		
1:19cv00742	Denied	Plaintiff	3.1	8/7/19		
1:20cv01492	Denied	Plaintiff	0.4	11/13/20		
1:21cv00129	Denied	Plaintiff	9.2	2/22/22		
1:21cv01015	Denied	Plaintiff	2.4	9/24/21		
1:21cv00196	Denied	Plaintiff	1.4	1/28/22		

Remand Motion

There were 1 Remand Motion contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 100.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 9.1. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Remand Motion



	Total			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	100.0	1	9.1	100.0	1	9.1
2022	100.0	1	9.1	100.0	1	9.1

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.


Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:21cv00844	Granted	Plaintiff	9.1	3/29/22		

Sanctions/Attorneys Fees

There were 42 Sanctions/Attorneys Fees contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 29.8%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 8.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Sanctions/Attorneys Fees




Sanctions/Attorneys Fees

There were 42 Sanctions/Attorneys Fees contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 29.8%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 8.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Sanctions/Attorneys Fees



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	29.8	42	8.3	37.5	28	8.2	18.2	11	9.6
2012	25.0	6	14.3	30.0	5	8.1	0.0	1	45.0
2013	100.0	1	6.5	100.0	1	6.5	0.0	0	0.0
2014	0.0	4	5.8	0.0	2	7.1	0.0	2	4.6
2015	25.0	6	9.2	33.3	3	12.0	25.0	2	7.6
2016	16.7	6	5.5	33.3	3	4.9	0.0	2	5.7
2017	25.0	4	7.7	50.0	2	14.1	0.0	1	2.2
2018	100.0	1	11.4	100.0	1	11.4	0.0	0	0.0
2019	31.3	8	6.4	33.3	6	6.9	25.0	2	4.9
2020	50.0	2	13.3	0.0	1	13.7	100.0	1	12.9
2021	50.0	2	7.8	50.0	2	7.8	0.0	0	0.0
2022	50.0	2	4.4	50.0	2	4.4	0.0	0	0.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00170	Denied	Plaintiff	45.0	12/3/12	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:04cv01337	Denied	Defendant	13.3	3/30/12	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:04cv01337	Denied	Defendant	13.3	3/30/12	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:09cv00769	Denied	Defendant	11.7	7/23/12	Sanctions	Rule 11
1:09cv00865	Granted	Defendant	2.1	2/23/12	Sanctions	
1:06cv00113	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	0.1	2/8/12	Sanctions	Rule 37
1:11cv01305	Granted	Defendant	6.5	3/29/13	Sanctions	
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	8.5	3/25/14	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:11cv00652	Denied	Defendant	7.8	3/25/14	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:09cv00865	Denied	Defendant	6.4	7/9/14	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:09cv00598	Denied	Plaintiff	10.6	3/30/15	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:11cv00704	Denied	Plaintiff	0.7	6/17/14	Sanctions	
1:07cv00127	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	13.6	9/30/15	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:13cv01601	Granted	Defendant	12.8	9/30/15	Attorney Fees	Dismissal Motion
1:13cv00239	Denied without Prejudice	Third Party	3.6	5/5/15	Sanctions	
1:13cv01455	Denied	Defendant	9.7	9/30/15	Attorney Fees	


1:12cv00081	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	4.6	6/5/15	Sanctions	Discovery
1:07cv00127	Granted	Defendant	21.6	9/1/17	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:13cv00287	Denied	Third Party	7.2	3/28/16	Sanctions	Rule 11
1:13cv00846	Denied	Defendant	11.3	9/27/16	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:11cv00515	Denied	Plaintiff	6.7	7/27/16	Sanctions	
1:13cv01601	Granted	Defendant	0.1	1/15/16		
1:13cv00304	Denied	Defendant	3.2	8/17/16	Sanctions	Rule 37
1:12cv00540	Denied	Plaintiff	4.7	12/5/16	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	2.2	1/10/17	Sanctions	
1:14cv01203	Denied	Defendant	6.7	10/16/17	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:13cv00239	Denied	Third Party	0.3	8/25/17	Sanctions	
1:12cv01581	Granted	Defendant	11.4	9/24/18	Attorney Fees	Exceptional Case
1:15cv00164	Granted	Defendant	14.2	3/27/19	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:15cv00193	Granted	Defendant	14.2	3/27/19	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:15cv00819	Denied	Defendant	8.6	3/26/19	Attorney Fees	Exceptional Case
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	6.1	7/22/19	Attorney Fees	Exceptional Case
1:16cv00284	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.8	7/18/19	Attorney Fees	Exceptional Case
1:15cv00379	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.9	10/23/19	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:15cv00788	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.9	10/23/19	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:17cv01086	Denied	Defendant	2.3	8/27/19	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:18cv00588	Granted	Plaintiff	12.9	9/25/20	Sanctions	
1:17cv00546	Denied	Defendant	13.7	11/30/20	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:13cv00335	Granted	Defendant	6.2	9/3/21	Attorney Fees	Post-Judgment
1:18cv00699	Denied	Defendant	9.4	9/3/21	Attorneys Fees	Exceptional Case
1:15cv00788	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.0	2/3/22	Attorneys Fees	35 USC 285
1:17cv00775	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	2.9	2/3/22	Attorneys Fees	35 USC 285

Sever

There were 19 Sever contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 57.9%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 4.5. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Sever



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	57.9	19	4.5	50.0	16	4.9	100.0	3	2.4
2012	66.7	6	4.8	66.7	6	4.8	0.0	0	0.0
2013	100.0	1	8.7	100.0	1	8.7	0.0	0	0.0
2014	40.0	5	2.8	25.0	4	3.1	100.0	1	1.4
2016	50.0	1	4.2	50.0	1	4.2	0.0	0	0.0
2017	100.0	1	4.9	0.0	0	0.0	100.0	1	4.9
2018	50.0	2	6.3	50.0	2	6.3	0.0	0	0.0
2019	75.0	2	5.4	50.0	1	10.0	100.0	1	0.8
2020	0.0	1	1.2	0.0	1	1.2	0.0	0	0.0

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
-------------	----------	--------	----------	------	------	-----


1:10cv00389	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.4	5/4/12
1:10cv00389	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.4	5/4/12
1:11cv00825	Granted	Defendant	6.5	7/2/12
1:11cv00825	Granted	Defendant	5.6	7/2/12
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	2.0	6/5/12
1:10cv01067	Granted	Defendant	3.7	11/21/12
1:12cv00193	Granted	Defendant	8.7	3/26/13
1:13cv01668	Granted	Defendant	9.2	9/25/14
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	1.1	6/3/14
1:08cv00886	Granted	Plaintiff	1.4	11/13/14
1:12cv01581	Denied	Defendant	1.1	6/3/14
1:09cv01007	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.2	10/20/16
1:14cv00846	Denied	Defendant	11.8	2/16/18
1:16cv00284	Granted	Plaintiff	4.9	8/17/17
1:15cv00819	Granted	Defendant	0.8	5/24/18
1:18cv00021	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	10.0	3/31/19
1:19cv00742	Granted	Plaintiff	0.8	9/20/19
1:18cv01962	Denied	Defendant	1.2	12/10/20
1:12cv01581	Denied	Defendant	1.1	6/3/14

Stay

There were 126 Stay contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 43.7%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Stay




Stay

There were 126 Stay contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 43.7%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 3.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Stay



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	43.7	126	3.3	42.2	103	3.5	57.5	20	2.8
2008	50.0	2	2.3	50.0	2	2.3	0.0	0	0.0
2009	0.0	2	2.1	0.0	2	2.1	0.0	0	0.0
2010	33.3	6	3.4	33.3	6	3.4	0.0	0	0.0
2011	71.4	7	3.5	60.0	5	3.0	100.0	2	4.6
2012	33.3	18	4.6	31.3	16	4.9	50.0	2	2.2
2013	68.8	16	2.1	64.3	14	2.3	100.0	2	0.4
2014	35.7	14	4.3	33.3	12	4.9	50.0	2	0.7
2015	30.8	13	2.7	28.6	7	3.2	66.7	3	3.4
2016	28.6	7	3.3	20.0	5	3.0	50.0	2	4.1
2017	22.2	9	4.1	22.2	9	4.1	0.0	0	0.0
2018	33.3	12	3.5	33.3	9	3.2	33.3	3	4.5
2019	64.3	7	4.0	70.0	5	4.4	50.0	2	3.1
2020	66.7	3	0.7	66.7	3	0.7	0.0	0	0.0
2021	72.2	9	2.6	75.0	8	2.6	50.0	1	2.8
2022	0.0	1	0.1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:07cv00602	Granted	Defendant	2.0	1/22/08	Case	Pending Transfer
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	2.6	12/19/08	Case	Pending Reexam
1:08cv00373	Denied	Defendant	2.1	1/27/09	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:06cv00403	Denied	Defendant	3.2	3/29/10	discovery	pending appeal
1:08cv00373	Denied	Defendant	3.2	3/29/10	discovery	pending appeal
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	2.1	12/23/09	Case	Pending Reexam
1:09cv00262	Denied	Defendant	0.2	10/26/10	Discovery	
1:09cv00865	Denied	Defendant	3.1	12/13/10	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv00605	Granted	Defendant	4.2	12/27/10	Case	Pending Reexam
1:09cv00903	Granted	Plaintiff	5.9	7/19/11	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv00136	Denied	Defendant	2.4	2/28/11	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv00433	Granted	Defendant	8.3	10/11/11		Separate Trial
1:10cv00625	Granted	Plaintiff	3.3	4/18/11	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv01024	Granted	Defendant	0.0	3/22/11	Case	
1:09cv00262	Denied	Defendant	0.9	8/25/11	Case	Pending Congressional Action

1:10cv01065	Denied	Defendant	6.3	2/15/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:11cv00176	Granted	Defendant	3.6	11/14/11	Case	Pending Reexam
1:11cv00321	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	5.2	3/5/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	8.1	7/26/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	8.1	7/26/12		35 USC 299
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	8.1	7/26/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:11cv00915	Granted	Defendant	9.1	9/5/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:12cv00199	Granted	Defendant	1.0	6/7/12	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00199	Granted	Defendant	1.4	6/29/12	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00201	Granted	Defendant	0.7	6/4/12	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:09cv00525	Denied	Defendant	2.0	10/31/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:11cv00809	Granted	Defendant	3.7	11/21/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:12cv00574	Denied	Defendant	5.7	11/14/12	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:10cv00389	Denied	Defendant	8.1	7/26/12	Case	Pending Reexam
1:12cv00550	Denied	Defendant	4.0	11/9/12	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00552	Denied	Defendant	3.7	11/9/12	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:12cv00553	Denied	Defendant	3.7	11/9/12	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:11cv01106	Denied	Plaintiff	3.3	6/12/12	Case	Pending Appeal
1:11cv01305	Granted	Plaintiff	1.2	9/20/12	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:12cv00642	Denied	Defendant	0.9	1/25/13	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:11cv00704	Granted	Plaintiff	0.6	3/19/13	Deadlines	
1:12cv00526	Denied	Defendant	2.1	5/3/13	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:12cv00801	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	2.8	6/28/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv00802	Denied	Defendant	2.7	6/28/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv00803	Denied	Defendant	2.9	6/28/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:11cv00339	Granted	Plaintiff	0.3	4/12/13	Case	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:10cv00389	Granted	Defendant	5.0	9/4/13	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:10cv00502	Granted	Defendant	4.5	7/2/13	Case	Pending Reexam
1:12cv00210	Granted	Defendant	7.4	3/5/14	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00213	Granted	Defendant	7.5	3/5/14	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00214	Granted	Defendant	7.5	3/5/14	Case	Pending ITC Proceeding
1:12cv00526	Granted	Defendant	5.8	12/4/13	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:12cv00639	Granted	Defendant	1.5	11/18/13	Discovery	
1:12cv00801	Granted	Defendant	0.7	10/25/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv00801	Granted	Defendant	0.6	10/25/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv00802	Granted	Defendant	0.6	10/25/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv00803	Granted	Defendant	0.9	10/25/13	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:12cv01078	Granted	Defendant	1.5	11/18/13	Discovery	
1:13cv00314	Granted	Defendant	9.8	3/6/14	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:05cv00737	Denied	Defendant	9.8	3/28/14	Execution of Judgment	
1:08cv00371	Granted	Defendant	6.4	7/6/10	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:10cv01065	Denied	Defendant	4.1	3/5/14	Case	Pending Reexam

1:13cv01456	Denied	Defendant	0.1	1/21/14	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:13cv01792	Denied	Defendant	1.1	3/21/14	Case	Pending CBM Review
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	1.1	6/3/14	Case	Pending Reexam
1:11cv00318	Denied	Defendant	1.3	6/24/14		Pending CBM Review
1:13cv00843	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	6.3	10/23/14		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:13cv01084	Denied	Defendant	2.5	5/28/14	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:13cv01792	Denied	Plaintiff	0.0	5/15/14	Discovery	
1:08cv00886	Granted	Plaintiff	1.4	11/13/14	Claims Against Particular Parties	
1:13cv01628	Granted	Plaintiff	7.0	4/28/15	Antitrust Discovery	
1:12cv00540	Denied	Defendant	2.7	4/15/15	Particular Counts	Pending Reexam
1:13cv00440	Granted	Plaintiff	2.1	1/27/15	Patent Misuse Counterclaim	
1:13cv01011	Granted	Defendant	6.2	4/27/15	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:13cv01987	Denied	Third Party	0.9	2/18/15	Discovery	
1:13cv01668	Denied	Defendant	1.7	4/30/15	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:14cv00353	Granted	Defendant	4.1	6/9/15	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:14cv00109	Denied	Third Party	0.9	2/18/15	Discovery	
1:14cv00846	Denied	Third Party	0.9	2/18/15	Discovery	
1:13cv01628	Denied	Defendant	4.4	8/31/15	Case	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:14cv00365	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.8	6/17/15	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	1.5	12/10/15	Case	Pending IPR Appeal; Pending Reissue
1:13cv01538	Denied	Defendant	3.9	3/21/16	Case	Pending Reexam
1:14cv00028	Denied	Plaintiff	1.1	12/21/15	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:15cv00151	Granted	Plaintiff	5.7	5/2/16	Particular Counts	Pending FWD Appeal
1:14cv01225	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	2.4	5/9/16	Case	Pending FDA NDA Proceedings
1:14cv01445	Denied	Defendant	9.2	1/27/17		Pending Inter Partes Review; Pending CBM Review
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	4.9	12/30/16	Claims Against Particular Parties	Customer Suit Exception
1:13cv01668	Denied	Defendant	1.7	10/24/16	Case	Pending Arbitration
1:15cv00595	Granted	Defendant	3.8	11/7/16	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:15cv00379	Denied	Defendant	0.7	12/5/16	Deadlines	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:16cv00452	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	11.8	9/28/17	Proceedings on Motion	Pending Related Litigation
1:16cv00903	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.1	9/28/17	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:14cv01445	Granted	Defendant	1.6	1/27/17		Pending CBM Review

1:10cv00433	Denied	Plaintiff	7.9	3/29/18	Proceedings on Motion	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:15cv00424	Denied	Defendant	0.9	8/14/17	Discovery	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:15cv01158	Denied	Defendant	1.1	9/20/17		Pending Appeal
1:16cv00187	Denied	Defendant	1.9	10/27/17		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:17cv00083	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.8	9/15/17	Case	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:17cv00087	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.5	9/15/17	Case	Pending Ruling on Motion
1:17cv00807	Granted	Plaintiff	3.2	2/15/18		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:16cv00221	Granted	Defendant	3.9	3/8/18	Discovery on Willfulness	Pending Liability Determination
1:16cv00187	Denied	Defendant	0.8	3/9/18		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:17cv00600	Denied	Defendant	4.3	4/26/18		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:17cv01646	Denied	Defendant	8.5	9/7/18		Pending ITC Proceeding
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	8.5	9/7/18		Pending ITC Proceeding
1:17cv01729	Denied	Defendant	0.8	1/8/18		Pending Inter Partes Review
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	0.5	2/16/18	Deadlines	Pending New Counsel
1:15cv00819	Granted	Defendant	0.8	5/24/18	Particular Counts	Pending Related Litigation
1:16cv00221	Denied	Plaintiff	2.3	7/27/18	Discovery	Pending Bifurcated Issues
1:18cv00021	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	10.0	3/31/19	Arbitration Proceeding	
1:17cv00600	Granted	Defendant	0.3	7/5/18	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:16cv00706	Granted	Plaintiff	4.7	3/18/19		Pending ITC Proceeding
1:18cv00323	Granted	Defendant	2.8	2/5/19	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:18cv01306	Denied	Defendant	4.0	3/14/19	Case	Pending Arbitration
1:17cv00871	Granted	Defendant	2.9	3/20/19		Pending IPR
1:17cv00247	Denied	Plaintiff	1.4	7/26/19	Particular Counts	Pending IPR
1:18cv02037	Granted	Defendant	2.2	11/5/19	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:17cv01390	Denied	Defendant	1.7	6/9/20	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:17cv01646	Granted	Defendant	0.3	2/20/20	Order	Pending Resolution of Objections
1:17cv01647	Granted	Defendant	0.1	2/20/20	Order	Pending Ruling on Objections
1:15cv01168	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.8	2/17/21	Case	Pending Certiorari
1:19cv00361	Granted	Defendant	3.1	5/13/21	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:20cv00832	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.9	3/18/21	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:20cv01524	Granted	Defendant	4.3	4/28/21	Case	Pending Related Litigation
1:20cv00371	Granted	Defendant	6.0	10/29/21	Particular Counts	Colorado River Abstention


1:20cv01678	Granted	Defendant	1.8	5/13/21	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:21cv00295	Granted	Defendant	2.3	9/3/21	Case	Pending Reexam
1:21cv00531	Granted	Defendant	0.1	5/28/21	Case	Pending USITC Proceeding
1:19cv00742	Denied	Defendant	2.0	12/6/21	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:20cv00224	Denied	Plaintiff	0.1	2/3/22	Discovery	Pending Ruling on Motion

Summary Judgment

There were 293 Summary Judgment contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 38.4%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 7.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Summary Judgment




Summary Judgment

There were 293 Summary Judgment contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 38.4%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 7.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Summary Judgment



	Total			Defendant			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	38.4	293	7.2	38.5	208	7.6	38.2	85	6.3
2008	0.0	5	11.6	0.0	4	10.9	0.0	1	14.4
2010	3.3	15	7.5	0.0	10	9.0	10.0	5	4.5
2011	25.0	16	7.8	21.4	14	8.7	50.0	2	1.3
2012	48.2	28	5.5	52.3	22	5.7	33.3	6	4.6
2013	56.7	15	8.3	50.0	13	8.0	100.0	2	10.1
2014	52.3	44	12.2	48.6	35	12.1	66.7	9	12.7
2015	47.2	18	7.2	41.7	12	6.7	58.3	6	8.0
2016	24.1	29	6.1	27.5	20	6.5	16.7	9	5.1
2017	50.0	33	4.8	54.5	22	4.8	40.9	11	5.0
2018	35.4	24	4.3	42.9	14	4.6	25.0	10	4.0
2019	38.9	18	3.8	50.0	9	4.4	27.8	9	3.3
2020	26.3	38	7.2	18.5	27	7.5	45.5	11	6.7
2021	60.0	5	6.3	75.0	4	7.4	0.0	1	2.0
2022	50.0	5	12.8	50.0	2	12.8	50.0	3	12.8

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:05cv00725	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	14.4	12/16/08	Invalidity	
1:06cv00601	Denied	Defendant	26.8	12/6/10	Invalidity	Anticipation; 102(b)
1:06cv00601	Denied	Defendant	26.8	12/6/10	Invalidity	102
1:09cv00119	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	13.7	10/1/10	Invalidity	112
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	10.0	3/14/11	Invalidity	Anticipation; On-Sale
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	10.0	3/14/11	Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:09cv00152	Denied	Defendant	1.8	5/19/11	Invalidity	
1:09cv00152	Granted	Plaintiff	1.8	5/19/11	Validity	
1:09cv00598	Granted	Defendant	1.0	9/22/11	Invalidity	Best Mode
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	4.1	6/14/12	Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:09cv00190	Denied	Defendant	5.0	11/6/12	Invalidity	indefiniteness; Written Description; Enablement; Best Mode
1:09cv00190	Denied	Plaintiff	5.0	11/6/12	Validity	112; Indefiniteness; Written Description; Enablement; Best Mode

1:08cv00627	Granted	Defendant	21.2	3/28/14	Invalidity	Obviousness
1:09cv00080	Granted	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	Invalidity	Written Description
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	Invalidity	Written Description; Anticipation
1:09cv00525	Denied	Defendant	9.1	9/9/13	Invalidity	Anticipation; Obviousness
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.7	2/1/13	Invalidity	
1:12cv00804	Denied	Defendant	14.3	12/31/14	Invalidity	112(a)
1:12cv00964	Denied	Defendant	14.3	12/31/14	Invalidity	112(a)
1:12cv00081	Denied	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Invalidity	Anticipation; Obviousness
1:12cv00081	Denied	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Invalidity	Anticipation
1:11cv00515	Granted	Plaintiff	14.7	12/2/15	Validity	Enablement; Written Description
1:11cv00515	Denied	Defendant	12.6	9/28/15	Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:11cv00582	Denied	Defendant	2.3	12/22/14	Invalidity	Obviousness
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	3.5	12/8/15	Invalidity	Improper Inventorship; Indefiniteness
1:13cv00304	Denied	Defendant	3.8	8/17/16	Invalidity	Obviousness
1:13cv00304	Denied	Plaintiff	3.8	8/17/16	Invalidity	Anticipation
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Invalidity	Obviousness
1:09cv01007	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	6.2	12/30/16	Validity	102, 112
1:14cv00028	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.2	1/12/17	Invalidity (Denied); Failure to Mark (Granted)	Obviousness; Enablement; Written Description; Double Patenting
1:12cv00104	Denied	Defendant	6.4	6/28/17	Invalidity	Written Description
1:12cv00104	Granted	Defendant	6.4	6/28/17	Invalidity	Enablement
1:12cv00274	Granted	Defendant	0.9	8/15/17	Invalidity	Enablement
1:15cv01168	Denied	Plaintiff	6.6	2/27/18	Validity	PatSubjMatter
1:14cv00353	Granted	Defendant	4.8	6/18/18	Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:16cv00122	Denied	Plaintiff	3.4	6/18/18	Validity	Content of Prior Art
1:16cv00221	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.4	1/28/19	Invalidity	Written Description (GIP); Indefiniteness (Denied); Enablement (Granted)
1:16cv00221	Denied	Plaintiff	3.4	1/28/19	Validity	Enablement
1:15cv01158	Denied	Defendant	1.9	3/21/19	Invalidity	Public Use
1:17cv01386	Denied	Defendant	13.2	10/28/20	Invalidity	Written Description; Enablement
1:18cv00021	Denied	Defendant	4.3	2/7/20	Invalidity	102(g)
1:17cv01616	Denied	Defendant	10.0	10/9/20	Invalidity	
1:11cv00338	Denied	Defendant	10.5	4/30/21	Invalidity	Obviousness
1:17cv01646	Denied	Defendant	6.8	8/14/20	Case	35 USC 101
1:05cv00725	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	14.4	12/16/08	Noninfringement	
1:06cv00726	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	22.9	3/30/11	Non-Infringement	
1:06cv00726	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	22.9	3/30/11	Non-Infringement	

1:08cv00862	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.5	6/28/10	Noninfringement; Limitation of Damages	
1:08cv00862	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.5	6/28/10	No Willfulness	
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	10.0	3/14/11	Noninfringement	Literal
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	5.2	10/5/10	Infringement	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	5.2	10/5/10	Noninfringement	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	5.2	10/5/10	No Willfulness	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	5.2	10/5/10	Noninfringement; Invalidity	
1:09cv00119	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	1.8	10/1/10	Infringement	
1:04cv01436	Granted	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:04cv01436	Granted	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:06cv00404	Granted	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:06cv00404	Granted	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:06cv00404	Granted	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:08cv00371	Denied	Defendant	9.7	3/23/12	Noninfringement	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	4.5	3/13/12	Infringement	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	4.5	3/13/12	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00598	Denied	Defendant	0.8	9/16/11	Noninfringement; No Willfulness	
1:09cv00598	Denied	Plaintiff	0.8	9/16/11	Infringement	
1:08cv00627	Granted	Defendant	2.9	7/9/12	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00865	Granted	Defendant	4.0	5/25/12	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00865	Granted	Defendant	4.0	5/25/12	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	4.1	6/14/12	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00063	Granted	Defendant	3.8	6/5/12	No Willfulness	
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	4.1	6/14/12	Noninfringement	Indirect
1:10cv00063	Denied	Defendant	4.1	6/14/12	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00190	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.0	11/6/12	Noninfringement	
1:09cv01007	Granted	Defendant	14.0	4/10/13	Noninfringement	
1:09cv01007	Granted	Defendant	13.8	4/10/13	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	Noninfringement; Invalidity	
1:09cv00080	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	19.7	4/7/14	Noninfringement - GIP; Invalidity	Literal - Denied; DOE - Granted
1:09cv00080	Granted	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	Noninfringement	
1:09cv00080	Granted	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	No Willfulness	
1:09cv00354	Denied	Defendant	27.1	8/27/14	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00915	Denied	Defendant	5.7	11/21/12	Noninfringement	Doctrine of Equivalents
1:09cv00525	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.1	9/9/13	Noninfringement	
1:05cv00737	Granted	Defendant	2.5	8/20/12		

1:07cv00127	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	18.3	7/29/14	Infringement	
1:07cv00127	Denied	Plaintiff	18.3	7/29/14	Infringement	
1:07cv00127	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	18.3	7/29/14	Noninfringement - Granted; Invalidity - Denied	Written Description; Obviousness
1:07cv00127	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	18.3	7/29/14	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.8	2/1/13	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.7	2/1/13	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00871	Granted	Defendant	7.7	12/30/13	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00081	Denied	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00081	Granted	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	No Willfulness	
1:11cv00318	Granted	Defendant	5.9	9/3/14	Invalidity	101
1:12cv01264	Granted	Defendant	8.4	1/26/15	Noninfringement	
1:11cv00515	Granted	Defendant	14.7	12/2/15	No Willfulness	
1:11cv00515	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	12.6	9/28/15	Noninfringement	
1:11cv00582	Denied	Defendant	2.3	12/22/14	Noninfringement	
1:11cv01259	Granted	Defendant	4.1	11/3/14	Noninfringement	
1:12cv00540	Granted	Plaintiff	3.0	4/23/15	Validity; Infringement	Preclusion
1:13cv00723	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.5	12/8/15	Noninfringement	
1:13cv00304	Denied	Defendant	4.1	8/25/16	Noninfringement	
1:13cv00304	Denied	Plaintiff	4.1	8/25/16	Infringement	
1:13cv00843	Denied	Defendant	7.3	10/18/16	Noninfringement; Invalidity; No Willfulness	Indefiniteness
1:13cv01538	Granted	Defendant	5.0	8/15/16	Noninfringement	
1:13cv01541	Granted	Defendant	5.0	8/15/16	Noninfringement	
1:13cv01544	Granted	Defendant	5.0	8/15/16	Noninfringement	
1:09cv01007	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Noninfringement (Denied); No Willfulness (Granted)	
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Noninfringement	Direct
1:09cv01007	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	12/30/16	Infringement	
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Noninfringement	
1:14cv00028	Denied	Plaintiff	6.2	1/12/17	Infringement; Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct

1:09cv00080	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.1	10/31/16	Noninfringement - GIP; Limitation on Damages - Denied; No Willfulness - Granted; Content of Prior Art - Denied; Invalidity - Denied	Literal - Denied; DOE - GIP; Indefiniteness; Obviousness
1:13cv00440	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.8	2/13/17	Noninfringement (Granted); Limitation on Damages (Denied); No Willfulness (Granted)	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	3.6	1/10/17	No Willfulness	
1:13cv00723	Denied	Plaintiff	3.6	1/10/17	No Willfulness	
1:11cv00338	Granted	Defendant	8.5	8/22/17	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00137	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.1	9/18/17	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00137	Denied	Defendant	7.1	9/18/17	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00137	Denied	Defendant	7.1	9/18/17	Noninfringement	
1:15cv01168	Granted	Defendant	6.6	2/27/18		35 USC 101
1:15cv01168	Granted	Defendant	2.9	2/27/18		35 USC 101
1:17cv00775	Denied	Defendant	9.0	9/28/18	Noninfringement	Doctrine of Equivalent
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	1.5	10/9/18	Noninfringement	Induced
1:16cv00284	Denied	Defendant	3.5	12/17/18	Noninfringement	
1:16cv00284	Denied	Defendant	2.9	11/27/18	No Willfulness	
1:13cv00335	Granted	Defendant	10.5	8/6/19	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00379	Denied	Plaintiff	3.7	2/14/19	Infringement	
1:15cv00379	Granted	Defendant	3.7	2/14/19	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00788	Denied	Plaintiff	3.7	2/14/19	Infringement	
1:15cv00788	Granted	Defendant	3.7	2/14/19	Noninfringement	
1:16cv00221	Granted	Defendant	3.4	1/28/19	Noninfringement	
1:16cv00221	Granted	Plaintiff	2.6	1/3/19	Infringement	
1:15cv01158	Denied	Defendant	1.8	3/19/19	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00379	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	5.7	9/12/19	Noninfringement	
1:12cv01599	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	6.6	3/2/20	Noninfringement	License
1:13cv01353	Granted	Plaintiff	5.1	1/14/20	Limitation on Damages	Actual Notice
1:17cv01386	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	13.2	10/28/20	Noninfringement (GIP); Invalidity (Denied)	Literal (Denied); DOE (Granted); Obviousness (Denied)
1:18cv00021	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.3	2/7/20	No Willfulness	
1:17cv01194	Denied	Defendant	7.5	7/31/20	Noninfringement; Invalidity	Obviousness; Indefiniteness; 279 Enablement

1:17cv01390	Granted	Defendant	5.7	3/31/20	Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:17cv01390	Denied	Plaintiff	8.0	6/9/20	Infringement	
1:17cv01616	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	10.0	10/9/20	Noninfringement	
1:17cv01616	Denied	Defendant	10.0	10/9/20	No Willful Infringement	
1:18cv00172	Denied	Defendant	3.7	5/8/20	Noninfringement	
1:11cv00338	Granted	Defendant	10.5	4/30/21	Noninfringement	
1:14cv01115	Granted	Defendant	5.0	9/9/20	Noninfringement	
1:16cv00706	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.9	4/17/20	Noninfringement; Limitation on Damages; No Willfulness	
1:16cv00706	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	1.9	4/17/20	Infringement; Validity	
1:17cv01646	Denied	Defendant	6.8	8/14/20	Noninfringement	
1:17cv01646	Denied	Defendant	6.8	8/14/20	Noninfringement	Direct
1:17cv01646	Denied	Plaintiff	6.8	8/14/20	Infringement	
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	8.2	10/8/20	Invalidity	Enablement; Written Description
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	8.2	10/8/20	Noninfringement	
1:17cv01647	Denied	Defendant	8.2	10/8/20	Noninfringement; Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:12cv01602	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	12.8	3/31/22	Noninfringement	
1:16cv00706	Denied	Plaintiff	2.0	8/3/21	Infringement	
1:05cv00725	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	14.4	12/16/08	Infringement	
1:05cv00725	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	14.4	12/16/08	Limitation on Damages	Failure to Mark
1:07cv00385	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.3	8/14/08	No Ownership; No Standing	
1:07cv00575	Granted	Defendant	18.6	9/28/11	Noninfringement; Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:08cv00862	Denied	Defendant	10.0	3/14/11	Validity	Anticipation; On-Sale
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	5.2	10/5/10	Unenforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	5.2	10/5/10	Limitation on Damages	
1:08cv00309	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.2	10/5/10	Estoppel	
1:09cv00119	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.8	10/1/10	Limitation on Damages	Foreign Sales
1:09cv00119	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.8	10/1/10	Limitation on Damages	Third Party
1:09cv00769	Granted	Defendant	5.9	8/2/11	Section 1498 Defense	

1:06cv00113	Denied	Defendant	6.4	12/7/11	Limitation on Damages	Lost Profits
1:08cv00309	Denied	Defendant	4.5	3/13/12	Invalidity	
1:09cv00598	Denied	Defendant	0.8	9/16/11	Statute of Limitation - Lanham Act; No Trade Dress Violation; no Unfair Competition; No Intentional Interference	
1:09cv00598	Denied	Defendant	0.8	9/16/11	Statute of Limitation - Lanham Act	
1:09cv00769	Granted	Defendant	3.5	5/15/12	Laches; Estoppel; Statute of Limitations	
1:09cv00190	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	5.3	11/6/12	Invalidity	35 USC 305
1:09cv00190	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	5.0	11/6/12	Validity	35 USC 305
1:09cv00190	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.0	11/6/12	No Laches - Granted; No Patent Misuse - Denied	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	19.7	4/7/14	Limitation on Damages	
1:09cv00354	Granted	Defendant	10.1	3/29/13	Laches	
1:09cv00354	Granted	Plaintiff	10.1	3/29/13	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:09cv00354	Granted	Defendant	10.0	3/29/13	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00282	Granted	Defendant	10.1	3/29/13	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:10cv00282	Granted	Plaintiff	10.1	3/29/13	Noninfringement	
1:10cv00433	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	25.9	9/22/14	Covenant Not to Sue - GIP; Lack of Standing - Denied; No Ownership - Denied	
1:10cv00433	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	25.5	9/22/14	No License	
1:10cv00915	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.7	11/21/12	Collateral Estoppel	
1:09cv00525	Denied	Defendant	9.1	9/9/13	Breach of Contract; Ownership; Lack of Standing	
1:05cv00737	Granted	Plaintiff	2.5	8/20/12		
1:07cv00127	Denied	Defendant	14.4	3/31/14	Laches; Patent Marking	
1:07cv00127	Granted	Defendant	18.3	7/29/14	Invalidity	Indefiniteness; Inventorship; Anticipation; On-Sale; Obviousness
1:10cv00004	Denied	Defendant	2.8	2/1/13	Limitation on Damages	

1:10cv00849	Granted	Plaintiff	9.1	7/2/14	No Implied License; No Equitable Estoppel	
1:10cv00849	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	9.1	7/2/14	Implied License - Denied; Infringement - GIP; No Willfulness - Granted	
1:12cv00804	Granted	Defendant	14.5	12/31/14	Limitation on Damages	
1:12cv00964	Granted	Defendant	14.5	12/31/14	Limitation on Damages	
1:12cv01581	Denied	Defendant	10.0	4/14/14	Invalidity; Noninfringement	
1:12cv01581	Granted	Plaintiff	10.0	4/14/14	No Laches; No Equitable Estoppel; No Waiver; Standing; No Patent Exhaustion; No Failure to Join; No Implied License; No Intervening Rights	
1:10cv01065	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.0	4/21/14	Limitation on Damages - GIP; No Willfulness - Granted	
1:12cv00081	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	6.6	9/18/14	No Prosecution History Estoppel - Denied; Validity - Granted	101; Indefiniteness
1:12cv00081	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	6.6	9/18/14	Limitation on Damages	
1:11cv00515	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	14.4	11/24/15	Validity	Anticipation
1:11cv00515	Denied	Defendant	22.5	7/27/16	Laches	
1:11cv00515	Denied	Defendant	8.9	6/9/15	Noninfringement	
1:11cv00582	Denied	Defendant	2.3	12/22/14	Lack of Standing	
1:11cv00582	Granted	Plaintiff	2.3	12/22/14	Standing	
1:11cv01259	Granted	Defendant	4.1	11/3/14	Limitation on Damages	
1:11cv01259	Denied	Defendant	4.1	11/3/14	Invalidity	
1:11cv01259	Denied	Plaintiff	9.4	4/13/15	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:11cv01259	Granted	Plaintiff	4.1	11/3/14		
1:12cv00540	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.3	5/4/15	Infringement - Denied; Validity - Granted; Noninfringement - Granted; Invalidity - Denied	Enablement; Written Descdription; Indefiniteness
1:12cv00540	Granted	Defendant	3.3	5/4/15	Noninfringement; Invalidity	DOE; Literal; Anticipation
1:13cv01231	Denied	Defendant	14.6	6/23/16	Invalidity	Anticipation 282
1:12cv00574	Granted	Defendant	10.8	3/17/16	Noninfringement	

1:13cv00917	Denied	Defendant	4.6	9/21/15	FRAND; Limitation on Damages	
1:09cv00080	Denied	Defendant	2.5	12/1/15	Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:13cv00723	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.5	12/8/15	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:13cv00723	Denied	Defendant	2.9	12/8/15	Limitation on Damages	Lost Profits
1:13cv00723	Granted	Defendant	3.5	12/8/15	No Willfulness	
1:13cv01853	Denied	Defendant	3.8	5/2/16	Priority Date	
1:13cv01853	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.8	5/2/16	Early Priority Date - Granted; Validity - Denied	Written Description; Enablement
1:14cv00377	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	9.3	9/28/16	License	
1:11cv00515	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	1.7	7/27/16	Limitation on Damages; No Standing	
1:13cv00843	Denied	Plaintiff	7.3	10/18/16	No Laches; No Estoppel	
1:13cv00843	Denied	Defendant	7.3	10/18/16	Laches; Equitable Estoppel	
1:13cv01987	Denied	Defendant	1.8	7/26/16	Invalidity; Noninfringement; No Willfulness	Written Description; Anticipation; Indirect
1:09cv00080	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	4.1	10/31/16	Damages-GIP; Content of Prior Art - Denied; Infringement - GIP	
1:09cv01007	Denied	Defendant	6.2	12/30/16	Noninfringement	
1:13cv01987	Denied	Defendant	2.8	11/16/16	Invalidity	Written Description
1:14cv01487	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	6.9	3/17/17	Standing	
1:13cv00440	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.8	2/13/17	No Laches (Denied); No Prosecution History Estoppel (Granted); No Waiver (Granted)	
1:13cv00440	Granted	Defendant	3.8	2/13/17	Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:14cv00846	Denied	Plaintiff	1.1	12/5/16	Validity	Anticipation; Obviousness
1:14cv01445	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.5	1/27/17		35 USC 101
1:13cv01670	Granted	Defendant	2.8	5/19/17	Noninfringement	
1:13cv01672	Granted	Defendant	2.8	5/19/17	Noninfringement	
1:14cv00377	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	5.1	7/31/17	No Willfulness - Denied; No Unclean Hands - Granted	

1:14cv00377	Denied	Plaintiff	5.1	7/31/17	Invalidity; Noninfringement	Indefiniteness
1:14cv00377	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.1	7/31/17	Not Standards Essential- Granted; No Equitable Estoppel - Granted; Content of Prior Art - Denied; No License - Denied; Enforceability - Granted; No Marking Required - Granted; Improper Joinder - Denied	
1:14cv00877	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.4	6/9/17	Invalidity; Limitation on Damages	Lost Profits
1:14cv01203	Granted	Defendant	2.4	3/6/17	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00137	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	7.1	9/18/17	No Lack of Marking (granted); No Single Recovery Defense (denied); Failure to Disclaim under 288 (granted); No inequitable conduct (GIP)	
1:15cv00137	Granted	Defendant	7.1	9/18/17	Limitation on Damages	Lack of Marking
1:15cv00137	Denied	Defendant	7.1	9/18/17	Invalidity	Indefiniteness
1:15cv00137	Granted	Plaintiff	7.1	9/18/17	Validity	Anticipation
1:15cv00137	Granted	Plaintiff	7.1	9/18/17	Validity	Anticipation
1:13cv01632	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.7	8/23/17		35 USC 101
1:12cv00274	Denied	Defendant	3.1	8/15/17	Invalidity	Written Description
1:13cv00330	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.2	8/1/17	Breach of Contract	
1:14cv00878	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	0.3	6/10/17	Invalidity	Inherent Anticipation
1:13cv00239	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	8/17/17	Limitation on Damages	
1:15cv00525	Granted	Plaintiff	7.1	4/25/18	Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:15cv00525	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	7.1	4/25/18	Noninfringement (GIP); Limitation on Damages (Denied); Willfulness (Denied)	Direct (GIP); Contributory (Denied); Induced (Denied)
1:15cv00819	Denied	Defendant	4.6	4/10/18	Noninfringement	
1:15cv00819	Denied	Plaintiff	6.0	5/24/18	Infringement	

1:15cv00819	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	4.8	5/8/18	Damages	Lost Profits - two player market
1:16cv00187	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.6	5/22/18	No Willfulness (Granted); Noninfringement (GIP)	
1:16cv00122	Denied	Defendant	3.4	6/18/18	Noninfringement; No Priority Date	
1:16cv00122	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.4	6/18/18	Validity	Anticipation (Granted); Obviousness (Denied)
1:16cv00122	Denied	Plaintiff	3.4	6/18/18	Validity	Anticipation; Obviousness
1:16cv00122	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	3.4	6/18/18	License (GIP), Exhaustion (Granted), First Sale (Denied), Estoppel (Granted), Covenant Not to Sue (Granted), Release (Granted)	
1:08cv00309	Denied	Plaintiff	1.5	10/9/18	Issue Preclusion	
1:16cv00284	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.9	11/27/18	Invalidity	Anticipation; Obviousness
1:16cv00284	Granted	Defendant	3.5	12/17/18	Limitation on Damages	Presuit
1:17cv00189	Denied	Plaintiff	6.9	3/21/19	License	
1:18cv00463	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	5.5	12/27/18	Limitation on Damages; Absolute Intervening Rights	
1:12cv00069	Denied	Defendant	24.1	11/19/20	Case	Two Dismissal Rule
1:16cv00221	Denied	Plaintiff	1.6	12/4/18	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:15cv01158	Denied	Plaintiff	1.9	3/21/19	Invalidity; Conception	Public Use
1:18cv00463	Denied	Defendant	5.1	6/10/19	Invalidity	Anticipation
1:16cv00988	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	5/1/19	No Unclean Hands	
1:17cv00090	Granted	Plaintiff	13.5	4/16/20	No Breach of Contract	
1:17cv00189	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	4.0	9/13/19	Infringement	
1:13cv01353	Granted	Plaintiff	5.1	1/14/20	Validity	IPR Estoppel
1:13cv01353	Denied	Plaintiff	5.1	1/14/20	No License Defense	
1:18cv00021	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	2.6	12/19/19	Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:17cv00275	Granted	Plaintiff	2.9	2/19/20	Validity	Pat Elig


1:17cv00275	Denied	Defendant	2.9	2/19/20	Invalidity (Denied); Noninfringement; Content of Prior Art	Indefiniteness (Denied); Enablement (Denied)
1:17cv01194	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	8.5	9/1/20	Limitation on Damages	
1:17cv01616	Denied	Plaintiff	10.0	10/9/20	No Improper Inventorship; Enforceability	Inequitable Conduct
1:18cv00412	Denied	Defendant	6.4	5/27/20		
1:18cv00412	Denied	Plaintiff	6.4	5/27/20		
1:18cv00172	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	3.5	4/30/20	No False Advertising	
1:17cv00082	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	8.5	9/29/20	Content of Prior Art (GIP); No FRAND defense (Granted); No Exhaustion or Misuse (Granted); No judicial estoppel (Granted); No Equitable Estoppel (Granted); No Waiver	
1:17cv00082	Denied	Defendant	8.5	9/29/20	Invalidity	35 USC 101
1:17cv01390	Denied	Defendant	0.3	6/24/20	Limitation on Damages	
1:17cv01646	Denied	Defendant	6.8	8/14/20	Equitable Estoppel	
1:05cv00866	Granted	Defendant	8.3	3/26/21	Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	
1:12cv01595	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	12.8	3/31/22	Invalidity; Limitation of Damages	Anticipation; 35 USC 286
1:12cv01597	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	12.8	3/31/22		
1:12cv01597	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Defendant	12.8	3/31/22	Limitations on Damages	Patent Marking
1:12cv01601	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	12.8	3/31/22		
1:18cv00976	Granted	Defendant	0.3	9/17/21	Noninfringement	

Temporary Restraining Order Motion

There were 6 Temporary Restraining Order Motion contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 16.7%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 0.5. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month

For Temporary Restraining Order Motion



	Total			Plaintiff		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	16.7	6	0.5	16.7	6	0.5
2011	0.0	1	0.4	0.0	1	0.4
2013	0.0	1	1.7	0.0	1	1.7
2015	0.0	1	0.3	0.0	1	0.3
2018	50.0	2	0.3	50.0	2	0.3
2020	0.0	1	0.4	0.0	1	0.4


The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:11cv00965	Denied	Plaintiff	0.4	10/25/11		
1:11cv00652	Denied	Plaintiff	1.7	8/22/13		
1:15cv00653	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	8/10/15		
1:17cv01777	Granted	Plaintiff	0.2	8/20/18		
1:18cv01288	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	8/31/18		

Transfer Motion

There were 47 Transfer Motion contested decisions by Judge Stark. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 46.8%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 6.4. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.

Distribution of Decisions by Month



	Total			Defendant		
	Win Rate	Nbr of Decisions	Average Months to Decision	Win Rate	Nbr	Average
Total	46.8	47	6.4	46.8	47	6.4
2011	33.3	3	5.0	33.3	3	5.0
2012	40.0	10	6.1	40.0	10	6.1
2013	70.0	10	7.0	70.0	10	7.0
2014	60.0	5	6.4	60.0	5	6.4
2015	20.0	5	7.8	20.0	5	7.8
2016	100.0	1	12.7	100.0	1	12.7
2017	100.0	2	4.5	100.0	2	4.5
2018	0.0	5	5.8	0.0	5	5.8
2019	50.0	2	7.1	50.0	2	7.1
2021	66.7	3	3.0	66.7	3	3.0
2022	0.0	1	8.3	0.0	1	8.3

The supporting data is shown below. The table below includes, if applicable, motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:10cv00308	Denied	Defendant	8.7	3/30/11		
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	3.5	6/22/11		
1:10cv01065	Denied	Defendant	9.4	1/23/12		
1:10cv01065	Denied	Defendant	8.9	1/23/12		
1:11cv00328	Granted	Defendant	2.9	7/18/11		
1:11cv00853	Granted	Defendant	7.0	5/25/12		
1:11cv00855	Granted	Defendant	6.9	5/25/12		
1:11cv00854	Granted	Defendant	6.3	5/25/12		
1:11cv00856	Granted	Defendant	6.5	5/25/12		
1:11cv00902	Denied	Defendant	9.7	1/16/13		
1:12cv00210	Denied	Defendant	13.5	6/28/13		
1:12cv00552	Denied	Defendant	4.0	11/9/12		
1:12cv00553	Denied	Defendant	4.0	11/9/12		
1:12cv00554	Denied	Defendant	4.0	11/9/12		
1:10cv01067	Denied	Defendant	3.7	11/21/12		
1:11cv00902	Denied	Defendant	6.7	10/22/13		
1:12cv01514	Granted	Defendant	8.2	12/30/13		
1:12cv01515	Granted	Defendant	8.2	12/30/13		
1:12cv01508	Granted	Defendant	5.1	12/30/13		
1:12cv01511	Granted	Defendant	5.1	12/30/13		
1:12cv01512	Granted	Defendant	5.1	12/30/13		
1:12cv01518	Granted	Defendant	5.1	12/30/13		
1:13cv00306	Denied	Defendant	5.9	3/28/14		
1:13cv00512	Granted	Defendant	6.2	3/31/14		
1:13cv00843	Denied	Defendant	8.0	3/31/14		
1:13cv01017	Granted	Defendant	3.3	12/30/13		
1:13cv01063	Granted	Defendant	10.6	8/8/14		
1:14cv00028	Denied	Defendant	15.0	9/24/15		
1:14cv00353	Denied	Defendant	9.2	6/9/15		
1:14cv00435	Granted	Defendant	6.7	1/16/15		
1:14cv00566	Granted	Defendant	1.1	7/24/14		
1:14cv00721	Denied	Defendant	6.6	1/26/15		
1:14cv01376	Granted	Defendant	12.7	2/23/16		
1:15cv00150	Denied	Defendant	1.3	5/11/15		
1:15cv01108	Granted	Defendant	8.2	7/31/17		
1:17cv00051	Granted	Defendant	0.7	6/26/17		
1:17cv01099	Denied	Defendant	7.0	4/30/18		
1:17cv01103	Denied	Defendant	6.8	4/30/18		
1:13cv00335	Denied	Defendant	9.2	10/23/18		
1:17cv00083	Denied	Defendant	2.5	3/19/18		
1:17cv01843	Denied	Defendant	3.5	10/29/18		

1:17cv01875	Granted	Defendant	12.9	9/24/19
1:19cv00806	Denied	Defendant	1.4	7/22/19
1:20cv00601	Denied	Defendant	3.1	2/10/21
1:20cv01102	Granted	Defendant	2.7	1/25/21
1:21cv00375	Granted	Defendant	3.3	7/28/21
1:21cv00645	Denied	Defendant	8.3	3/1/22